

**Naval Air Station Pensacola  
Restoration Advisory Board Meeting Minutes  
March 26, 1996**

1. Call to Order: The meeting was called to order by Navy Co-Chairperson Lieutenant Commander Joe Monachino. The attached attendance roster reflects the RAB members who were present for **this** meeting. Minutes of the February 27, 1996 RAB meeting were reviewed and approved after noting that the published agenda and the actual agenda did not coincide.

2. Community Relations Plan: The community relations plan was discussed. There being no dissension, EPA, FDEP and SOUTHDIIV comments were incorporated and the plan is to be issued **as** final.

3. Sampling of Ditch along Gulf Beach Highway: Results were returned from the lab which indicated the presence of DDD (*Dichloro Diphenyl Dichloreothane*) and DDT (*Dichloro Diphenyl Trichloroethane*) in the sediment (probably ~~from~~ mosquito spraying) **as well as** the presence of 4-methyl-pentanone (a ketone used for cleaning) and 2-hexanone (normally found in household detergents) at the bridge. All conceivable tests were run for presence of toxics and heavy metals with negative results. It is believed that these are from laundry discharge from one or more of the six private houses in the area. They are definitely not coming from on-base.

4. Site 1 Report: The IR phase has been completed on this **80** acre site. The feasibility study has begun, however it is awaiting RI completion from agencies involved. All wetlands, Point sources and Non-Point sources have been sampled. As they involve wetlands, they will more correctly be addressed in Site **41** data. Future use of the landfill and portions of the golf course were discussed. A request has been received from the Department of Veterans Affairs for release of this area for expansion of Barrancas National Cemetery. It was felt that the area is too hazardous for exposure by unprotected workers, there are too many hot spots and too much unknown regarding what is in the area. Capping of the area as a remedial device was discussed **as well as** mausoleum only cemetery use. The irrigation well on the golf course was discussed.

5. Dissemination of Information: The board discussed the fact that they did not receive all documents. It was decided that they would receive a copy of the Post Planning RODs and a letter would be sent whenever a document is deposited in the RAB repository.

6. Activities for April:

- a. Continue field investigation of categories **4, 6** and 7.
- b. Draft record of feasibility report for category 2 OUI Site 1 (Landfill) **has** been delayed from March to April.
- c. Final community Relations Plan to be submitted.
- d. RI final report for category **5** operational unit 6, sites 9, 29 and 34.

e. NAS Environmental is to submit plan for no further action **on** site 6, which is currently the landfill for construction debris.

7. Adjournment: The meeting ~~was~~ adjourned by consent of the members.

**Minutes approved by:**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**LCDR Joe Monachino**  
Co-Chairperson

\_\_\_\_\_  
**John Early**  
Co-Chairperson

Attachments:

(1) Attendance Roster

**NAVALAIR STATION PENSACOLA  
INSTALLATION RESTORATION INVESTIGATION  
RAB PROGRESS REPORT FOR MARCH 1996**

**INVESTIGATIVE SEQUENCE**

Sites identified in the **original base site** preliminary assessment phase were **grouped** into Categories as outlined below. Sites within **each** Category were **grouped** based upon location or possible common **contamination**. The **assessment** also listed **suspected sites** that **were to be investigated** as a Screening Site **status**. **This means** contaminants were suspected. In these cases **additional** screening **sampling** is required to substantiate a **No Further Action** decision or **as a result of the findings** the **Site** should be elevated to an Operable **Unit (OU)**. Sites suspected to **be a possible threat** to human health or **the environment** were classified as an Operable **Units** and assigned **numbers** by USEPA. The Categories were prioritized **based** on an assumption they **posed** the **worst** threats to human health and the environment. Investigation of the Sites within **each** Category **have been investigated** in this order.

Category	Site	Operable Unit	Site Description
1	13	(screen)	Magazine Point Rubble Disposal
1	32	10	IWTP Sludge Drying Beds
1	33	10	WWTP Ponds
1	35	10	Miscellaneous IWTP SMMUs
2	1	1	Sanitary Landfill
2	2	3	Waterfront Sediments
2	38	11	Bldg 71 & Sewer Line Southwest End
2	39	12	Oak Grove Campground Site
3	11	2	North Chevalier Disposal Landfill
3	26	2	Supply Dept Outside Storage
3	27	2	Radium <del>Del</del> Shop
3	30	2	Bldg 648,649,755, & the Sewer Line North
3	12	(screen)	Scrap Bins
3	25	(screen)	Radium Spill Site
4	40	15	Bayou Grand Area
4	41	16	NASP Wetlands
4	42	17	Pensacola Bay

5	9	6	Navy Yard <b>Disposal</b>
5	29	6	<b>Soil South of Bldg 3460</b>
5	10	(screen)	<b>Commodore's Pond</b>
5	14	(screen)	Dredge Spoil <b>Fill</b>
5	34	(screen)	Solvent <b>North of Bldg 3557</b>
6	15	4	Pesticide Rinsate <b>Disposal Area</b>
6	17	14	<b>Transformer Storage Area</b>
6	18	(screen)	<b>PCB Spill Area</b>
6	24	(screen)	<b>DDT Mixing Area</b>
6	28	(screen)	<b>Transformer Accident</b>
7	22	13	<b>Refueler Repair Shop</b>
7	4	(screen)	Army Rubble <b>Disposal</b>
7	5	(screen)	<b>Borrow Pit</b>
7	6	(screen)	<b>Fort Redoubt Rubble Disposal</b>
7	7	(screen)	Firefighting <b>School</b>
7	8	(screen)	Rifle Range <b>Disposal</b>
7	16	(screen)	<b>Brush Disposal Area</b>
8	36	(screen)	<b>IWTP Sewer Line</b>
9	43	(screen)	Buried <b>Drum Area</b>
9	44	(screen)	<b>Former UST 3221SW</b>

## FUNDING STATUS

Sites within Categories 1 - 8 have been **funded** for all actions **required** through the Record Of Decision. Sites in Category 9 have not been funded for investigation or report writing to date due to Appropriation cuts in the **1996** budget.

## PROGRESS THROUGH MARCH 1996

**Final** Comprehensive **Sampling and Analysis** Plan has been completed for **NAS Pensacola**.

**Final** Comprehensive Health and Safety Plan has been completed for **NAS Pensacola**.

**Final** Site Specific Sampling and Analysis Plans (**SAP**) have been completed for Categories **1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8**.

Final Site Specific Health and Safety **Plans** (**HASP**) have been completed for Categories **1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, & 8**.

Final Remedial Investigation Report (RI) has been completed for Category 1 (OU 10 with screening Site 13) and Category 2 (OU 12, Site 39). RI for Category 2 (OU 1, Site 1; OU 3, Site 2; OU 11, Site 38) and Category 5 (OU6, Sites 9, 29, & screening Site 34) has been submitted.

Site Characterization Report has been completed for Category 5 (screening Sites 10 & 14) and Category 7 (screening Site 5).

Final Feasibility Study(FS) has been completed for Category 1 (OU 10, Sites 32, 33, & 35).

Final Proposed Plan (PP) has been completed for Category 2 (OU 12, Site 39). PP has been submitted for Category 1 (OU 10, Sites 32, 33, & 35).

Final Record of Decision has been completed for Category 2 (OU 12, Site 39).

Interim Removal Actions have been completed at Sites 9, 29, 30, 32, 34, 36, and 39.

Revised Community Relations Plan has been submitted.

~~Draft~~ Record of Decision has been submitted for Category 1 (OU 10, Sites 32, 33, & 35).

Field investigation is approximately 75% completed.

#### ACTIVITY FOR APRIL

Continue Field Investigation on Categories 4, 6, and 7.

Feasibility Study Report for Category 2 (OU 1, Site 1) to be submitted in March, has been delayed until the Partnering Team can come to consensus on how to address issues raised on specific areas within the landfill.

Final Community Relations Plan to be submitted.

Final RI report to be submitted for Category 5 (OU 6, Sites 9, 29, & screening site 34).

Draft Proposed Plan to be submitted for Category 5 (OU 6, Sites 9, 29, & screening site 34).

NAS Pensacola Environmental Dept. to submit a request for No Further Action for Site 6 since it is still an active landfill to USEPA and FDEP.

#### QUESTIONS GENERATED FROM OUR LAST MEETING 20 FEBRUARY 1996

1) Cost figures should be included in the generic RAB information letter. Bill Hill stated he would FAX this information to the Michele Harrison. This information was FAXed on 03 March 1996.

# Naval Air Station Pensacola RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD MEETING

Naval Air Station Pensacola, Bldg. 624  
Pensacola, Florida  
Tuesday, March 26, 1996  
5:30 p.m.

- Welcome - Joe Monachino, Navy Co-Chairperson
- Approve Minutes of February 27, **1996** Meeting
- Chamber of Commerce Clubs and Organizations Director
- Community Relations Plan Comments
- Analytical Data Report on Gulf Beach Highway/Pleasant Grove Ditch - Henry Beiro, ENSAFE
- Site 1 Update - Henry Beiro
- OU-10 Update - Roy Joyner, **NAS** Pensacola IR Project Manager
- Date and Agenda for **Next** Meeting
- Closing Remark


Naval Air Station Pensacola  
**RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD  
ROSTER**

26 MARCH 1996


**MEMBERS**

**SIGNATURE**


Jay Bassett




John Early



Bill Hill



Thomas McAlpin



Lisa Minshew



John Mitchell




LCDR Joe Monachino



Jesse Rigby



Jerry Westmoreland



**ALTERNATE MEMBER**

Mary Radford

