

32501.000
03.04.00.0011

July 28, 1992
Code 186PDC / JRA

NAVAL AIR STATION, PENSACOLA, FLORIDA

N00204.AR.000409
NAS PENSACOLA
5090.3a

QUARTERLY PROGRESS REPORT

SECOND QUARTER, 1992

1 APRIL, 1992 - 30 JUNE, 1992

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background : A Federal Facilities Agreement (FFA) was signed by the U.S. Navy, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), and the State of Florida via the Florida department of Environmental Regulation on October 23, 1990. The FFA requires the Navy to submit to the other FFA parties on a quarterly basis a Quarterly Progress Report (QPR).

1.2 Scope : As provided for in FFA Part XII, Reporting, the QPR identifies and briefly describes the actions which the Navy has taken to implement FFA requirements in the previous quarter and those actions scheduled in the upcoming quarter. The activity narratives should include a statement on the manner and extent to which the Navy is meeting the schedules provided by the FFA in its Site Management Plan (SMP) and in the approved work plans. In addition to activity descriptions, any problems that caused delays or anticipated problems that might cause delays are identified and the actions the Navy has or plans to take to manage the delays are discussed.

1.3 Schedule : The Navy is to transmit the QPR within 30 days of the end of the previous quarter.

2. FFA ACTIVITIES

2.1 SITE MANAGEMENT PLAN

2.1.1 On 27 March 1992 the Navy submitted the 1992 Yearly **SMP as well as the 1993 Preliminary Generic Draft SMP** to the EPA and FDER for review.

2.1.2 On 14 April **FDER** submitted comments on **March 31 1991 SMP**. The comments were focused on the problems with Navy funding and the fieldwork startup.

2.2 ADMINISTRATION

2.2.1 In a letter dated 30 March 1992 the Navy informed the EPA and FDER of the Navy's experienced shortfall for operating resources and funding. The letter served as the Navy's written notice of intent to extend the "Start Date" schedule of the 1992 SMP for fieldwork until December 16, 1992, Also, due to the transltion of Contractors, the Navy formally requested a 60 day extension to the Operable Unit 10: Group O: Batch 3 "Start Date" for fieldwork.

2.2.2 In a letter dated 13 March 1992 the Navy received review comments from the EPA on the Community Relations Plan in an effort to update the CRP since changes have occurred relevant to the RI/FS process since this primary document was finalized 1 November 1990.

2.2.3 The Navy received review comments from the EPA in a letter dated 1 April 1992 on the revised December 1991 SMP as well as the PMP, QUAPP, and H&SP.

2.2.4 In a letter dated 3 April 1992 EPA requested that the Navy correct the primary and secondary lists in the FFA by replacing ~~Risk Assessment Report~~ with ~~Baseline Risk Assessment Report~~ and also delete ~~Baseline Risk Assessment~~. The Navy responded in a letter dated 12 May 1992 stating that it was not in the best interest of the Navy to make the recommended changes to the FFA.

2.2.5 In a letter to EPA and FDER dated 11 June 1992 the Navy formally requested a twenty (20) day extension for the issuance of the Draft/Final Phase I Workplans for Groups H, I, P, and Q. The same request was made for Draft/Final Phase II Workplans for Groups A - E. FDER concurred with the extension requests in a letter dated 12 June 1992.

QUARTERLY PROGRESS REPORT

July 28, 1992

NAS Pensacola

PAGE 8 OF 5

3.0 SITE WORK ACTIVITIES PERFORMED

- 3.1 In a letter dated 18 March 1992 the EPA approved the use of polyvinyl chloride as monitoring well construction material provided that the Navy will be responsible for any and all compounds or contaminants found in association with PVC groundwater monitoring wells at NAS Pensacola. EPA acceptance of PVC for well casing material applies to all Operable Units for which a Draft RI/FS Workplan has been received and/or approved prior to submittal of this letter.
- 3.2 On 30 March 1992 Ecology and Environment submitted the Word Processed Version of Final Interim Data Reports, Site Groups A through E to the Navy.
- 3.3 FDER submitted comments on the 31 March 1992 version of the SMP. FDER was concerned with the explanation of the lack of funding before they could approve the SMP. The Navy responded the same
- 3.4 The Navy received comments from the EPA in a letter dated 1 April 1992 on the Draft Group L Workplan for Sites 4, 5, 6 and 16. Given the current screening status of these sites, EPA stated that no formal review and revision schedule is required for this workplan under the FFA.
- 3.5 Ecology and Environment submitted the 100% Draft Revised Investigation Workplans--Sections 14, Site Groups G and K on 2 April 1992 to the Navy.
- 3.6 On 7 April 1992 Ecology and Environment submitted to the Navy the March 1992 Monthly Operation and Maintenance Report on the Industrial Wastewater Treatment Plant Groundwater Remediation.
- 3.7 In a letter dated 8 April 1992 the Navy received a letter from EPA in response to the Navy's request to delay field start for Batches 1, 3, and 4. EPA requested good cause for the delay ie., an explanation of the reasons for lack of funding and operating resources for Batches 1 and 4. Regarding the request to delay field work for Batch 3, EPA requested the Navy provide a definite field start date before the extension request could be considered. EPA also stated that they had not received a final copy of the RI/FS Workplan for Operable Unit 10 which satisfactorily incorporates the changes requested by EPA and that further delay with submittal might serve as cause for dispute. In response to EPA's comments the Navy stated in a letter dated 28 April 1992 that it did not believe it was necessary to explain the Navy's funding process or why the Navy did not receive adequate funds from Washington. The Navy also stated that they provided EPA with Final Copy replacement pages for Operable Unit 10: Group O: RI/FS Workplan on 30 March 1992.

QUARTERLY PROGRESS REPORT

July 28, 1992

NAS Pensacola

PAGE 4 OF 5

3.8 Ecology and Environment submitted response to comments on the Investigation Workplans for Site Groups H, I, P, and Q from EPA, FDER and FDNR on 10 April 1992.

3.9 On 15 April 1992 the Navy submitted the Draft Phase II Workplans for Batch 2: Groups F, G, J, K, N, and M: OU 6-9: PSC Sites 3, 7, 9, 10, 21, 23, 25, 27, 29, 31, 34, and 36 and the Draft Phase I Interim Data Reports for Batch 2 to EPA and FDER for review and comment.

4.0 Ecology and Environment submitted the 100% Revised Investigation Workplans for Groups F, G, J, K, M, and N to the Navy on 16 April 1992 as well as the Draft Final Interim Data Reports for Site Groups F, G, H, K, M, and N.

4.1 On 22 April 1992 the Navy's responses to EPA and FDNR review comments for the Draft Workplans Phase II: Groups A-E were submitted to EPA and FDNR.

4.2 On 28 April 1992 the Navy sent EPA's comments on the Community Relations Plan to Mr. Ron Joyner at NAS Pensacola.

4.3 On 12 May 1992 the Navy notified EPA and FDER of the AOC designation of parts of Sites 28 and 36 which will be impacted by MILCON P-100: Fuel Tankage, NSC Pensacola, Florida.

4.4 The EPA faxed comments and recommendations from the site visit conducted by several EPA technical staff members and Natural Resource Trustees March 4-6. This information should prove helpful to the Navy in preparing the RI/FS Workplans for Operable Units 15 and 17 on 8 June 1992.

4.5 FDER submitted comments on the Interim Data Reports (Phase I) and Proposed Contamination Assessment/Remedial Activities Investigation Workplans (Phase II), Groups F, G, J, K and N on 15 June 1992.

4.6 There was an RPM Meeting held in Pensacola, FL June 16 and 17 and in Charleston at SOUTHDIV on 28 May.

4.7 The Navy submitted to FDER, FDNR, and EPA responses to their comments on the revised SMP, revised PMP, revised QAPP and revised HASP on June 26 1992.

4.8 The Navy submitted the Draft/Final phase II workplans for groups H, I, P, and Q: OU's 11-14 on 7 July 1992.

QUARTERLY PROGRESS REPORT
NAS Pensacola

July 28, 1992
PAGE 5 OF 5

4.9 The Navy submitted the Draft/Final Phase II Workplans for Groups A through E; OUs 1-5 on 13 July 1992,

4.0 UPCOMING QUARTER SITE WORK ACTIVITIES

4.1 A scoping RPM/TRC Meeting is set for 9 and 10 September to go over FDER/FDNR/EPA comments on the Comprehensive Data Summary report and TRC update.

4.2 An RPM Meeting will be held to fine tune the 1993 Site Management Plan due 1 September 1992.

4.3 The Generic HASP, SMP, PMP, and QUAPP will be finalized,

4.4 Group L Draft/Final Phase I Report will be submitted.