

32501.000
13.03.00.0008

N00204.AR.000435
NAS PENSACOLA
5090.3a

MINUTES OF THE SIXTH
TECHNICAL REVIEW COMMITTEE MEETING
NAVAL AIR STATION PENSACOLA
PENSACOLA, FLORIDA
September 10, 1992

October 1992

Prepared for:

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
SOUTHERN DIVISION
NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND
2155 Eagle Drive, P.O. Box 10068
Charleston, South Carolina 29411-0068

Contract Number N62467-88-C-0200



ecology and environment, inc,

316 SOUTH BAYLEN STREET, PENSACOLA, FLORIDA 32501, TEL. (904) 436-8925
International Specialists in the Environment

The Sixth Technical Review Committee (TRC) meeting was held on September 10, 1992, in Building Number 52 at the Naval Air Station (NAS) Pensacola, Florida and commenced at 10:00 a.m. The attendees of the meeting were:

Captain Allan Johnson, P.E.	- Commanding Officer (CO) Public works Center, NAS Pensacola;
Commander Brad Poelter	- Executive Officer for NAS Pensacola;
Captain Sharon Ghurke	- Executive Officer NADEP, Pensacola;
Linda Martin	- U.S. Navy Southern Division (South Div), Charleston;
Frank Stewart	- NADEP, NAS, Pensacola;
Russ Bell	- Facility Management Department, NAS Pensacola;
Waynon Johnson	- National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Atlanta;
Lynn Griffin	- Florida Department of Environmental Regulation (FDER), Tallahassee;
Diane Bateman	- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), Panama City;
Henry H. Beiro	- EnSafe/Allen & Hoshall, Inc. (EnSafe), Memphis;
Allison W. Drew	- Environmental Protection Agency Region IV (EPA), Atlanta;

Joan Dupont	- EPA, Atlanta;
Dan Scheidt	- EPA, Athens, Georgia;
Jim Crane	- FDER, Tallahassee;
Jorge R. Caspary	- FDER, Tallahassee;
Eric S. Nuzie	- FDER, Tallahassee;
John Mitchell	- Florida Department of Natural Resources (FDNR), Tallahassee;
Doug Heatwole	- Ecology and Environment, Inc. (E & E), Tallahassee; and
John D. Barksdale	- E & E, Pensacola.

Commander Brad Poelter began the meeting by introducing himself and Captain Ghurke and thanking everyone for attending. He then turned over the meeting to Linda Martin.

L. Martin began by welcoming everyone to the meeting. She went on to state that this meeting will be an update on the progress of the project since the last TRC meeting in July 1991. She continued saying that John Barksdale would give an update on the progress of the work plan and report preparation since the last TRC meeting, she will give an update on the progress of the administrative record and recategorizing the sites on the Federal Facilities Agreement Site Management Plan (SMP), and then Henry Beiro will give an update on the project transition to EnSafe and the tasks completed to date. She then introduced J. Barksdale.

J. Barksdale started his presentation by stating that the work performed since the last TRC meeting has mostly been "in-house" finalizing of

reports and work plans. J. Barksdale continued stating that he will be going through each segment of the project and outlining progress that has been made during the past year.

At this point a handout sheet with a list of the work by site groups was given out. This was also displayed on an overhead projector.

J. Barksdale continued with his presentation with Site Groups A through E which are referred to as Batch 1. The fieldwork for these groups was completed and the interim data reports were finalized for these sites in October 1991. The Phase I results were incorporated into the work plans for the Phase II investigation. J. Barksdale gave the dates that the work plans and reports were completed. Continuing with Site Groups F, G, J, K, M and N, J. Barksdale stated that E & E was still in the final phase of the fieldwork for these sites at the time of the last TRC meeting. He gave the fieldwork completion date and the submittal dates for the reports and revised work plans. Continuing with Site Group O, which is three sites and encompasses the Industrial Wastewater Treatment Plant (IWTP), he elaborated on the progression of the work and the dates listed on the handout. He went on to state one of the ongoing projects at the facility is keeping the IWTP in compliance with the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) permit and keeping the groundwater recovery wells pumping water into the IWTP. J. Barksdale stated that E 6 E also did an engineering design for new submersible pumps, in order to allow the recovery system to operate more efficiently. He then went on to Site Groups H, I, P and Q and stated that these sites are still in the planning stages. The fieldwork will be conducted by EnSafe, and this will be the first round of investigation for this group of sites. J. Barksdale went on to Group L which are screening sites and stated that they are listed in the SMP schedule to track progress only. He also stated that these sites have not had any fieldwork done yet and that they will be performed by EnSafe. J. Barksdale went on to Site Groups R, S and T and gave the location/description of these sites as Pensacola Bay, Bayou Grande and the NAS Pensacola Wetlands. He continued stating that this group of sites has a whole different set of

requirements for investigation. The emphasis for these sites has been on the ecological risk assessment (ERA). He then listed the dates for the reports, work plans and meetings for these sites. J. Barksdale continued saying that the next task for these sites is to develop the ERA work plans, which will be done by EnSafe. J. Barksdale then explained that the Federal Facilities Agreement has established Remedial Project Managers (RPMs) and that there are regularly scheduled RPM meetings that facilitate communication between the various agencies and sharing of technical information. He continued by giving the dates of the previous RPM meetings and went on to explain generally what is discussed at these meetings. J. Barksdale also said that the project is in the midst of a transition of contractors from E & E to EnSafe and mentioned the transfer of project documents from E & E to EnSafe. He then turned the meeting back over to L. Martin.

L. Martin explained that EnSafe has been tasked to organize the administrative record for NAS Pensacola. She continued stating that, in addition to site groups, batches, and operable units (OUs), another grouping has been added which are the categories. She then began to list the sites that are contained in each category and the sites which are being moved from one category to another.

Captain Ghurke stated that she could not figure out what L. Martin was referring to and she asked if they could take the two listings and consolidate them.

L. Martin answered yes, and went on to state that the list of sites and categories is very confusing and that the Navy and the EPA are working this out now in order to keep the administrative record straight.

Waynon Johnson asked if there was a new complete index of all of the categories.

L. Martin answered that there is not a complete index of all the groups categories and sites, and that, until the updated SMP is approved, the categories are not final. She went on to say that the Navy had

submitted the revised SMP to the EPA and that the EPA will be reviewing it. She then introduced Henry Beiro of EnSafe.

H. Beiro began his presentation by giving a brief status of the work that EnSafe is currently implementing. This work includes a health and safety plan and the sampling and analysis plan associated with the work plans for OU 10 and Site 13 which were completed by E & E. He further stated that these sampling and analysis plans have been completed and have been submitted to the agencies for review. Once agency comments are received and the plans are finalized, the fieldwork will begin. This is anticipated to occur in late October. He stated that a task order to add Site 13 work to OU 10 is scheduled to be negotiated the next day. H. Beiro continued saying that a task order for preparing ecological risk assessment work plans for OUs 15, 16 and 17 (Bayou Grande, Pensacola Bay and NAS Pensacola Wetlands) will be negotiated on the 21st of September. The fieldwork for OUs 15, 16 and 17 is anticipated to occur in mid to late 1993. He further stated that there have been no problems with the project transition from E & E to EnSafe. H. Beiro asked if there were any questions regarding his presentation.

L. Martin added that OUs 15, 16 and 17 are in category 4 and have already been negotiated. She continued saying that category 2 and 3 will be negotiated on the 21st of September which will allow them to have more data to scope the work plans for OUs 15, 16 and 17. She further stated that by the end of the year she hoped to have the first four categories in progress and then start negotiating Categories 5, 6 and 7 by the beginning of the next year.

W. Johnson asked if the category designations is a ranking of priorities.

L. Martin answered that the categories are not a true prioritization but are designed to help keep things on track. She went on to explain that in order to do the work plan for OUs 15, 16 and 17, they needed most of the work for Categories 2 and 3 completed. She also stated that in Category 2 and 3 there are two radium sites, as well as Category 1,

OU 10 (the IWTP), so they felt that they needed to try to proceed with a Record of Decision (ROD) as soon as possible due to these being high visibility sites. She said that they were also trying to group things so that they weren't doing all the work plans at the same time and fieldwork would be somewhat phased or staggered.

W. Johnson asked if the lower the category number, the faster the Navy will be giving attention to the site.

L. Martin answered yes and no. She then went on to explain that Categories 1 through 3 will have RODs done on them first, then they will proceed with the work plan on Category 4. She continued saying that the Categories 5 through 7 fieldwork should be proceeding in March. She said that the categories do include some degree of prioritization, but not a lot.

Commander Poelter asked when the first sites would be cleaned up.

L. Martin answered February 1994 to September of 1994.

H. Beiro answered that those were the dates for the RODs, and that the ROD due dates are September of 1994. However, for the actual cleanup of the sites, they are looking at February 1996.

Commander Poelter asked if that was for all of them or just Category 1.

H. Beiro answered that the remedial actions would just be beginning in 1996.

Commander Poelter asked if they are just getting ready now to begin the cleanup.

L. Martin answered yes, that they are doing all the site investigation work right now.

Commander Poelter asked when they started doing that, and then stated 1991.

H. Beiro went on to say that there will probably be some interim removals and then mentioned the Oak Grove Campground site (Site 39) as an example. He continued saying that they can probably reach a ROD and execute a removal on that site relatively quickly.

Commander Poelter stated that, if someone were to ask him when they are going to have these sites cleaned up, that his answer would be, "probably not be in this century".

L. Martin answered that was correct, but as far as the RODs for starting the cleanup, the last one should be completed in September of 1995.

Captain Johnson asked if the underground storage tank sites will fit into this schedule or will they fall behind.

L. Martin answered that J. Barksdale briefly mentioned this earlier, and that the underground storage tank sites are in another program, the RCRA program, and that the other sites are in the CERCLA program. However, they are in the process of moving approximately nine underground storage tank sites into the CERCLA program. L. Martin went on to explain that there are two different sets of regulations that apply. Some of these sites were sampled and found to be contaminated only with petroleum, which put them back into the RCRA regulations. They will not be doing anything to those sites but the RCRA people will.

Eric Nuzie said he wanted to mention that the FDER had also approved the revised SMP. He said he also wanted to bring up the sign rule and the fact that some sites will need signs. He said and that there was also a rule for signs on hazardous waste equipment.

L. Martin asked if that (signs on hazardous waste equipment) had been discussed before.

E. Nuzie answered that it had not been discussed previously, but that he had noticed it in the rules and wanted to bring it up.

L. Martin answered that she thought the base had already taken care of that, but that she could check. She said she knows that the hazardous waste storage facility has signs because she has seen them.

Jim Crane brought up the point that some of the underground storage tank sites at the end of L. Martins list are listed as Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendment (HSWA) sites. He continued saying that if these sites are petroleum sites, they will be handled by the State of Florida which has its own set of regulations. For these sites the Navy would be working only with the State and not with the EPA. The exception would be those sites which were originally petroleum and have now been moved to the CERCLA program, such as the NADEP sites. He also added that RCRA doesn't cover the petroleum sites (Proper guidelines are Chapter 17-770 Florida Administrative Code).

L. Martin asked if he was referring to those sites after Category 7.

J. Crane answered that there wasn't a number on them.

L. Martin stated that the ones without the numbers were the ones switched over to the state's program. She then thanked everyone for attending the meeting.

The meeting was adjourned at approximately 11:00 a.m.