

32212-000
22.02.00.0024

NAS JACKSONVILLE PARTNERING MEETING NOTES
OCTOBER 10-13, 1995

MINUTES

Chairperson: Valerie McCain

Members: Martha Berry, Jorge Caspary, Dana Gaskins, Philip Georgariou, Diane Lancaster, Jesse Tremaine, Mark Turnbull

Absent: Hermann Bauer

Visitors: Greg Brown (FDEP); Mark Kaufman (ABB-ES, Arlington, VA)

Facilitator: Jerry Arcaro

Location: Tallahassee, FL

Because of scheduling problems, the meeting started on October 11th at 8 a.m. Valerie McCain opened the meeting, reviewing the previous meeting's minutes and discussing the agenda for this meeting. The open action items were also reviewed and updated.

Action: Jerry noted that the Team ground-rules and conflict resolution model need to be submitted to Tier II in December.

OU-1

Jesse noted that unless there is agreement on OU-1's FS at the end of this meeting, the December 1995 ROD date would not be met.

Mark Turnbull reported that NAS Jacksonville Public Works is investigating if they have the capability/capacity to manage an active recovery system at the LNAPL site.

A general discussion was held regarding the State's comments on the FS. Jorge reported that DEP is willing to take another look at natural degradation of GW contamination, if there is some assurance that GW will not become publicly accessed.

That being said, Greg Brown noted that the OU-1 GW plume seems to have stabilized itself and the addition of an impermeable geo-membrane might adversely alter that situation. It was acknowledged that a cap of some type would be required over the area where the radiologically-contaminated soils are/will be. Jorge asked that clarification be added to the FS to explain how the proposed cap and the passive-to-active LNAPL recovery system will come together to form the final remedial effort. Greg also asked that the petroleum-contaminated soils in the LNAPL area (PSC-26A) be better addressed within the FS.

FDEP is not convinced that all of the sources of contamination within OU-1 have been found and addressed. They suggested that water recovered during the remediation phase could be reintroduced onto OU-1, with any appropriate treatment, as a means of "flushing" those potential source areas.

Mark Kaufman suggested, for ease and completeness of presentation, that the current FS alternatives be left as they are and several new ones be added to reflect FDEP's and EPA's concerns. FDEP reserved any further comment until the Navy's (ABB-ES's) written responses to their comments are received.

Action: Prior to the November Partnering meeting, ABB-ES will review the (old and new) alternatives and develop a table(s) that reflects the implications of each. These tables will be distributed prior to the meeting and discussed and decided on during the meeting itself.

OU-2

ABB-ES cannot meet the closure dates for PSCs 2 & 43 unless BEI can provide the information that was requested. The requested information will be included in BEI's Completion Report. BEI, however, has not been funded to produce those reports. The Station still intends to pursue RCRA "clean closure" on PSCs 41 & 43 and "risk-based closure" on PSCs 2 & 42. The closure documents will serve to document the IRAs.

Action: Jorge will investigate the procedures, within FDEP, for closing RCRA sites by fulfilling CERCLA remedial actions. He will provide the resolution at the November Partnering meeting.

Jesse provided an update of the plans for the GW investigation. Essentially, ABB-ES does not feel that there is any reason to put in any additional wells. A Preliminary OU-2 Groundwater Evaluation Report will be issued within the next several days that provides the data that forms the basis for that assertion. The consensus appears to

be that an RI Report will be written, including a qualitative risk evaluation, and a No Further Remedial Action Proposed (NFRAP) recommendation put forth (with the exception of RCRA monitoring requirements at PSC-42). Jorge asked that the RI Report clearly spell out how PSC-42 remedial efforts will be undertaken. This will be important to get OU-2 removed from the list of contaminated sites. The projected delivery of the RI Report is May 1996.

Jorge confirmed that DEP does desire to review BEI's workplans for IRA efforts. He will endeavor to review and provide comments within 45-60 days.

OU-3

BEI has received permission from RASO to start back-filling PSC-13, but weather has precluded starting that effort. The Station, ABB-ES, and BEI met on October 5th to discuss/review the Bldg 106/780 design documents. Those designs will be submitted in December.

Radiological Reports

Valerie noted that the current version of all radiological reports will be sent out by October 20th. The report for PSC-26 has already been distributed, but will need to be updated after the PSC-18 remediation has been completed. That remedial effort will also dictate an update for the report on PSCs 17 & 18. Valerie indicated that BEI planned to start work at PSC-18 on October 23rd.

Jesse asked what type of information will be required in the Radiological Risk Reports (RRR) that ABB-ES is under contract for. Jesse also noted that if BEI will updating their radiological survey reports, the RRRs will also need to be updated. The RRR for Group 3 (PSCs _____) can be sent out as soon as BEI's data is available. The other two RRRs will wait until the final actions and surveys are completed at PSCs 18 and 26.

Action: ABB-ES contact Troy Blanton at RASO to determine what the should be included.

RRDS

Jorge reported that the Natural Resource Trustee (NRT) efforts will now be handled by the State's Office of General Counsel (OGC) and that the OGC would not be reviewing documents. As such, the Station is no longer required to get NRT comments on the RRDS (or any other reports or plans, apparently).

Jesse reported that the Final Volume II (with the exception of Appendix D, the individual PSC appendices) was mailed October 10th. Appendix D will be mailed out

in December.

Action: Jorge will provide, to Martha, example letters for administratively approving NFAs/NFRAPs.

Phil Georgariou reviewed a proposed site screening work plan protocol, based upon NTC Orlando and NAS Cecil Field's plans. The team's consensus is that it is a good plan and to proceed with it.

USTs

Phil Georgariou gave a short update to the Site 119/Hanger 115 tank efforts. Mark Turnbull and Diane Lancaster provided an update to the Hush House investigation effort. Diane also discussed, with Jorge, the current status of the investigative effort at the Navy Exchange service center (Building 880).

Navy Environmental Leadership Program

The Navy Facility Engineering Support Center will utilize PSC-21 (Casa Linda Lake) as their investigation effort. Diane will prepare a Statement of Work for them.

Basket Items:

The generator of each meeting's agenda (at least for the meetings held in Jacksonville, should ensure that Bob Brody (SJRWMD) and Gerry Young (City of Jacksonville) are invited to the meeting.

Agenda Items for Next Meeting

Review meeting minutes
OU-1 FS Alternatives
Finalize deliverables to Tier II
Finalize SMP