

N00207.AR.002537
NAS JACKSONVILLE
5090.3a

LETTER AND COMMENTS FROM U S EPA REGION IV REGARDING REVIEW OF 1/00
COMPLETION REPORT FOR REMOVAL ACTION AT POTENTIAL SOURCE OF
CONTAMINATION 51 (PSC51) NAS JACKSONVILLE FL
5/25/1999
U S EPA REGION IV

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

REGION 4

61 Forsyth Street
Atlanta, Georgia 30303



MEMORANDUM

DATE: May 25, 1999

To: Doug McCurry, RPM
DOD Remedial Section

From: Jon Richards, Regional Radiation Expert 
Office of Technical Services

Subject: 1/99 Completion Report, Removal Action at PSC 51, Naval Air Station,
Jacksonville, FL

I've reviewed the Removal Action in this area known as PSC 51. It appears that they have "contained and controlled the radiological contamination", but I'll need some more information to verify, and possibly a site inspection by myself or Rick Button, and the state if they choose to. If there's any meetings or conference calls related to this or my comments, let me know.

1. Sec.1.0, Introduction:

The report itself needs to summarize, such as in tables, the bullets outlined and discussed in a very brief fashion in the next 2-3 pages. I realize this may not be the final report, nevertheless, there's not near enough quantitative information on radium concentrations [pCi/g] and uR/hr, for example, to get a clear picture of the amount and extent of contamination.

2. Sec.2.3:

This section discusses actions taken and some extent of the contamination, but no indication of the amount or significance of the contamination found, what was in the waste shipped: what was uR/hr? Isotopes? Etc.

3. Sec(s) 2.3.1, 2.3.5, & 2.3.6:

A summary of the data and methods used should be described here. Was MARSSIM used to verify area was 'clean'? Was there any independent verification by the state or EPA? Was there any oversight or splits during the surveys/sampling event?

Also, there was ~~no~~ radiological info found in App.K.

Any questions, call me x8648.