32501.000
03.04.00.0010

code 1822PDC IJRA

N00204.AR.000290
NAS PENSACOLA
5090.3a

NAVAL AIR STATION, PENSACOLA, FLORIDA

QUARTERLY PROGRESS REPORT

FOURTH QUARTER. 1991

1 OCTOBER, 1991 - 31 DECEMBER, 1991

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background : A Federal Facilities Agreement (FFA) was signed by the U.S. Navy, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), and the State of Florida via the Florida department of Environmental Regulation on October 23, 1990. The FFA requires the Navy to submit to the other FFA parties on a quarterly basis a Quarterly Progress Report (QPR).

1.2 Scope : As provided for in FFA Part XII, Reporting, the QPR identifies and briefly describes the actions which the Navy has taken to implement FFA requirements in the previous quarter and those actions scheduled in the upcoming quarter. The activity narratives should include a statement on the manner and extent to which the Navy is meeting the schedules provided by the FFA in its Site Management Plan (SMP) and in the approved work plans. In addition to activity descriptions, any problems that c a d delays or anticipated problems that might cause delays are identified and the actions the Navy has or plans to take to manage the delays are discussed.

1.3 Schedule : The Navy is to transmit the QPR within *30* days of the end of the previous quarter.

2. FFA ACTIVITIES

2.1 SITE MANAGEMENT PLAN

2.1.1 The Navy submitted the draft 1992 Site Management Plan (SMP) to EPA and FDER on 6 September 91 and review comments were received from EPA on 11 October 1991. Approval was given by FDER on 23 September 1991, but was deferred pending EPA's comments and the Navy's response.

2.1.2 The Navy transmitted a revised 1992 SMP to EPA and FDER on 8 November 1991 based on comments by EPA received 11 October 1991.

2.1.3 The Navy has yet to receive final approval from EPA and FDER on the 92 SMP.

2.2 ADMINISTRATION

2.2.1 On 18 November 1991 Code 182 routed a memorandum outlining the transition to be made from Ecology and Environment to Ensafe/Allen & Hoshell.

2.2.2 The administrative requirements stated in the FFA and schedules provided in the SMP have been met during this quarter with exception of one deadline. EPA stated in a letter dated 23 December 1891 that due to the volume of documents submitted and other coincidental deadlines they would be unable to supply comments on Phase I Draft Workplans for Groups 0, H, I, L, P, and Q within the 90-day review period ending 22 December 1991 stipulated in the FFA and requested a 20-day extension to 13 January 1992. Preliminary Draft Comments on Groups 0, H, I, P, and Q were provided to the Navy at the 13 January 1992 RPM meeting by EPA. Group L Comments were not provided and the Navy was informed that comments would be submitted in 6 - 8 weeks. The Navy requested EPA to formally request an extension on Group L.

2.2.3 Contract negotiation took place between the Navy and Ecology and Environment on the transfer of information from E & E to CLEAN II (Ensafe/Allen & Hoshall).

2.2.4 An RPM Meeting took place in Atlanta at EPA Region IV on 13 January 1992. EPA, FDER, NOAA, and the Navy were present.

3.0 SITE WORK ACTIVITIES PERFORMED

3.1 Ecology and Environment submitted to the Navy the Final Interim Data Reports and the 100% Draft Revised Investigation Work Plans for Site Groups A through E and also submitted to the Navy responses to comments from EPA, FDER, and the TRC for these sites.

3.2 Ecology and Environment submitted to the Navy and NAS Pensacola the 90% Draft Interim Data Reports for Group F.

3.3 The Navy received comments from FONR concerning the Draft Contamination Assessment/ Remedial Activities Investigation Workplans for Groups H, I, L, P, and Q on 10 October 1991.

3.4 Response to review comments were submitted to EPA, NOAA, FOER, FDNR, NAS Pensacola, and PWC Pensacola on Draft Workplans Phase I and II for Operable Unit 10: Group 0 on 5 November 1891.

3.5 The Navy received comments from FOER on the Draft Contamination Assessment/Remedial Activities Workplans, Groups H, I, L, P, and Q on 12 November 1991.

3.6 The Navy received comments from FONR concerning the Draft Contamination Assessment/Remedial Activities Workplans for Groups A - Eon 12 November 1991.

3.7 The Navy submitted comments on the 90% Draft Revised General Health and Safety Plan, Site Management Plan, Project Management Plan, and Generic Quality Assurance Project Plan, Contamination Assessment/Remedial Activities Investigations and the Quarterly Report on Groundwater Monitoring Wastewater Treatment Facility, NAS Pensacola on 19 November 1991. These documents were then submitted to the RPM and TRC on 5 January 1992 as 100% Draft.

3.8 In a letter dated 16 December 1991 FDER reviewed the Navy's response to comments pertaining to Interim Data Reports/Proposed Phase II Workplans A - E and approved final Interim Data Report and development of Phase II Workplans from the proposed recommendations.

3.9 On 20 December 1991 Ecology and Environment submitted to the Navy the Oraft November 1991 Quarterly Report on Groundwater Monitoring, Wastewater Treatment Facility, NAS Pensacola.

4.0 On 23 December 1991 The Navy Submitted to Ecology and Environment comments on the 90% Draft/Final Contamination Assessment/Remedial Activities Investigations Workplan for Group O Report Submittal for NAS Pensacola.

4.1 The Navy submitted the Draft/Final Workplans for Operable Unit 10: Group O to the TRC/RPM on 7 January 1992.

4.2 On 15 January 1992 Ecology and Environment submitted to the Navy the Monthly Operation and Maintenance Report on the Industrial Wastewater Treatment Plant Groundwater Remediation.

4.0 UPCOMING QUARTER SITE WORK ACTIVITIES

4.1 The CRP is scheduled for revision. EPA has yet to provide comments on the previous version.

4.2 A TRC meeting is tentatively planned for March 1992 at NAS Pensacola. The intent of the meeting is to discuss all comments and responses associated with the Draft WorkPlans A through E and H, I, L, P, and Q.

4.3 The Oepartment of the Navy has scheduled a meeting for February 4th through the 7th to ensure a smooth transition from E & E, Inc. to Ensafe/Allen & Hoshall and to allow for E & E, Inc. to conduct a briefing/orientation/status report on the NAS Pensacola IR Program.

4.4 A formal extension request from the Navy to EPA on the Group O fieldwork will be required and forthcoming due to the transition to the new contract.

4.5 Ensafe/Allen & Hoshall will be contracted to deliver draft QAPP, SMP, PMP, and HSP for their personnel in the IR program for NAS Pensacola sites, which will require TRC/RPM review and comment and approval prior to any fieldwork.

4.6 In the 14 January 1992 ETAG Meeting the Navy was advised by the ETAG Group that the results were needed from the Phase II Workplans for Batch 1 & 2 before the Draft Workplans for OU 15 - 17 could be developed. Therefore, submittal of the Draft Workplans for OU 15 - 17 will be delayed until middle to late 1993.

4.7 A comprehensive document based on the Final Interim Data Reports for Batch 1 and 2 may be developed for the scoping meeting on OU 15 - 17 as requested by EPA. FDER and other Natural Trust. ees(Fish and Wildlife Service, NOAA, and FDNR) may be present.

4.8 The Navy will he in contact with EPA on the subject of a Ecological Study performed by EPA for the Navy on a cost reimbursement basis.

NAS PENSACOLA FLORIDA
Administrative Record File
Draft Index

Date Entered	Document Date	Subject
10/18/89	12/14/83	Geraghty & Miller, Inc., Plan of Action Naval Assessment and Control of Installation Pollutants, Verification Study Sites 1-3,9,11,15. 17,19,22,26,27,Bldg. 649/755, Bldg 648, IWTP Sludge Beds, and DWTP.
10/18/89	9/20/84	Geraghty & Miller, Inc., Verification Study- Assessment of Potential Ground-water Pollution at NAS, Pensacola, Fl.
10/18/89	10/26/87	Geraghty & Miller, Inc., Lithologic Logs, NAS Pensacola WWTP
10/18/89	3/18/89	Geraghty & Miller, Inc., Characterization Study, Assessment of Potential Groundwater Pollution at NAS Pensacola-Sites 1,11,15,19,26,27, 31,34.
10/18/89	12/00/88	Geraghty & Miller, Inc., Plan of Action Groundwater Feasibility Studies/ Risk Assessments at NAS Pensacola
10/18/89	1/00/85	Geraghty & Miller, Inc., Water Quality Assessment Program at the Wastewater Treatment Plant, NAS Pensacola (Phasel)

Enclosure(2)