

N65928.AR.002696  
NTC ORLANDO  
5090.3a

MINUTES FROM PARTNERING TEAM MEETING FROM 10 JANUARY 1996 WITH  
ATTACHMENTS NTC ORLANDO FL  
1/10/1996  
NTC ORLANDO

## ORLANDO PARTNERING TEAM

Meeting Minutes  
10 - 12 January 1996  
NTC Orlando, FL

<b>Attendees:</b>	<b>Organization</b>		<b>Support Members:</b>	<b>Organization</b>
Catherine Ballinger	NTC Orlando		Ashley LeBlanc	Galileo
Wayne Hansel	SOUTHDIV		Eric Nuzie	FDEP (Tier II)
John Kaiser	ABB-ES	Team Leader	Barbara Nwokike	SOUTHDIV
Mac McNeil	Bechtel	Recorder	CAPT Doug Yesensky	Base Transition
John Mitchell	FDEP	Gate/Timekeeper		
Nancy Rodriguez	EPA		<b>Guests:</b>	
			Greg Mudd	ABB-ES
			Mark Salvetti	ABB-ES

### Opening

The meeting began at 1305. Eric Nuzie was unable to attend the afternoon session on 10 January. The meeting began with reading of the Ground Rules. JK passed out the agenda (Att 1) and a copy of last meeting minutes.

### Transition Status Report: CAPT Yesensky

- The city is unable to get the Record of Decision (ROD) signed until HUD agrees to its plans for the homeless.
- The city and NTC are still negotiating turnover schedule for the Golf Course. It may occur in phases.
- Turnover of 668 units of housing at McCoy on hold pending resolution of 1949 law dealing with "excess profits;" the townhouses remain Navy property until closure is final.
- The OPT seems to be making more headway in preparation for turnover than other entities.
- The Southwest corner of the main base is to be one of the first areas to turnover.
- Nuclear Power School holds last class June, 1999, with final base closure in December, 1999; however, NPS has been asked to accelerate closure to December, 1998 to permit base closure/turnover June, 1999.
- No property is likely to be transferred prior to September, 1996.
- GOAA requested conveyance of 2 parcels at McCoy and Herndon which could become first areas to transfer.
- CB noted talk of moving Army Reserve Center to Area C. Appears to be only a rumor, but needs watching.

### Ashley LeBlanc Introduction

Ashley will replace Nick Morning who has taken a new job. Ashley is on a consultant basis to Galileo and his long-term assignment to the OPT is uncertain; he's primarily dedicated to the Paris Island team. Ashley hails from Brewer Maine and was an elementary school teacher in New Zealand. Welcome!

### UST Program Brief

- JK passed out a briefing sheet with status of tank closures and assessments (Att 2).
- MM reported 12 tanks remain after today. The 2 difficult tanks at 2273 are out of the ground and being hauled away today. Only a small amount of soil remains from tanks 220 & 222. This and other small piles of contaminated soil and scrap will be off base by the end of this week. BEI plans to complete all tank removals by the end of January or first week in February.
- JM noted that site 109 needs a CAR in order for FDEP to issue a No Further Action (NFA).
- JK said there are 15 oil/water separators on base. He plans to discuss with Nick Ugolini whether they become part of the UST program. He noted there is a foot of water in the one by Bldg 7171.
- MM said Bechtel is preparing a complete folder with all pertinent documents for each tank removed. These folders are available to NTC, ABB, SOUTHDIV for record. ABB is sending required documentation to FDEP. CB said PW would take receipt for NTC.

### **IR Program Brief**

- JK passed out briefing sheet with status of IR Program activities (Att 3).
- JM reported that the ASTDR reviewed the results of Druid Lake water sampling by ABB and said no further action is needed to protect human health.
- WH reported a possible \$1MM addition to the FY-96 program, but this is apparently not real. Navy objective is to use 80% of funds for cleanup and 20% for investigation.

### **IDW Issues: Greg Mudd**

- GM passed out a memo to the OPT describing the issues in question and summarizing the amounts, types, and locations of IDW at NTC (Att 4).
- Primary questions involved RCRA / CERCLA rules and allowable holding times and disposition. Contacts with FDEP indicated that resolution depends on whether NTC is CERCLA site or not. NTC is not on NPL, but Navy considers it a CERCLA site. CERCLA guidance says you have to meet state and local regulations.
- Specific situations encountered and in question are contained in the Attachment. OPT discussion and resolution are contained in the issue table.

### **Deep Well at Area C: John Kaiser**

- JK noted that no contamination had been found in the well (see Att 5)
- JK passed out a telcon memo from Matt Hennessy dated 7 Jan 1996 stating that St. John's River WMD would abandon the well if NTC pays 50% of cost.
- CB stated that she thought this could be arranged.
- City of Orlando also wants the 5 wells at McCoy closed.

### **EPA Preliminary Risk Evaluation (PRE) Training: Nancy Rodriguez**

- Passed out and discussed the EPA guidance (Att 6) and went through an example of how the guidance is used.
- Noted these are unofficial guidelines, for use as a screening tool by RPMs.
- JM noted FDEP doesn't use this type approach. They compare site values to State guidelines.

### **Group III Site Screening Results: Mark Salvetti**

- MS provided a detailed briefing on McCoy Annex, Study Areas 16 - 26 (Att 7).
- **Area #16:** Needs assessment of oil/water separator. PAHs in soil and sediment exceed FL SCG and RBC; affect on FOST/FOSL is unclear. Groundwater OK. Site will continue to operate until Army moves. Clean up afterward.
- **Area #17:** Suggested 2 site drawings (soil & gw / sfc water & sed) to reduce clutter
- **Area #18:** Same issue with PAH as on Area #16.
- **Area #20:** ABB recommends FOST.
- **Area #21:** JM doubts FOST possible due to arsenic concentration. Requires petroleum cleanup from tank release.
- **Area #22:** No FOST due to possible UXO. Investigation to date hampered by concern for UXO presence.
- **Area #23:** JM noted question of relationship of temporary wells and inorganics. FDEP won't accept filtered samples. ABB noted higher cost of installation/abandonment of permanent wells.
- **Area #26:** Primary concern is PAH. Area likely to remain DOD for Reserves. May drop off BRAC program.

### **Area C Site Screening Results: John Kaiser**

- JK passed out a draft copy of recent sample locations and data from the Northwest corner of Area C (Att 8)
- Basic question is what type of investigation and IRA should OPT perform.
- Although ASTDR said there is no Human Health Risk, vinyl chloride in lake exceeds State surface water standards and therefore action is required.
- There isn't enough information yet available on the aquifer movement and release mechanism. ABB noted the source doesn't appear to be moving and thinks it has encountered only a dissolved plume; unlikely the source has migrated down to Hawthorne layer. Appears contamination doesn't extend north of fence line.
- Possible wetland around Lake Druid. Need to determine jurisdiction (JM said it's not FDEP) prior to taking action.

- Must brief RAB on findings and plan of action to respond to question raised in previous meeting. Not necessary to answer all details, but show we have plan.

What we Know	Need to Know for IRA	Need to Know Long-Term
Hi conc TCE/PCE west of laundry at 8'-3'- depth	Flow pattern & gradient (localized toward lake)	Flow pattern & gradient (entire area)
No hi conc north of fence line	Hor & vert extent of impact	Hor & vert extent of impact
Hi conc in surface water & sediment at lake shore	Lithology	Lithology
Getting vinyl-chloride downgradient in lake, probable breakdown of PCE	Water supply & usage around surrounding area	Location of sources, soil & gw
No human health risk	Are we dealing with wetland? Better understanding of laundry sump Design issues such as discharge requirements.	Daily activities/ops at laundry

**Wells 0805 and 0809 Sampling Results: John Kaiser**

- JK passed out inorganic data analyses data sheets (Att 9)
- JM thinks results indicate No Further Action but will discuss w/in FDEP.

**Low Detection Limits for Semi-Volatiles: Mark Salvetti**

MS noted 4 semi-volatiles that are almost never detected at NTC, but that are being analyzed for at a cost of about \$400 per sample. Raised question of whether continued sampling is worthwhile. (See issue 9601-017).

**Meeting Minute Format**

Agreed that we would complete the first round of recorders and then decide on a format.

**Fund Support for BEI Participation in OPT**

EN stated that Pat Franklin had assured him that the funding had been taken care of in December. MM will check.

**100-Mile Rule**

Agreed that members would look for other meeting locations to help avoid disruptions.

**ISSUE TABLE**

Issue	Discussion	Action	Status
9601-001	CB: Noted meeting on pistol range scheduled Friday AM with Orlando Police Dept. Purpose of meeting unknown, but subject is probably future use of range. WH will get a report on the discussion/decisions and report to the OPT as the future use affects what OPT decides to do with it.	Wayne	Report to OPT 1/23
9601-002	JM: FDEP needs CAR for Bldg 109 (NEX gas station on main base) in order to issue NFA	John K.	On-going
9601-003	JK: Oil/Water separators to be included as part of UST program. Will discuss with Nick Ugolini	John K	On-going
9601-004	IDW: It was agreed that JM will discuss the RCRA local regulators about RCRA / CERCLA issues prior to DERTF meeting. Depending on results, this issue will be presented either as an obstacle which forces use of limited funds on actions of questionable value or as a success story for the OPT in resolving it and conserving funds.	John M.	Report to OPT 1/22

9601-005	IDW: It was agreed that soil from boreholes where water was found not to contain HW or contaminants above criteria, IDW soil could be presumed clean and dumped back on site as long as no other indication of contamination. Noted that in this case sampling for each borehole would cost Navy \$27K. Future similar questions may need OPT consideration.	N/A	Closed by agreement
9601-006	IDW: It was agreed that where radio-nuclides were found in the water (only on main base) more information is required before deciding on disposal action.	??	On-going
9601-007	IDW: The miscellaneous drums contain mostly PPE. It was agreed that this material had been deconned and could be placed in a dumpster.	N/A	Closed by agreement
9601-008	IDW at Area C: 11 drums are in question because of arsenic concentration. 12 contain PCE and must be disposed of as RCRA waste. NR and JM to find out whether the arsenic contaminated soil can be dumped on site. Question is whether the arsenic would bind in soil and create HW.	Nancy & John M	On-going
9601-009	IDW at McCoy: It was agreed that if the constituents in IDW water are no greater than background, the water could be dumped back on site.	N/A	Closed by agreement
9601-010	Deep well at Area C: It was agreed that NTC and SJRWMD would coordinate actions and cost to close the well.	N/A	Closed by agreement
9601-011	Area 16 & 18: JM has action to review impact of high PAH on FOST/FOSL at FDEP.	John M.	On-going
9601-012	Temporary wells & inorganics: Need to find way to obtain turbidity-free samples from temp wells. FDEP won't accept filtered samples.	??	On-going
9601-013	Area C: It was agreed that an IRA is an appropriate response to recent findings. More thorough investigation is needed, but concentration of vinyl-chloride in Lake Druid exceeds State surface water standards. BN will try to fund ABB startup w/in 30 days and look for a way to get BEI Phase I funding.	All	Decision made to proceed with IRA
9601-014	Area C: Determine if area around Lake Druid is wetland. If so, who has jurisdiction and what action is required before start of IRA?	John M.	On-going
9601-015	Area C: Make presentation to RAB on findings and proposed IRA.	John M.	Jan 24 RAB mtg
9601-016	Wells 0809 and 0805: JM to discuss whether sample results are satisfactory for finding of No Further Action	John M	On-going
9601-017	Semi-Volatile Sampling: Agreed that for groundwater samples, use of low-level analytical method for Benzo(a)pyrene (BaP), bis(2Ethylhexyl)phthalate (DEHP), pentachlorophenol (PCP), and hexachlorobenzene (HCB) will be suspended because of little value for high expense.	N/A	Closed by agreement

#### Attachments

1. Meeting Agenda (JK)
2. UST Update and Status (JK)
3. IR Program Update and Status (JK)
4. Status of IR IDW, Memo from Greg Mudd to Wayne Hansel and OPT, 4 Jan 1996
5. Abandonment of Production Well at Area C, Telcon memo from Matt Hennessy, 7 Jan 1996
6. EPA Memorandum on Preliminary Risk Evaluation, 20 Dec 1994
7. Group III Site Screening Briefing
8. Area C Sample Locations and Results (draft)
9. Wells 0805 and 0809 Inorganic Analyses Data Sheets



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

NAVAL TRAINING CENTER  
4551 KITTY HAWK STREET  
ORLANDO, FLORIDA 32813-8360

FILE COPY


5050  
NTC 010  
August 29, 1995


Mr. John Kaiser  
ABB Environmental Services, Inc.  
1080 Woodcock Road, Suite 100  
Orlando, FL 32803

Dear Mr. Kaiser:

This letter forwards the summary and detailed minutes from the Orlando Partnering Team meeting held 31 July through 1 August 1995. Please be prepared to accept or correct these documents at our 7 September 1995 meeting.

I enjoyed serving as your recorder.

  
CATHERINE A. BALLINGER  
Lieutenant Commander  
CEC, U.S. Navy  
Recorder



**ORLANDO PARTNERING TEAM  
SUMMARY OF 31JUL--01AUG 1995 MEETING**

Place: Naval Training Center, Orlando, Public Works Conference Room

Attendees: Ms. Nancy Rodriguez (EPA)  
Mr. David Clowes (FDEP)  
Mr. Eric Nuzie (Tier II)  
Mr. Wayne Hansel (SODIV-core)  
CAPT Yesensky (NTC Orlando BTO)  
Mr. Jim Manning (ABB-core)  
Mr. John Kaiser (ABB-core)  
Mr. Oscar "Mac" McNeil (Bechtel-core)  
Ms. Barbara Nwokike (SODIV-support)  
Mr. Billy Dwardy (SODIV-support)  
LCDR Catherine Ballinger (NTC Orlando-core)  
Mr. Nick Morning (Facilitator)

Recorder: LCDR Catherine Ballinger

I. Partnering Progress:

A. The Orlando Partnering Team discussed and reached consensus regarding the following:

1. Identified, by brainstorming, issues to be discussed in establishing the partnering team's ground rules;
2. Identified and clarified the composition of the "core" team, individual team members' role, the role of the facilitator and the Tier II link;
3. Developed a standardized format for future meetings.

B. Action items:

1. The OPT to continue working to complete list of Tier II deliverables ("all").
2. The question of devoting several days to completing the deliverables separately from a regular "BCT" meeting remains open and needs an answer ("all").

II. BRAC Business

A. Decisions and Consensus Items:

1. The OPT approved a Bechtel-proposed change in the order of tank removal
2. The OPT designated Sites 8 and 9 and the AF site across from "9" for an Interim Removal Action (soil)/ Remedial Investigation (GW). These sites will be known as "OU3".
3. The OPT agreed to delay Group 1 Site screening report until an agreement (separately reached) is made regarding the streamlined approach to the presenting a Preliminary Risk Evaluation.

B. Action Items:

1. ABB to check with FDEP's Central District office regarding whether a permit is required to stage/store fuel-tainted soil;
2. Wayne Hansel to provide budget update next meeting
3. FDEP to review and provide comments regarding the Lead-Based Paint warning proposed by Wayne for incorporation into EBSS.
4. FDEP and EPA to review and provide comments regarding modifications to Groups 4 and 5 Site Screening Plan by 04 August 1995.
5. OPT to review and be prepared to discuss draft workplan for Pb screening (soil only) at next meeting.

III. General/Wrap-up

- A. Meeting concluded around 1200 01 August 1995.
- B. Mr. David Clowes announced his imminent departure for the FDEP District Office in Tampa.
- C. **Next meeting:** 1300 7-8 September, NTC Orlando; RAB meeting 7 September, Winter Park City Hall, City Commission Chamber (2nd floor).

  
Catherine A. Ballinger  
Recorder

NTC ORLANDO PARTNERING TEAM  
Detailed Meeting Notes  
31 July--01 August 1995

1300 31 July 1995

Wayne announced that the plane carrying the EPA and two members of the SOUTHDIV team had mechanical difficulties and they would be delayed. We began by bringing David Clowes up to speed on what happened during the Partnering conference in Atlanta.

We then began brainstorming issues to establish additional Ground Rules, in both areas of process and code of conduct. The issues follow:

GROUND RULES

1. Rotate:   a. Team Leader  
              b. scribe  
              c. gatekeeper/timekeeper
2. Proxy procedures
3. Rotate location
4. Member turnover
5. Primary/Secondary members   \*\*"secondary" now changed to "support"
6. Conflict Resolution
7. Decision making, Consensus and Comfort Indicator
8. Guests (including special presenters)
9. Standardized Format?
10. Substitute member
11. (Code of Conduct) Stick through the hard parts (work together to find a way out)
12. Amending Groundrules?
13. Definition of a meeting

The BCT then discussed whether issues were related (item 14 was subsequently combined with item 1 and appears as 1c; item 3 combined with 10.) We discussed the differences between proxy and support team members.

For the time being the issues above were tabled until Nancy (EPA) arrives and we moved on to defining who constituted the core team. By consensus, we arrived at the following:

CORE

Wayne (SODIV)  
Nancy (EPA)  
David (FDEP)  
Jim (ABB-CLEAN)  
Catherine (Activity)  
Mac (BEI-RAC)  
John (ABB-CLEAN)

## ROLE OF THE FACILITATOR

We next took up the issue of the role of the facilitator and Tier II link. We brainstormed on the ways a facilitator would help or hinder us to accomplish our goal of "faster, better, cheaper":

### HELP

- listen objectively
- help come to resolution faster
- keep focused
- help through hard spots
- achieve more efficient meetings
- teach us new tools
- allow team to work

### HINDER

- take a side
- takes time
- not listening
- not allow team to work

Nick clarified his objectives:

to -listen objectively, not to understand the process but enable it;

-sort out if people are arguing the same point but are not listening (e.g., a straw vote may indicate positions better than words);

-keep us focused in case we get off track

-wants us to allow him to critique the meeting

(!already identified in our groundrules!)

-will teach tools as it becomes necessary

-will not take sides

-will allow us to tell him if he's taking our time

-only wants to see us succeed

## ROLE OF THE TIER II LINK

In a similar vein, Mr. Eric Nuzie described his objectives as the Tier II Link:

-does not represent DEP, but Tier II

-not here to correct technical decision

-will try to limit his conversation (talks too much)

-is not a spy

-knows his place

-wants to share successes of other bases in the partnering arena (i.e., over the shoulder reviews)

-is here to strengthen the reality of empowered team members

-will give us progress report

His expectation:

-wants honesty-- tell him if he's talking too much, getting too involved

-wants feedback, wants to know if he's not doing his job

-wants to have input on our "critique"

Mac wanted to know if Eric was looking at the team from the standpoint what the decisions were or how we got to them. Also wanted to know how Tier II interacts with us when there is a mistake made (i.e. outside pressures like the City or something splitting us apart). Eric says that each member has manager;

then he drifted into saying...mistakes will be made, but it will be a team mistake... and it can be corrected. Mac clarified his question by saying it was not directed toward individual retribution.

01 AUGUST 1995

MEETING FORMAT

By consensus, the OPT agreed to the following format for future meetings:

1. Admin (15):       introduction, groundrules  
                  review minutes  
                  review agenda and last minute changes to agenda
2. Update (15):     Transition  
                  Tanks  
                  IR
3. Technical Presentation and Review (time TBD)
4. Partnering
5. Summary & Agenda/time/place for next meeting.
6. critique meeting.

\*\*\*This ended the "partnering issues" portion of the team meeting and we proceeded with "cleanup" directed issues as discussed below:

TANK ACTIVITIES

B7174: Found out plume had migrated, took confirmatory samples, headed SE toward drainage ditch. SOUTH DIV said it was a scope issue (contractually). Nick U. writing addendum to CAR (FDEP); it will be a full RAP. Nick thinks he will have a statement of work to ABB in two weeks. Previous tanks had been abandoned in place/closed out by Florida Petroleum Services (FPS) in 1991, but ABB trying to determine if they were closed out correctly. BEI to ask FPS (who was the contractor of record in 1991) to dig up paperwork.

Mac mentioned they (BEI) were working nearby at B7175 and he suggested the RD (remedial design) work for 7174 can be streamlined so that while BEI is mobilized perhaps they can do some removal action at 7174 also. He asked about the possibility of incorporating 7175 into the scope.

B109-- There are several possible sources of contamination: any of the 3--20K GA tanks; island pumps; or waste oil tanks. Water table is about 5' deep, not certain of GW flow direction, but it may flow NNE to Lake Baldwin or flow E to Lake Susannah. 60 proposed soil boring locations, 30 shallow monitoring wells, and 3 deep wells. FID readings will be taken. Nick thinks he'll

have money October 2, but there is some doubt whether FY 96 money will be available.

John distributed the Bi-weekly status report which incorporates latest NTC changes to TMP. 5 tanks were pulled last week.; 2 had contamination, soil-about 15 yds total (not much, but they were small tanks, 500GA). Mac asked to be on distribution list. Also, NTC needs to reprioritize FY 95 tank pull by Thursday. Team asked that changes to the Bi-weekly report be highlighted or underlined.

John said that closure reports for previously pulled tanks were awaiting approval from BEC as to format. Basically, these are clean closures.

Alternate Procedures: Still waiting from DEP for approval. Team agreed that biopiling is no longer the method of choice, but offsite incineration. David asked how long the soil might be in the accumulation area. Mac said long enough to gain an economical load. David said to check with the District office to find out if we need a permit for the staging area. Manuel Alonso (ABB) will check with District office.

BEI: developed a schedule with the subcontractor which is economically driven (mobilize-demobilize considered). BEI proposed a deviation from the first 19 to insert all the RTC tanks at once. Issues are: can NTC live with the order of removal, can NTC remove the product in the tank in time, and can FDEP/OC waive the 30 day notification process. The revised schedule would better serve the most recent concept of transfer/reuse, Mark Zill found another contractor capable of removing the product, and the team felt that OC (Orange County) would be amenable.

IR: only work going on is at OU1. Sampling has begun, should be done by the end of next week. After that all sampling under contract will have been done (OU1, Groups 1, 2, 3). IDW waste being disposed of as we speak. 14 AUG ABB will negotiate additional 3 sites found through the AF records search, plus groups 4 and 5, OU2, remainder of AF sites, and miscellaneous sites.

Wayne asked if lead-in-soil sampling had taken place. ABB would proposed to discuss it this afternoon; they have a draft workplan.

DISPENSATION OF GROUP I SITES, AND PRE: EPA review still pending on OU2 (agenda item for 7 September). Want to designate OUs out of Group I ; Wayne said for budget purposes we need to identify RI/FS or IRAs. He said sites 8 and 9 are candidates for action next fiscal year based on risk assessment; unfortunately, it's last parcel of property to transfer. PREs approved and complete for site 8 and 9. Discussed calling sites 8 and 9 an IRA; more likely to get FY 96 funding that way. Downside, present

pesticide/herbicide mixing area still in use and will be until closure. Also talked about the possibility of including an AF site across the street (no need to do a separate site screening when it's so close).

Team reach consensus on the following:

Site 8 and 9 and the AF site across from site 9 are now OU3 designated for and Interim Removal Action (soil)/Remedial Investigation (gw).

Wayne will give us a budget update next meeting.

PRE Issue: When EPA's Ted Simon was down he proposed a PRE process that was more streamlined than ABB's first effort. ABB now thinks even Ted's version might have room for abbreviation. ABB discussed strategy or purpose behind PRE. ABB brought up case study from Cecil Field and PCBs. Moral of the story: you gotta be careful assigning risk if data is sketchy. Ted has agreed to look at a simplified version of the PRE on 21 August in Atlanta at 0900. DEP presented its view: only for borderline sites, not needed on every site; could be tacked on to the screening report. Should be abbreviated. Doesn't think it should look at cumulative risk. Really only wants a comparison to an MCL or an ARAR.

However, the 21 AUG meeting will hopefully reconcile the various aspects of a PRE and develop a consistent model for the Southeast region.

Consensus reached on delaying Group 1 Site screening report until the PRE issue is settled.

EBS Status Report:

Wayne handed out his latest version of property transfers and indicated in handwriting which agencies had not responded yet to the draft EBS.

He mentioned that GOAA is interested in leasing McCoy Annex property by 01 OCT 95. He doesn't know if we can meet that deadline or who is going to write the EBS (ABB or SODIV--Billy Drawdy). Said if the decision is to task ABB with EBS, he would ask for an accelerated deliverable by 07 September. Weighed pros of expediency of SODIV vs. polished product of ABB.

Wayne said the we've already completed EBSs for bowling alley and youth center (what GOAA is really interested in). Hopes he can convince city and GOAA to accept a 01 Jan 96 lease. He also explained how City has not completed addressing homeless requirements, thus impacting the approval of the ROD. If the EIS is not approved, then the ROD will be delayed.

Next, Wayne Hansel presented proposed Pb warning to be included in the FOSL/Lease and the FOST/Deed. Stated that Housing will have the only enforceable conditions, however, Navy will extend

warning to all pre-1978 buildings (including admin/commercial structures). Will also extend the warning to asbestos as well. The warning specific to housing will contain additional caveats.

DEP wanted an opportunity to review the warning in Tallahassee so that it takes a consistent position for federal facilities. The team discussed a way to figure out how to indicate on the maps which facilities have asbestos or lead based paint. Again, we want to be consistent throughout Florida federal facilities.

ABB: Discussed changes to Site Screening activities in Group 4/5. Regulators not prepared to discuss or give input. Responses required by Friday, 4 August 1995.

Passed out draft workplan for Pb screening, soil only. Some discussion evolved regarding prospect of future reuse. Subject tabled for discussion at next OPT.

FDEP: David announced his imminent departure to go to District office in Tampa. DEP does not have someone to replace him yet. Eric says Tim (David's supervisor) might attend September meeting.

\*\*\*\*\*

Next meeting: 1300 7-8 September 1995 Orlando.

\*\*\*\*\*

Eric's Comments:

- groundrules should be read each day (helps guest know the rules)
- coach guests
- monitor scribe, help scribe
- use consensus more often (thumbs up...let every know)
- stick to your breaktimes
- make sure you introduce your guests.

Eric complemented us on our ability to work together.