

N60201.AR.000476  
NS MAYPORT  
5090.3a

LETTER AND COMMENTS FROM U S EPA REGION IV REGARDING COMPLETION  
REPORT FOR SAMPLING ACTIVITIES AT SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT UNIT 15 NS  
MAYPORT FL  
7/26/1999  
U S EPA REGION IV



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY  
REGION 4  
ATLANTA FEDERAL CENTER  
61 FORSYTH STREET  
ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30303-8960

July 26, 1999

4WD-FFB

Ms. Adrienne Wilson  
Southern Division  
Naval Facilities Engineering Command  
P.O. Box 190010  
Charleston, South Carolina 29419-9010

SUBJ: NAVSTA Mayport, Florida  
EPA ID# FL9 170 024 260

Dear Ms. Wilson:

The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has received and reviewed the following document:

- **Completion Report for the Sampling Activities at SWMU 15** (Bechtel Environmental, April 1999).

Enclosed are EPA's review comments. If you have any questions, please contact me at (404) 562-8555.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Craig A. Benedikt".

Craig A. Benedikt  
Remedial Project Manager  
Federal Facilities Branch

Enclosure

cc: Jim Cason, FDEP  
Randy Bishop, NAVSTA Mayport

**EPA Review Comments  
Completion Report for the  
Sampling Activities at SWMU 15  
April 1999**

1. **Page 1, Section 1.0, Introduction.** In the third paragraph, the term **major fluctuations** needs clarification. The text should contain additional information related to the deliberative process and/or the criteria the NS Mayport Partnering Team used to determine that SWMU 15 was inappropriate for the technology demonstration. The reader should be able to review the information contained in the report and be able to draw the same conclusions. This comment also applies to the second paragraph in Section 2.0 on page 1.
2. **Page 2, Section 2.1, Well Installation.** Additional information should be added to the text related to the criteria used by the NS Mayport Partnering Team to determine the number and placement of wells.
3. **Page 2, Section 2.2, Sampling and Analysis.** A description of the analytical results should be included in the body of the report rather than requiring the reviewer to extract the information from Appendix B.