



Lawton Chiles
Governor

Florida Department of Environmental Protec

Twin Towers Office Building
2600 Blair Stone Road
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400

32501.003
09.01.03.0010
N00204.AR.000646
NAS PENSACOLA
5090.3a

Virginia B. Wetherell
Secretary

November 19, 1993

CERTIFIED MAIL
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Mr. Bill Hill
Code 1851
Southern Division
NAVFACENGCOM
2155 Eagle Drive
North Charleston, South Carolina 29419-9010

Dear Mr. Hill:

Departmental personnel have completed the technical review of the Draft Sampling and Analysis Plans for Sites 3, 9, 19, 14, 29, and 34, NAS Pensacola. I have enclosed a memorandum addressed to me from Mr. David M. Clowes. It documents our comments on the referenced report.

If I can be of any further assistance with this matter, please contact me at 904/488-0190.

Sincerely,

Eric S. Nuzie
Federal Facilities Coordinator

ESN/dmc

Enclosure

cc: David Clowes
Staish Kastury
Bill Kellenberger
John Mitchell
Allison Drew
Ron Joyner

Memorandum

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

TO: Eric S. Nuzie, Federal Facilities Coordinator
Bureau of Waste Cleanup

THROUGH: James J. Crane, P.G. Administrator *JJC*
Technical Review Section

Jorge R. Caspary, Professional Geologist *JRC*
Technical Review Section

FROM: David M. Clowes, Remedial Project Manager *Dme*
Technical Review Section

DATE: November 15, 1993

SUBJECT: Draft Sampling and Analysis Plans (SAPS) for Sites 3, 9,
10, 14, 29, and 34, Naval Air Station Pensacola.

I have reviewed the above referenced documents (received October 4, 1993) submitted for this site. Overall, the documents appear to be acceptable in describing the specific sampling techniques necessary for the assessments.

However, it is recommended that due to conflicting groundwater sampling results from monitoring wells installed in previous studies over the past ten years, appropriate wells should be resampled and water level measurements collected. The purpose of the resampling is to construct a reliable database necessary to expedite and increase the efficiency of future assessments and **help** determine whether screening sites should remain at their present status or progress to the RI/FS level. Additionally, the soil borings results should be considered prior to installing the proposed monitoring well. In summary, with reliable data from both the soil borings and presently installed wells, decisions as to the need **and** location for the additionally proposed wells can be wisely and prudently determined.