

N00207.AR.003504
NAS JACKSONVILLE
5090.3a

LETTER REGARDING REGULATORY REVIEW AND COMMENTS ON TECHNICAL REVIEW
OF REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION FEASIBILITY STUDY WORK PLAN FOR OPERABLE UNIT 3
(OU 3) NAS JACKSONVILLE FL

6/9/1994

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION



Lawton Chiles
Governor

~~Final 1000 1/27/94~~
Department of
Environmental Protection

copy 184
AB

Twin Towers Building
2600 Blair Stone Road
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400

Virginia B. Wethe
Secretary

June 9, 1994

~~Mr. Caspary~~
~~Mr. Cheary~~
~~Mr. Young~~
~~Mr. Gartland~~
~~Mr. Patel~~
~~Mr. Hudson~~
~~etc~~

01-1-759
~~01-1-759~~

Mr. Joel Murphy
Code 11518
Department of the Navy
Southern Division
Naval Facilities Engineering Command
P.O. Box 190010
Charleston, SC 29419-9010

Dear Mr. Murphy:

Department personnel have completed the technical review of the RI/FS Work Plan, OU-3, NAS Jacksonville. I have enclosed a memorandum addressed to me from Mr. Jorge R. Caspary. The concerns detailed in Mr. Caspary's memorandum need to be adequately addressed before we can consider approval of the referenced document.

If I can be of any further assistance with this matter, please contact me at 904/488-3935.

Sincerely,

Eric S. Nuzie
Federal Facilities Coordinator

ESN/st

Enclosure

cc: Jorge Caspary
Brian Cheary
Jerry Young
Kevin Gartland
Ashwin Patel
James Hudson

Memorandum

Florida Department of
Environmental Protection

TO: Eric S. Nuzie, Federal Facilities Coordinator
Department of Environmental Protection

THROUGH: Dr. James J. Crane, Administrator *JJC*
Technical Review Section

Tim J. Bahr, PG II
Technical Review Section *JJC fr TB*

FROM: Jorge R. Caspary, Remedial Project Manager *JRC*
Technical Review Section

DATE: June 3, 1994

SUBJECT: Review of Operable Unit 3 RI/FS Workplan. Jacksonville
Naval Air Station. (Document dated April 1994,
received April 5, 1994).

This document is the first tangible result of the new "partnering" initiative being implemented between the Navy, USEPA, and FDEP. Previous to the production of the document, the Base Cleanup Team held technical meetings designed to point the contractor on a single direction as to the proposed course of action to be followed at Operable Unit 3. The result of this effort is a readily acceptable document. Other than the comments stated below, the above referenced document should be processed for "Final" designation.

- 1) Page 6-7. Background Analysis. It would be prudent to analyze at least one background soil boring for a complete "full scan" suite. To use Operable Unit 1 background samples as control for Operable Unit 3 may not be appropriate given the vast differences in disposal activities between the two units. While most of OU-3 is river fill, the dredge and filling activities took place decades ago when station industrial activity and river traffic was minimal. This leads to the assumption that the fill at the locations chosen to represent background soil could be free of contamination from OU-3 industrial activities; thus, my request for an expansion of analysis to include VOCs, SVOCs, pesticides and PCBs.

Eric S. Nuzie
June 3, 1994
Page Two

- 2) It is unclear where the OU-3 biodiversity control locations will be located. Will the same locations used for OU-1 be applied to OU-3?