



32501.000
09.01.00.0093

Florida Department of Environmental Regulation

Twin Towers Office Bldg. • 2600 Blair Stone Road • Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400

Lawton Chiles, Governor

Virginia B. Wetherell, Secretary

N00204.AR.000573

June 8, 1993

NAS PENSACOLA

5090.3a

CERTIFIED MAIL
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Ms. Linda Martin
Department of the Navy
Southern Division - Code 1851
Naval Facilities Engineering Command
Post Office Box 10068
Charleston, South Carolina 29411-0068

Dear Ms. Martin:

Department personnel have completed the technical review of the RI/FS Comprehensive Sampling and Analysis Plan, NAS Pensacola. I have enclosed a memorandum addressed to me from Mr. Jorge R. Caspary. It documents our comments on the referenced report.

If I can be of any further assistance with this matter, please contact me at 904/488-0190.


Sincerely,

Eric S. Nuzie
Federal Facilities Coordinator

ESN/bb

Enclosure

cc: Jorge Caspary
Bill Kellenberger
Ron Joyner
Allison Drew
Satish Kastury
Lynn Griffin
John Mitchell



State of Florida
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATION

For Routing To Other Than The Addressee	
To: _____	Location: _____
To: _____	Location: _____
To: _____	Location: _____
From: _____	Date: _____

Interoffice Memorandum

TO: Eric S. Nuzie, Federal Facilities Coordinator
Bureau of Waste Cleanup

THROUGH: Dr. James J. Crane, PG Administrator
Technical Review Section *JJC*

FROM: Jorge R. Caspary, PG I/Base Coordinator
Technical Review Section *JRC*

DATE: May 25, 1993

SUBJECT: Review of RI/FS Comprehensive Sampling and Analysis
Plan. Naval Air Station Pensacola.

I have reviewed the above referenced document; it presents acceptable overall guidance on sampling and analysis methodologies to be used during the course of the RI phase of the RI/FS effort currently underway at Pensacola NAS. Save for the comment that follows, the document should be considered final.

As discussed during the 12 May 1993, Operable Units 15, 16, and 17 meeting, the Navy will modify Section 8.0 -Ecological Assessment- from a broad three-phased approach to a more focused two-phased approach. This change follows suggestions from State Natural Resource Trustees, NOAA, US Fish and Wildlife Service, and USEPA personnel and were concurred with by the Navy, FDER, and USEPA. As agreed during the meeting, the Navy will only submit a separate and revised Section No. 8.0 -Ecological Assessment-.