



EnSafe / Allen

a joint venture for professional services

32501.001
09.01.01.0035

N00204.AR.001201
NAS PENSACOLA
5090.3a

Program Management Office

Shelby Oaks Plaza
5909 Shelby Oaks Dr.
Suite 201
Memphis, TN 38134
Phone (901)383-9115
Fax (901) 383-1743

EnSafe/Allen & Hoshall Branch Offices:

Charleston
935 Houston Northcutt Blvd
Suite 113
Mt. Pleasant, SC 29464
Phone (803)884-0029
Fax (803) 856-0107

Cincinnati
400 TechCenter Dr
Suite 301
Milford, OH 45150
Phone (513)248-8449
Fax (513) 248-8447

Pensacola
2114 Airport Blvd
Suite 1150
Pensacola, FL 32504
Phone (904) 479-4595
Fax (904) 479-9120

Norfolk
303 Butler Farm Road
Suite 113
Hampton, VA 23666
Phone (804) 766-9556
Fax (804) 766-9558

Raleigh
5540 Centerview Drive
Suite 205
Raleigh, NC 27606
Phone (919)851-1886
Fax (919)851-4043

Nashville
311 Plus Park Blvd
Suite 130
Nashville, TN 37217
Phone (615) 399-8800
Fax (615) 399-7467

Dallas
4545 Fuller Drive
Suite 206
Dallas, TX 75038
Phone (214) 791-3222
Fax (214)791-0405

September 13, 1996

Florida Department of Environmental Protection
Attn: John Mitchell
Twin Towers Office Building
2600 Blair Stone Road
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400

Re: Focused Feasibility Study Errata Pages,
Site 1, NAS Pensacola
Contract # N62467-89-D-0318/059

Dear Mr. Mitchell:

On behalf of the Navy, EnSafe/Allen & Hoshall is pleased to submit two copies of the errata pages for the Site 1 Focused Feasibility Study at the Naval Air Station Pensacola in Pensacola, Florida. If you should have any questions or need any additional information regarding the document, please do not hesitate to call me.

Sincerely,

EnSafe/Allen & Hoshall

Henry H. Beiro
Task Order Manager

Enclosure

cc: Patricia Kincade, FDEP without enclosure
Tom Moody, FDEP — NW District without enclosure
Bill Hill, Code 1851 SOUTHNAVFACENGCOM without enclosure
Kim Reavis, Code 0233KR SOUTHNAVFACENGCOM without enclosure
✓ EnSafe/Allen & Hoshall file without enclosure
EnSafe/Allen & Hoshall CTO 059 file without enclosure
EnSafe/Allen & Hoshall Pensacola file without enclosure
EnSafe/Allen & Hoshall library without enclosure

**TECHNICAL REVIEW AND COMMENTS FDEP
DRAFT FOCUSED FEASIBILITY STUDY REPORT
SITE 1, NAVAL AIR STATION (NAS) PENSACOLA
PENSACOLA, FLORIDA**

COMMENT:

1. In Section 1.3.1 (RI Assessment), the last paragraph on Page 1-16 should indicate that Florida Surface Water Quality Standards (SWQS) and Federal Ambient Water Quality Criteria (AWQC) were exceeded in some of the downgradient wetlands for inorganics and VOCs; specifically Wetlands 3, 16, and 18. This information is important related to which alternative is most appropriate for this site.

RESPONSE:

The text will be revised by deleting the last paragraph and replacing it with the following :

In Wetland 1, both benchmark values for lead were exceeded for surface water and sediment. However, risk from lead in Wetland 1 sediment is low. In Wetlands 3 and 18, several SSVs were exceeded by wetland sediment and surface water. In Wetland 16 **only** the surface water standard for iron in saltwater was exceeded. A more detailed risk assessment of Site 1 wetlands will be made during the Site **41** investigation.

COMMENT:

2. In Section 3.2.2 (Natural Attenuation), as well as the other alternatives, the portion on institutional controls for land use and groundwater restrictions requires editing, as the issue of the base management plan being adequate for these controls has yet to be decided. In the FFS, it would be better to just indicate land use restrictions for industrial use only, and that groundwater use beneath and downgradient of the landfill will be restricted.

RESPONSE:

The text will be revised by replacing references to the base master plan with land use restrictions limiting land use to industrial and/or recreational use, while groundwater use beneath and downgradient of the landfill will be restricted.

COMMENT:

3. I agree with Greg Brown, that Alternative 4b should be the preferred alternative due to inorganic (specifically iron) in monitoring wells adjacent to surface water bodies and wetlands exceeding SWQS ; in some cases 40 times the standard.

RESPONSE:

The comment is noted and will be taken into account during the preparation of the proposed plan and the ROD for Site 1.