

N42237.AR.000139
NSB KINGS BAY
5090.3a

MEETING MINUTES NSB KINGS BA, GA, EPA REGION IV AND GA EPD 8-12-93
8/12/1993
NSB KINGS BAY

04/81
31547.000
19.01.00.0009

MEETING MINUTES

CTO #041 - NSB KINGS BAY, GEORGIA EPA Region IV and Georgia EPD MEETING 12 August 1993 0930 Hours

Attendees:

Reginald Young	Georgia EPD	(404) 656-2833
Madeleine Kellam	Georgia EPD	(404) 656-2833
Jim Barlosdale	EPA Federal Facilities Branch	(404) 347-3433
Davy Simonson	EPA RCRA Permitting	(404) 347-3016
John Garner	NSB Kings Bay	(912) 673-8845
Ed Lohr	Southern Division NAVFACENG	(803) 743-0355
Frank Cater	ABB-ES Tennessee	(615) 531-1922
Marland Dulaney	ABB-ES Florida	(904) 656-1293
Robin Futch	ABB-ES Florida	(904) 656-1293
Laura Harris	ABB-ES Tennessee	(615) 531-1922
Eric Nelson	ABB-ES Massachusetts	(617) 245-6606
Kurt Sichelstiel	ABB-ES Tennessee	(615) 531-1922

Note: These minutes are not an official transcript. They are recreated to show only pertinent conversations and resulting action items.

Jim open the meeting with a discussion of the ground rules for future meetings. He explained that NSB Kings Bay is a RCRA facility, and therefore Georgia EPD was the lead agency. USEPA's role is minimal and support oriented. Future meetings do not need USEPA involvement, unless requested by Georgia EPD. Communication is the key to success, and we should endeavor to keep communication open. This communication should be through Georgia.

Madeleine is now assigned to the Kings Bay facility as the lead reviewer for the documents, reports, and workplans. These should be submitted through Reginald as usual, but we can speed up the process by copying her directly. Meetings should be minimized. Georgia does not want to be put in a position that they feel pressured for decisions on items. Workplans should be submitted for review, and Georgia EPD will do all they can to provide a timely response.

Frank explained that we were planning to submit a workplan for both the RFI continuation and the Interim Measure mid to late September. Field mobilization for initial monitoring well and recovery well installation was scheduled for mid to late October. The accelerated schedule is driven mostly by public perception, and a desire to move to clean-up actions as rapidly as possible. We were trying to present an overview of our program for input to head off potential pit-falls. The intent of the meetings was not to get decisions or commitments, but to get comment on ideas. This will allow us to better develop planning document methodologies.

This concept was generally agreed to.

The meeting continued with a discussion of the Agenda items sent to EPA and Georgia EPD dated 30 August 1993. These are attached for reference.

Meeting Minutes
Georgia EPD & Region IV EPA
12 August 1993
Page 2

CONTINUATION OF THE RFI

Item 1. Discussion of monitoring well placement and depths.

Concern was expressed on the use of mud-rotary for well installation. It was explained by Laura that the mud-rotary was being used for soil borings and to set outer casings of dual walled wells. To set screened intervals only dual wall reverse air will be utilized.

Item 2. Requirements for sampling to complete the RFI.

The analytical program is designed to meet CERCLA and RCRA, in the event of the Site becoming NPL. Madeleine stated that if parts of our workplans are out of the ordinary, we should state this up front. In general, they did not have a problem with the concept, but will comment upon formal submission of the workplan.

Item 3. Deep geologic information required.

USGS out of Atlanta will attempt to gather borehole geophysics on the wells at the North end of the Subdivision. This is dependent upon the owner of the well granting permission for this test.

Item 4. Investigative Derived Waste Management plan for both the RFI and Interim Measure.

The plan that was presented in the Agenda was not favorably received with regards to the solid waste management. Suggestions were made to consider containerization of all solids and based on analytical results of the waste, disposal would be in an appropriately permitted landfill. Georgia EPD will accept a formal IDW plan prior to the delivery of the workplan for review and approval. It was agreed that the IDW plan presented today would be revised and submitted in a few weeks.

Item 5. Risk Assessment Topics.

James stated that the "requirement" for a full baseline risk assessment was not a requirement, but had been volunteered by the Admiral. This was voluntary. For a RCRA action, and RCRA guidance should be followed.

Madeleine stated that Georgia does not use risk assessment for clean up levels. This is a RCRA action and an HEA is all that is required. If we want to do more they have no problem with that.

James stated that in general for Navy IRP, the requirement would be to follow CERCLA guidance, but this site has Georgia lead, and should be addressed as a RCRA action.

Georgia EPD suggested that we contact Reggie Manning for guidance.

Risk topics discussions were completed.

INTERIM MEASURE START-UP

Item 1. Recovery Well Design.

No comments provided.

Item 2. Placement of Recovery Wells.

No comments provided.

Meeting Minutes
Georgia EPD & Region IV EPA
12 August 1993
Page 3

Item 3. Discharge of Effluent.

No comments provided.

Item 4. Air Permit Requirements.

Georgia requested to be copied with letter to Georgia Air Protection Branch and their response.

Item 5. Sign and Seal Requirements (PG v. PE).

A PG seal is required. The PE seal is optional.

Item 6. Temporary Operation verses Long Term Operation.

The Navy needs to submit an Interim Measure Corrective Action Plan for approval. At this time we can identify the short term and long term operation. This plan can be submitted for the first phase of operation. It was agreed that this plan will be submitted in a few weeks.

General Discussion.

Georgia EPD requested they be kept apprised of the schedule for field work. They would like to audit the work in progress.

The meeting was adjourned at 11:30 am.