



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION  
REGION IV  
345 COURTLAND STREET, N.E.  
ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30365

32501.001  
03.01.01.0021  
N00204.AR.000598  
NAS PENSACOLA  
5090.3a

JUL 29 1993

4WD-FFB

CERTIFIED MAIL  
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Commanding Officer  
Attn: Ms. Linda Martin - Code 1851  
SOUTHNAVF'ACENCOM  
P.O. Box 190010  
North Charleston, South Carolina 29419-9010

Re: Review of Proposed Field Changes for Sites 1 & 11;  
NAS Pensacola, Florida  
EPA Site ID No.: FL 9170024567

Dear Ms. Martin:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has completed its review of the the Navy's Proposed Field Changes for Sites 1 & 11. Our comments are enclosed. Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions or require further clarification on these issues. Please respond to the enclosed comments by transmitting either written correspondence indicating the Navy's response to EPA's comments, or replacement pages to be inserted in these documents, within 21 days of your receipt of this letter.

Sincerely yours,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Allison W. Drew".

Allison W. Drew, RPM  
Department of Defense Remedial Section  
Federal Facilities Branch

Enclosure

cc: Ron Joyner, NAS, Pensacola  
Eric Nuzie, FDER  
Henry Beiro, Ensafe/Allen & Hoshall

TECHNICAL REVIEW AND COMMENTS  
PROPOSED FIELD CHANGES FOR SITES 1 & 11  
NAVAL AIR STATION (NAS) PENSACOLA  
PENSACOLA, FLORIDA

Site 1:

1. Page 4, Paragraph 3:  
The Party responsible for recommending, proposing and conducting all RI/FS activities is the Navy, not the Navy's contractor. Please make the necessary corrections here and throughout the text as needed.
2. Pages 5-6, "Location Rationale":  
Site boundaries and the areas shown on Figure 1 as not having been used should be confirmed using geophysical or trenching techniques, or both. Please amend the list of trenching locations and rationales as needed.
3. Page 6, Paragraph 2:  
If intact drums, or other readily removable potential or actual sources of contamination, are encountered during trenching, these should be removed, appropriately handled (e.g. overpacked) and disposed of.

Site 11:

1. Page 1, Paragraph 1:  
See comment 1 for site 1.
2. Page 2, Paragraph 1, and Figure 1:  
Geophysical methods for determining the southern and western boundaries of Site 11 may not be successful due to development in this area. Either geophysical or trenching activities can probably be used to successfully determine the eastern edge of the landfill. The proposed trenches should be extended as needed to determine the western, southern and eastern extent of the site.
3. Page 2, Paragraph 2:  
*See* comment 3 for Site 1.
4. Page 3, Paragraph 2:  
Please revise the final sentence of this paragraph to read:  
"Soil samples will be collected from the center of the backhoe bucket...".