



**EnSafe / Allen & Hoshall**  
a joint venture for professional services

5720 Summer Trees Dr. Suite 8 Memphis, TN 38134  
(901) 383-9115 Fax (901) 383-1743

N00204.AR.000805  
NAS PENSACOLA  
5090.3a

October 14, 1994

Florida Department of Environmental Protection  
Federal Facilities Coordinator  
Attn: David Clowes  
Twin Towers Office Building  
2600 Stone Road  
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400

Re: Draft Final Remedial Investigation Report,  
Operable Unit 10 and Site 13,  
NAS Pensacola, Contract # N62467-89-D-0318/048


Dear Mr. Clowes:

On behalf of the Navy, EnSafe/Allen & Hoshall is pleased to submit two copies of the Draft Final Remedial Investigation Report, for Operable Unit 10 and Site 13 at the Naval Air Station Pensacola in Pensacola, Florida.

If you should have any questions or need any additional information regarding the report, please do not hesitate to call me.

Sincerely,

EnSafe/Allen & Hoshall

  
Henry H. Beiro  
Task Order Manager

Enclosure

cc: Bill Hill, SOUTHNAVFACENGCOM without enclosure  
EnSafe/Allen & Hoshall file without enclosure

Response to Comments  
Florida Department of Environmental Protection  
Draft Final Remedial Investigation (RI) Report  
for  
Operable Unit **10** and Site **13**  
**NAS Pensacola**

Comment:

As discussed and agreed upon in the meeting, the sludge drying beds of the Abandoned Wastewater Treatment Plant need to be documented **as a** contamination source in the **RI** and excavation of these beds, as proposed in the Feasibility Study (**FS**), is necessary. The sludge drying beds should be excavated because they **are** a contamination source and could be quickly excavated, due to the relatively small area, as an Interim Removal or Interim Remedial Action. **Thus**, when these sludge **drying** beds **are** excavated, Site 13 between the Industrial Sludge Drying **Beds** and Magazine Point, **can** be considered a candidate for no further action; allowing remediation efforts to be **efficiently** concentrated in **the area** of the most contamination, the Industrial Wastewater Treatment Plant (**OU-10**).

Response:

Agreed. The removal at the abandoned wastewater treatment plant was started September **12** and should be completed **in** October **1994**. The results of the removal action **will** be summarized into **a** removal action report. In addition, because of similar processes and contaminants, the abandoned wastewater treatment plant has been included with Site **32**.