

N00207.AR.002525
NAS JACKSONVILLE
5090.3a

ADDENDA TO THE 26 APRIL 1995 PARTNERING TEAM MEETING AGENDA NAS
JACKSONVILLE FL
4/27/1995
PARTNERING TEAM

ADDENDA TO MEETING AGENDA

The following is a status update of those points discussed during the April 18, 1995 telecon. These agenda items were addressed in lieu of discussing during the restricted period remaining on April 27, 1995.

3.1 OU1

3.1.3 Status Review of LNAPL Removal Operation and System Design

Response: ABB-ES stated that during an onsite review of the installation process for the passive LNAPL recovery system that it noticed the vertical riser, which was to capture floating product, did not appear to be perforated. The absence of these ports would appear to preclude the effective passive aspects of the system's operation. (A subsequent meeting has been scheduled between all involved parties for April 25, 1995 at 1300 in ABB-ES Orange Park office to discuss the situation further.)

3.1.4 USGS Contract Update

Response: It was reported that ABB-ES should expect the pump test data this week with the Final Rough of the Simulation Report by May 8, 1995. This should be followed by the Final Report on May 17, 1995. August has been identified for delivery of the Fate and Transport Report.

3.1.5 ROD Schedule Update and Status of Current Efforts

Response:

Tom Trainor stated that he was in the process of reviewing the present schedule with the hope that there could be areas where we could make up for the lost ground experienced by report delivery delays.

3.1.6 Permit Status of "Unnamed Creek"

Response: Diane Lancaster stated that she had not been in contact with the contractor, who was assisting them in developing their NPDES permits, since the initial meeting on March 8, 1995. She stated that she would pursue this issue further.

3.2 OU2

3.2.2 Remedial Action Update at Kemen Test Cell and PSC 2

Response: Hermann stated that BEI will be mobilizing the low thermal desorption unit next week.

3.2.3 Remedial Action Update at PSC 41 & 43

Response: Hermann stated that they are experiencing higher than expected groundwater levels at this site. What was to be an excavation site above the groundwater table is presently found to be several feet below. Consequently, BEI cannot place the stabilized material into the excavation, which is full of water, until the excavation area has been backfilled with gravel to an elevation which will allow for the "dry" placement of the solidified mixture.

As a means of consolidating the solidified materials in one location vice that of several, the question from the previous Partnering Meeting was raised as to any concern that FDEP or EPA may have relative to this action. M. Berry stated that she would have an answer to the question of whether or not the solidified material could be deposited within PSC 42 vice that of PSCs 41 and 43 by the next Partnering Meeting.

3.2.4 Status of Regulatory Review re Transfer of Soils from PSCs 41 & 43 to PSC 42

Response: As stated in 3.2.3 above, M. Berry stated that she would have a response at our next Partnering Meeting.

3.2.5 Regulatory Requirements relating to Filtered/Unfiltered Samples

Response: Jorge and Martha stated that the current regulatory position is for the taking of unfiltered samples.

3.3 OU3

3.3 Status of Engineering Design for Buildings 106 & 780

Response: P. Redfern stated that the preliminary design for both sites will be available for review at a meeting in Portland on May 3, 1995 with ABB-ES' Portland Design Team, BEI, and SoDiv. The drawings will be available as scheduled on June 2, 1995.

3.4 Status of Funding for OU3 Investigation

Response: D. Gaskins stated that there are no funds currently set aside for the OU3 investigation and that a generic letter was to be issued by SoDiv to the regulatory agencies discussing the reduction in funding they are presently experiencing.

3.4 BASE WIDE ISSUES

3.4.1 Development of Revised Site Management Plan to Reflect OU1 and OU2 ROD Schedules and OU3 Field Investigation Execution

Response: It was acknowledged that the SMP was out of date and should be revised. ABB-ES will revise and submit to SoDiv.

3.4.2 Reassignment of Project Responsibilities (ABB-ES and SOUTHDIV)

Response: P. Redfern explained that T. Trainor would be taking over the management of OU2 in consideration of his change in responsibilities from Office Manager/Installation Manager to Installation Manager and that P. Redfern would be taking on some UST projects to offset this action.