

32212-000

22.02.00.0034

(Draft) NAS JACKSONVILLE PARTNERING MEETING MINU  
11-12 February 1997  
JACKSONVILLE, FLORIDA

Tuesday 9am-5pm  
Wednesday 8 am-5pm

Chairman: Hermann Bauer  
Scribe: Martha Berry  
Gatekeeper: Jorge Caspary  
Facilitator: Jerry Arcaro  
Guests: David Apple and Jill Wild, FDEP-NE District; Don ~~Herman~~ <sup>Haumann</sup>, ABB;  
David Ford, NAS Jacksonville

## 1.0 TEAM MEETING AND INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 Team Member and check-in  
Done
- 1.2 Assignment of Team Meeting Organization Roles  
Done
- 1.3 Guest Introduction and Self Instruction  
Done throughout meeting
- 1.4 Read Team Ground Rules  
Done

## 2.0 INITIAL AGENDA ITEMS FOR EACH MEETING

- 2.1 Review, submit revisions, reach consensus on previous meeting's minutes - Consensus on the January meeting minutes was postponed from the first day, but consensus was reached to accept the minutes on the second day.
- 2.2 Members report on Assigned Action Items Not Reported complete during Telcon - Done.
- 2.3 SOUTHDIV presents current execution plan - Done.
- 2.4 Miscellaneous - not on original agenda. Concern was expressed by the team that the Tier 2 link hadn't attended the last couple of meetings. Information was provided by the facilitator to the effect that our Tier 2 link may be under the impression that the team doesn't want him to attend. The team agreed that we wanted the Tier 2 link to attend our meetings to

the extent that his schedule permits and that this desire should be conveyed to him.

### 3.0 AGENDA

3.1 Strategic Plan - Discussion on this item was postponed until the next meeting.

3.2 OU1

3.2.1 NPDES Issues - Based on the information compiled by several members of the team, it was determined that the discharge from the proposed detention pond at OU1 would not require an NPDES permit. It was decided to rename this agenda item "Stormwater Issues" in future agendas.

3.2.2 ROD and 3.2.3 LUR - No substantive progress has been made on the ROD. The Land Use Restriction (LUR) issue is still holding up the ROD signing. The team discussed the LUR document idea that is being worked on for NAVSTA Mayport, but this may not be applicable because the Mayport program is proceeding under a HSWA RCRA permit, while NAS Jax is an NPL base. The team discussion was inconclusive because it is outside the power of Tier 1 members to resolve this issue.

3.3.4 Design Update - This topic began with a discussion concerning who at FDEP was going to have the major review responsibilities for the RD. It was decided that the team wanted Jorge to have major role. Jorge will go to Greg Brown with major questions - Greg will be doing the signing and sealing for the State. The next topic was the involvement of the FDEP district office. Concern was expressed that the hybrid cap being designed may be met with regulatory disapproval by officials who are not familiar with site specific conditions. It was also stated that Don ~~Herman~~ *Haumann*, ABB would be the engineer of record for ABB, but most of the actual design was being done out of the Portland office. The 30% design would be submitted to team members on March 7, with comments expect in 5 days. The RD is expected to be completed in ~~June~~ *April*.

The discussion concerning the RD was tabled until several of the guests could attend the meeting. David Apple and Jill Wild of FDEP, Don ~~Herman~~ *Haumann* of ABB and David Ford of NAS Jax attended the remainder of the RD discussion. Jorge explained how the review process would work: i.e., The district would be sent the RD to review, but their comments were to be routed to Tallahassee because Tallahassee would be handling the main review. This procedure is in keeping with how other projects had been handled in the past. There was a short discussion concerning

whether OU1 would require a storm water retention pond. David mention the FAC 17-25 regs might apply, but no one was familiar with the exact content of those regs. Because the impervious portion of the cap was to be  $\leq 50\%$ , it was tentatively thought that a retention pond would not be necessary. However, David wanted to discuss this decision with Mike Batemen, FDEP-Tallahassee. Don gave a presentation on the proposed Cap design. ABB is using the software "Hydrocad" to do their stormwater modeling; this particular program had not been approved by the District. According to the District, it was a fairly simple, straightforward process to get it approve, so ABB was going to submit it for approval.

*no one knew if*

### 3.3 OU2

#### 3.3.1 PSC 42

3.3.1.1 Update - The stabilization project is proceeding well and should be completed by the end of March.

3.3.1.2 Stormwater Issue - The majority of this discussion took place when the guests were present. The main topic concerned the perceived need for the stormwater permit, with its attendant retention pond. Hermann gave a brief update of site activities. The stabilized material is being placed into the ground. The strength of the material is  $>30\text{psi}$  and passes the TCLP test. This should assure that no leachate will be generated from the stabilized material. The cover being placed over it has a slope of 1 degree and the material being used as the cover is mostly the borrow material from a retention pond being dug on the property of Timucuan Country Club next door. The natural grade of the area is almost completely flat and no other area drains into it. The stabilized material is essentially already in the water table, so its presence shouldn't cause any stormwater runoff problems or changes in the direction of groundwater flow. Based on the information provided, David, with Jill's concurrence, didn't think that a stormwater permit would be needed after all.

3.3.2 RCRA Closure Reports and 3.3.4 PSC 41 Completion Report - Bechtel will have the Completion Report for PSCs 41 and 43 complete by the end of March. The Completion Report for PSC 42 should be completed by the end of June.

3.3.3 RI Update - The draft RI for OU2 will be submitted to the team members the week of 2/17.

### 3.4 OU3

3.4.1 EE/CA Update - The well has been installed between Bldgs 103 and 106. Soils samples have been taken for TOC sampling; groundwater samples from nine wells have been taken for VOC. Hal's in the process of doing baildown tests on 5 wells. ABB thinks it may have identified battery acid pipe near Bldg 902, but not sure. Diane suggested checking the drawings for Bldg. 902. Frank Chappell, USGS, is supposed to be at Cecil Field in the near future to train ABB people to do chlorinated solvents/biodegradation sampling; it is intended that this will be applied to OU3 at NAS Jax.

3.4.2 Bldg. 106 & 780 Update - The kickoff meeting was held in Atlanta last week and went well. The work at Bldg. 106 doesn't appear to pose a problem. The area around Bldg. 780, however, is very congested, so the work will have to be done in segments.

### 3.5 MISCELLANEOUS

3.5.1 PSC 18 - Ed Walker, Bechtel, is doing an addendum to state that the site is clean. The addendum must be blessed by RASO before it can be accepted as final. The team decided to wait for final approval of the addendum before changing the RDS for PSC 18.

3.5.2 PSC 30 - Bechtel has sent the draft SOW to its Oak Ridge office for review. It can be done within the originally developed budget.

3.5.3 Casa Linda Lake - At the end of the meeting, Linda Hunt, Geraghty & Miller, came in to meet the team. She is the project manager for the risk based closure project for Casa Linda Lake. The draft work plan, etc. will be delivered to the team on 4/1/97.

3.5.4 Tank 201 - ABB will be taking the confirmation samples in the next two weeks. Diane suggested that for this and future investigations, the base be contacted several days in advance of the actual sampling event.

3.5.5 RRDS Update - PSCs 2, 13, 18, 41, 43, and 42 will be updated soon in the RDS. PSCs 50 and 51 need to be added to the RDS. The team discuss the proper way to present this to the public. It was agreed that it would be fine to put the No Further Action sites on a separate list in the various publications, but they shouldn't disappear from the public eye until the official public comment period, held for the permit amendment, takes place.

3.5.6 Site Screening Work Plan - ABB hopes to have the draft ready to

distribute to the partnering team at the March partnering meeting.

3.5.7 Radiation Report Review - ABB has done brief review of Bechtel reports, as called for in their SOW. Hope to have draft final soon.

3.5.8 Remediation Schedules - ABB passed out remediation schedules. As per the team's request, the UST projects had been removed from these schedules. Bldgs 101, 880, Hanger 1000 and 103rd Street still need to be deleted from the schedule.

3.5.9 Golf Course - ABB has completed site screening for PSC 22 and 23 and expects the analytical within 2 weeks. The data should be ready to discuss by the March partnering meeting. Diane reiterated that sampling crews need to contact her several days in advance so that she can answer questions from other base personnel about what's going on.

3.5.10 Partnering Success Stories - Bill has corrected some of the success stories and handed out to the partnering team for review. Because this is not an ongoing topic, the team agreed to remove this topic from the agenda.

3.5.11 Stakeholder Report - Bill handed out copies of the Stakeholder's Report to the team. Because this is not an ongoing topic, the team agreed to remove this topic from the agenda.

3.5.12 Communications Issues - Larry Blackburn brought this issue up because of an incident at PSCs 41 and 43. The example used was the confirmation sampling at PSCs 41 and 43. The partnering team had agreed on sample points, but the sampling personnel itself wasn't adequately informed. Fortunately for this project, the error was caught before the samples were taken, but the concern was expressed that decisions made months in advance by the partnering team may not be adequately transmitted to the people actually performing the work. The team discussed ways to improve communication and agreed to add a separate page to the meeting minutes that would contain the consensus and the action items reached during that meeting. Beyond that, it was agreed that each team member would have to take responsibility for informing the appropriate people in their own organization of the team agreements and consensus items.

3.5.13 EPA's Community-Based Environmental Project Initiative (CBEP) - Martha informed the team that EPA was launching the CBEP and the City of Jacksonville was one of the city's being considered for the pilot projects. If Jacksonville was selected, then NAS Jacksonville and

NAVSTA Mayport might be involved. Martha will try to keep team updated on this.

3.5.14 RAD Removals - This discussion involved sites where a RAD removal might be appropriate. It was noted that it would be extremely advantageous to the Navy financially to perform these RAD cleanups in time to add the material to OU1. Once the cap was on OU1, then the material would have to be shipped offsite. PSCs 5, 15 and 17 were mentioned as possible candidates. PSC 9 might also be a candidate, but we had no data for the site.

### 3.6 PRIORITIZE SITES FOR EPVT

The team developed its priorities for funding in FY98. The site priorities were as follows:

- 1) OU1 (PSC26) - Long Term Monitoring (LTM)
- 2) OU2 (PSCs 3, 4, 41, 42, 43) - LTM
- 3) OU3 (PSCs 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 48) - RIFS
- 4) PSC 21 (Casa Linda Lake) - Phase 2
- 5) PSC 50 (EWWTP Sludge Disposal Area) - Interim Remedial Action (IRA)
- 6) PSC 51 (Fire Fighting Training Pit at Antenna Farm) - IRA
- 7) PSC 38 (Torpeda Rework Area) - IRA
- 8) PSC 51 - RIFS
- 9) PSC 47 (Pesticide Shop) - RIFS
- 10, 11, 12) Site Screening for PSCs 45, 28, 30 - Dana will rank according to relative risk scores

### 3.7 Training

3.7.1 Partnering/ASQC paper review - Postponed until March meeting.

3.7.2 Sediment Training - Diane presented a synopsis of the sediment contaminant training that she had taken.

3.7.3 Stormwater rules and regulations - This topic was postponed until the next meeting.

### 3.8 Administrative Issues

3.8.1 Revisit ground rules and charter - This topic was postponed until the March meeting.

#### **4.0 MEETING CLOSING**

4.1 Review meeting consensus items - Done

4.2 Set Next Meeting Proposed Agenda - Done

4.3 Set Next Meeting Location, Duration, Start Time and Chairperson

The next meeting will be held at the Winterbourne House, Orange Park, FL. The dates are March 19 & 20, 1997 and the times are 8 to 5 on both days. Martha Berry is the Chairperson

**CONSENSUS ITEMS - NAS Jax Partnering Meeting - February 11, 12 1997**

Meeting minutes from January 1997 meeting accepted.

Separate consensus and action item lists should be appended to meeting minutes.

Tallahassee FDEP should sign/seal OU1 RD.

**Action Items - NAS Jax Partnering Meeting - February 11, 12 1997**

Organization	Action	Done (D)/Not Done (ND) by 3/10/97 Telcon
FDEP/NAS Jax	Find out what current LUR position is of FDEP and NAS Jax	Done
BEI	Do cross-section sketch of how PSC 42 will look when complete	Not Done
NAS Jax	send letter to SJWMD explaining why stormwater permit not needed at PSC 42, attach BEI sketch	Not Done
ABB	send OU1 Geotechnical Investigation to David Apple	Done
FDEP	check with Tallahassee office concerning PSC 42 stormwater issue	Done
FDEP	coordinate meeting/call between Don Harman, ABB and Mike Batemen, FDEP, concerning OU1 stormwater issue	Done
FDEP	check on contents of FAC 17-25, explain to team	Not Done
ABB	get Hydrocad program approved by FDEP district office for use in OU1 RD	Done
NAS Jax	check expiration date on HSWA permit	Done
NAS Jax	send PEER Report to Merlin	Done
FDEP	check back in Tallahassee about whether LTM at OU2 to be done under CERCLA or RCRA	Not Done

Anthony	give Dana info on Casa Linda Lake project phases	Done
Team	review Success Stories, get feedback to Bill by 2/18	Done
Team	bring Partnering Workbook and Jerry's handouts to March partnering mtg.	To Be Done