

M67386.PF.000330
MCRCO KANSAS CITY
5090.3b

EMAIL FROM U S EPA REGION VII REGARDING SIGN OFF OF FINAL FIVE YEAR REVIEW
FOR SITES SS003 AND SS 09 FOR THE FORMER RICHARDS-GEBAUR AIR FORCE BASE
KANSAS CITY MO
8/31/2012
U S EPA REGION VII

-----Original Message-----

From: Kenneth Rapplean [<mailto:Rapplean.Kenneth@epamail.epa.gov>]

Sent: Friday, August 31, 2012 15:29

To: GROSINSKE, KAY M GS-13 USAF DoD AFCEE/EXC

Cc: Simes, Benjamin W CIV NAVFAC MW, EV; Chowdhury, Sabina [USA]; Schmitt, Christopher L CIV NAVFAC MW, IPT CI; Criswell, David CIV NAVFACHQ, BRAC PMO; Hickey, Howard M CIV NAVFAC MW EV; Nielsen, Janice L CIV NAVFAC LANT, EV; jim.harris@dnr.mo.gov; john.p.hurd@usmc.mil; Zuiss, Robert E SFC NG NG NGB; Riordan, Timothy P CIV NAVFAC LANT, PW; Tholl, Lisa; Pradip Dalal; Barbara Peterson; Cecilia Tapia

Subject: RE: Kansas City Details;Second Five Year Review Update

To All: I am using this e-mail so I catch everyone with the same information.

The meeting on Wednesday was helpful and appreciate the opportunity to discuss a variety of issues with all parties in the same location.

I did talk to Cecilia Tapia, Superfund Division Director, that afternoon about the signing and acceptance of the second five year review. She wanted the signatures of whomever is required to sign from both the Navy and Air Force on each document to accept as a final submittal when EPA accepts the documents. I understand her concern since we do not want to give an impression that the required concurrences and signatures were not obtained.

As of Friday afternoon, August 31, our risk assessment team has not fully reviewed and concurred upon the Air Force submittal that we received August 8, 2012.

In order to complete both documents to meet the EPA target of having the division director sign the acceptance memo by September 28, 2012: I am recommending that the documents be finalized as they now stand and we will comment on the document that is submitted as the final 5 year review as it is submitted. This would allow the Navy to respond to our comments that we have already sent and the Air Force to final their current draft document in order to get them signed and submitted to EPA.

This would allow MDNR more time to finalize their review comments and submit them after the final document.

As Jim Harris of MDNR pointed out and I have stated the submittal of two separate documents at different times put our risk reviewers behind. This needs to be corrected by the next review.

A response to the comments on the final second five year review can then be made after you receive both MDNR and EPA comments.

I think that is best solution at this time.

Ken Rapplean
913-551-7769