



Lawton Chiles
Governor

Department of Environmental Protec

Twin Towers Building
2600 Blair Stone Road
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400

32501.000
09.01.00.0133
N00204.AR.000948
NAS PENSACOLA
5090.3a

Virginia B. Wetherell
Secretary

July 5, 1995

CERTIFIED MAIL
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

RECEIVED
JUL 13 1995

Mr. Bill Hill
Code 1851
Southern Division
Naval Facilities Engineering Command
P.O. Box 190010
North Charleston, South Carolina 29419-0068

RE: Draft RI/FS Sampling and Analysis Plan (SAP), Site 41
(NAS Pensacola Wetlands), Naval Air Station Pensacola.

Dear Mr. Hill:

I have completed the technical review of the subject documents, dated February 15, 1995 (received February 17, 1995). The following comments should be addressed:

1. Abstract: The abstract only mentions a total of 20 IR sites. However, based on the CSAP, there are 35 IR sites, excluding Sites 40 to 42.
2. Though Site 3 has been transferred to the petroleum program, the proposed sample location for wetlands potentially contaminated by this site (Wetlands W1 and 72), should not change due to the change in programs. The only difference will be the constituents analyzed for do not have to be full scan, but limited to the constituents detected in the initial soil and groundwater assessment.
3. Figure 2-1, Table 2-1 and Section 4.0: Category 6 sites besides Site 24 (15, 17, 18, and 28) should be illustrated and described as sites potentially impacting wetlands. For example, Site 15 (Pesticide Rinsate Disposal Area), has the potential of contamination. All other IR sites, some with little potential of contamination, such as Sites 39 and 10; and others downgradient of any wetland, such as Sites 9 and 36, are illustrated. Though Category 6 sites are in their preliminary stages of assessment, as are Categories 3 and 7, a denotation should be included, stating further sampling could be included as more information is collected.

Mr. Bill Hill
July 5, 1995
Page 2

4. Section 4.4.5: Sediment and surface water samples may need to be collected from Wetlands 4A, 4B, and 4C as further assessment is conducted at Category 6 sites.
5. If reference samples were already collected by EPA from Wetland 39, and the sediment contamination levels were below the Sediment Screening Values, why are additional reference samples proposed for Wetlands 25A, 25B, 32 and 33?

If I can be of any further assistance with this matter, please contact me at (904) 921-9989.

Sincerely,



David M. Clowes, P.G.
Remedial Project Manager

/dmc

cc: Ron Joyner, NAS Pensacola
Allison Humphris, EPA Region IV
Henry Beiro/Brian Caldwell, Ensafe, Pensacola
Phil Crotwell, Bechtel, Knoxville, TN
Tom Moody, FDEP Northwest District
John Mitchell, FDEP Natural Resource Trustee

TJB JRC
for

JJC by ESN ESN ESN