

~~July 29, 1993~~

Code 186PDC/JRA

NAVAL AIR STATION, PENSACOLA, FLORIDA
QUARTERLY PROGRESS REPORT

N00204.AR.000589
NAS PENSACOLA
5090.3a

SECOND QUARTER, 1993

1 JANUARY 1993 - 31 MARCH 1993

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background : A Federal Facilities Agreement (FFA) was signed by the U.S. Navy, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), and the State of Florida via the Florida Department of Environmental Regulation on October 23, 1990. The FFA requires the Navy to submit to the other FFA parties on a quarterly basis a Quarterly Progress Report (QPR).

1.2 Scope : As provided for in FFA Part XII, Reporting, the QPR identifies and briefly describes the actions which the Navy has taken to implement FFA requirements in the previous quarter and those actions scheduled in the upcoming quarter. The activity narratives should include a statement on the manner and extent to which the Navy is meeting the schedules provided by the FFA in its Site Management Plan (SMP) and in the approved work plans. In addition to activity descriptions, any problems that caused delays or anticipated problems that might cause delays are identified and the actions the Navy has or plans to take to manage the delays are discussed.

1.3 Schedule : The Navy is to transmit the QPR within **30** days of the end of the previous quarter.

2. FFA ACTIVITIES

2.1 SITE MANAGEMENT PLAN

2.1.1 A letter dated 11 January 1993 from FDER provided review of the Navy's responses to FDER's comments on the 1993 SMP.

2.1.2 On 1 February 1993 EPA informed the Navy and FDER by letter of the elevation to dispute resolution regarding the revision of the FY93 SMP. The letter addressed the issues in dispute as well as the Agency's position. An RPM meeting was scheduled for 3 February 1993. A separate meeting was scheduled for 4 February 1993 to attempt to solve these issues at the informal level.

2.1.3 On 4 March 1993 the Navy sent EPA and FDER a letter stating the Navy's proposed revisions to the FY93 SMP as a result of the February 4, 1993 meeting regarding the dispute resolution.

2.1.4 On 13 March 1993 the Navy received a letter from EPA stating the results of the February 3-4

meeting to resolve the dispute resolution (Navy Note: February 3 was an RPM meeting about the project approach for Site 36 and February 4 was a separate meeting only for dispute resolution. After discussions significant progress was made and EPA extended the period of informal dispute by 45 days to ensure resolution of the dispute.

2.1.5 In a letter to the Navy dated 19 March 1993 EnSafe requested 60 days to develop the RI/BRA for Operable Unit 10 and Site 13. The 13 April 93 SMP which includes these 60 days is the approved final SMP.

2.2 ADMINISTRATION

2.2.1 A letter dated 4 January 1993 from FDER approved the Final Data Summary and Preliminary Scoping for the Ecological Risk Assessment.

2.2.2 A letter to the Navy and FDER dated 7 January 1993 EPA invoked informal dispute resolution on the Draft Final RIIFS Work Plans for former Groups F, G, J, K, M, and N including Sites 9, 10, 29, 34, 25, 27, 3, 7, 31, and 36. EPA's basis for this dispute was that the Navy did not adequately address their comments. As a result in the Navy, by a letter dated 13 January 1993, requested from EPA exactly which comments were not addressed to their satisfaction.

2.2.3 A letter dated 8 January 1993 from FDER approved the Draft Final RI Work Plans for Site Groups F, G, J, K, M, and N.

2.2.4 A letter dated 11 January 1993 from FDER provided technical review of the Navy's Responses to Comments by FDER on the Draft Interim Data Reports for Site Groups F, G, J, K, M and N.

2.2.5 On 3 March 1993 the Navy received a letter from EPA outlining the specific comments on the RI/FS which EPA felt the Navy did not adequately address which prompted the informal dispute resolution.

2.2.6 A letter dated 17 February 1993 from EPA stated, due to other activities involving the Navy and EPA, more time was needed to resolve the RIIFS Work Plan informal dispute resolution and recommended a 45-day extension.

2.2.7 A letter to the Navy dated 24 March 1993 from EnSafe requested a second modification to CTO-58 to conduct a photogrammetric survey at NAS Pensacola, which will be executed in a new SOW 41.

2.2.8 A letter dated 30 March 1993 the Navy informed EPA and FDER, due to the large number of comments and trying to award new contracts, an additional 70 days was needed to settle the informal dispute resolution on the Draft/Final RI/FS Work Plans for PSC's 9, 10, 29, 34, 25, 27, 3, 7, 31, and 36.

2.2.9 Fieldwork began for Category 5: PSC's 3, 9, 10, 14, 29, and 34, Category 6: PSC's 15, 17, 18, 24,

and 28 and Category 7: PSC's 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 12, 16, 22, and 26.

2.3.0 A portion of Site 36 (the sewer line beginning near testing location 045/A and continuing north to the IWTP) was divided into Site 30. Another portion of Site 36 (the sewer line beginning at testing location 073/C and continuing southwestern to the end of the line) was divided into Site 38 and the remainder will become Site 36.

2.3.1 On 27 April 1993 Categories 5 (Sites 9, 10, 14, 29, and 34) and 7 (Sites 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 12, 16, 22, and 26) were awarded.

2.3.2 Site 31 was incorporated into Site 30.

3.0 SITE WORK ACTIVITIES PERFORMED

3.1 A letter to the Navy dated 4 January 1993 from EnSafe provided the site health and safety audit conducted at NAS Pensacola in December 1992.

3.2 On 8 January 1993 the Navy received the Draft Sampling and Analysis Plan, RI/FS, for Category III: Sites 2, 11, 30, and 38.

3.3 The Navy received the Draft Health and Safety Plan for Site 36 from EnSafe on 9 January 1993.

3.4 The Navy received the Draft Sampling and Analysis Plan for Site 36-Sewer Line in support of construction of P-100, Fuel Tankage from EnSafe on 13 January 1993.

3.5 EnSafe provided the Navy the Draft RI/FS Work Plan, Site 41 on 14 January 1993.

3.6 On 1 February 1993 the Navy received the EPA approval for Final Sampling and Analysis Plan for Operable Unit 10 and Site 13.

3.7 The Navy received the Final Sampling and Analysis Plan for Site 36-Sewer Line in support of construction of P-100, Fuel Tankage from EnSafe on 5 February 1993.

3.8 On 5 February 1993 the Navy gave EnSafe permission to begin fieldwork at Site 36 in support of sampling for P-100, Fuel Tankage.

3.9 A letter dated 26 February 1993 from FDER provided comments to the Navy on the Draft Sampling and Analysis Plan, Category II, Site 1, 25, 27, and 39 and the RI/FS Draft Work Plans, OU17, Site 42, Pensacola Bay, and OU15, Site 40, Bayou Grande.

4.0 A letter to the Navy dated 10 March 1993 from EPA provided comments for Sampling and Analysis Plans for Investigative Categories 2 and 3.

4.1 A letter to the Navy dated 11 March 1993 from FDER approved the Draft RI/FS Work Plan, Site 41 to go final.

4.2 A letter to the Navy date 22 March 1993 FDER provided comments on the Draft RI/FS Sampling and Analysis Plan, Category 111, Sites 2, 11, 30, and 38.

4.3 A letter dated 22 March 1993 from EnSafe provided the Navy with the Draft Comprehensive Health and Safety Plan.

4.4 A letter to the Navy dated 25 March 1993 from NOAA provided comments on the Draft RI/FS Work Plans for Site 40, Bayou Grande, Site 41, NASP Wetlands, and Site 42, Pensacola Bay.

4.0 UPCOMING QUARTER SITE WORK ACTIVITIES

4.1 Draft Comprehensive Sampling and Analysis Plan

4.2 Final Comprehensive Health and Safety Plan

4.3 Award of contract of Category 6 (Sites 15, 17, 18, 24, and 28).

4.4 Award of modification #2 to CTO 58 for Site 30 and 38 and award of contract for miscellaneous CTO for SOW 41.