



Florida Department of Envir

Twin Towers Office Bldg. o 2600 Blair Stone Road o Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400

Lawton Chiles, Governor

Carol M. Browner, Secretary

32501.000
09.01.00.0081

N00204.AR.000493

NAS PENSACOLA

5090.3a

January 11, 1993

CERTIFIED MAIL
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Ms. Linda Martin
Code 1851
Department of the Navy - Southern Division
Naval Facilities Engineering Command
2155 Eagle Drive
Post Office Box 10068
Charleston, South Carolina 29411-0068

Dear Ms. Martin:

Department personnel have completed the review of the Navy's responses to our NAS Pensacola Site Management Plan comments. I have enclosed a memorandum addressed to me from Mr. Jorge R. Caspary. It documents our comments on the referenced report.

If I can be of any further assistance with this matter, please contact me at 904/488-0190.

Sincerely,

Eric S. Nuzie

Federal Facilities Coordinator

ESN/bb

Enclosure

cc: Jorge Caspary
Bill Kellenberger
Ron Joyner
Allison Drew
Satish Kastury



State of Florida
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATION

For Routing To Other Than The Addressee	
To: _____	Location: _____
To: _____	Location: _____
To: _____	Location: _____
From: _____	Date: _____

Interoffice Memorandum

TO: Eric S. Nuzie, Federal Facilities Coordinator
Bureau of Waste Cleanup

THROUGH: Dr. James J. Crane, PGIII/Administrator *JJC*
Technical Review Section

FROM: Jorge R. Caspary, P.G. Base Coordinator *J.R.C.*
Technical Review Section

DATE: January 4, 1993

SUBJECT: Review of US Navy's responses on Site Management Plan
Comments. Pensacola Naval Air Station.

The above referenced responses to the 1993 Site Management Plan comments have been reviewed and Departmental concerns have been discussed via numerous telephone conversations with the Navy's RPM. Pending the result of the proposed meeting between US EPA, US Navy, and the Department regarding Site 36, the 1993 Pensacola NAS Site Management Plan should be given a conditional approval. Regarding the Navy's responses to Departmental comments, the Department offers the following comments:

Departmental Response to US Navy's Response No. 1

The Navy's RPM has indicated that the sampling effort to be conducted on Sites 12, 13, 14, and 24 will be done under level III or IV QA/QC protocols which has been advocated by the Department for a long time. This is an acceptable response. Once the sampling effort is finished and validated laboratory data available, then the site should be either proposed for a No Further Action or proceed on to the RI/FS status. Please note, if these sites are put on a RI/FS status and depending on the levels of chemical constituents found, the scheduling in the 1994 SMP may have to be modified to account for a quicker assessment and eventual remediation.

Departmental Response to US Navy's Response No. 2

It is still the Department's position that the best course of action at this site is to proceed with the RI/FS and to modify the FFA; however, the Department is aware of the the sewer works complexity and linear extent of this site, therefore, the Department believes that this issue should be further discussed and settled via a RPM meeting.

Eric S. Nuzie
January, 1993
Page Two

Departmental Response to US Navy's Response No. 3

The Department thanks the Navy for providing a tentative schedule of activities that address the Oak Grove Campground site and awaits the result of analysis in soil and groundwater.