EnSafe / Allen &
a joint venture for professional E

5720 Summer Trees Dr. Suite 8 Memphis, TN 38134
(901) 383-9115 Fax (901)383-1743

32501.039
05.01.39.0003

N00204.AR.000973
NAS PENSACOLA
5090.3a

August 1, 1995

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Attn: Ms. Allison Humphris
345 Courtland Street, N.E.
Atlanta, Georgia 30365

Re: Final Record of Decision
Site 39, NAS Pensacola
Contract # N62467-89-D-0318/083

Dear Ms. Humphris:

On behalf of the Navy, EnSafe/Allen & Hoshall is pleased to submit three final covers, report documentation pages, and signature pages for the Final Record of Decision for Site 39 at the Naval Air Station Pensacola in Pensacola, Florida. Please replace the covers and pages in the previously submitted draft final version with the enclosed pages.

If you should have any questions or need any additional information regarding the document, please do not hesitate to call me.

Sincerely,

EnSafe/Allen & Hoshall

Allison L. Dennen

Allison L. Dennen
Task Order Manager

Enclosure

cc: Bill Hill, SOUTHNAVFACENGCOM without enclosure
EnSafe/Allen & Hoshall CTO file without enclosure
EnSafe/Allen & Hoshall file — 1 copy
EnSafe/Allen & Hoshall Pensacola — 1 copy
EnSafe/Allen & Hoshall Library — 1 copy
Ron Joyner, NAS Pensacola — 9 copies
John Mitchell, FDEP — 1 copy
Melissa Waters, NOAA — 1 copy
Steve Cowan, BEI — 1 copy

U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
RESPONSE TO COMMENTS
DRAFT RECORD OF DECISION, SITE 39 (OAK GROVE CAMPGROUND)
NAVAL AIR STATION PENSACOLA

Comment 1:

All comments on the Proposed Plan which are applicable to the ROD must be addressed for the latter document as well. This includes the majority of comments provided for the Proposed Plan.

Response:

Agreed.

Comment 2. Page iii:

"Because this remedy will not result in hazardous substance remaining onsite above health-based levels, the five-year review will not apply to this action." This statement is in conflict with the Baseline Risk Assessment. Please revise the text, both here and throughout the document, accordingly.

Response:

Agreed.

Comment 3. Page 5, Section 3.0:

The text should indicate that Site 39 was officially designated a "Remedial Investigation" site upon signature of the FFA in October 1990.

Response:

Agreed.

Comment 4. Section 7.0, Summary of Site Risks:

A. The section must include a brief summary of the information developed in the April 1995 (not the November 1994) risk assessment.

B. The information must be presented in a manner which adequately supports the selected remedy. The primary focus should be on those exposure pathways and chemical found to pose actual or potential threats to human health. In general, "Guidance on Preparing Superfund Decision Documents" OERR Directive 9355.3-02 (January 1002) should be followed in preparing this section including use of *standard* language for the text portions of the toxicity assessment *summary* and risk characterization *summary.* Specifically, this section should include appropriate discussion and *summary* of each of the following points:

- Chemicals of Concern: including *exposure* point concentrations
- Exposure Assessment: including major assumptions about *exposure* frequency and duration
- Toxicity Assessment: including slope factors, weight of evident information, reference doses, and sources of toxicity information
- Risk Characterization: including the quantified carcinogenic risks of each contaminant of concern in each relevant exposure medium for each exposure pathway, combined (summed) carcinogenic risks reflecting all contaminants of concern and pathways reasonably expected to affect a given receptor, potential for noncarcinogenic effects identified by the hazard quotient for each contaminant of concern in each exposure medium for each exposure pathway, and potential for combined noncarcinogenic effects as expressed by hazard indices.

Response:

A. Agreed.

B. Agreed. A section has also been added to discuss the risk uncertainties.

Comment 5. General Comment:
Upon completion of the public comment period, the ROD must be revised to include a section entitled "Responsiveness *Summary".*

Response:

Agreed.