

April 17, 1997  
Code 18510

NAVAL AIR STATION, PENSACOLA, FLORIDA  
QUARTERLY PROGRESS REPORT

N00204.AR.001347  
NAS PENSACOLA  
5090.3a

SECOND QUARTER 1997  
1 JANUARY - 31 MARCH 1997

## 1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background: A Federal Facilities Agreement (FFA) was signed by the US Navy, the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), and the State of Florida via the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) on 23 October 1990. The FFA requires the Navy to submit to the other FFA parties on a quarterly basis a Quarterly Progress Report (QPR).

1.2 Scope: As provided for in FFA Part XII, Reporting, the QPR identifies and briefly describes the actions which the Navy has taken to implement FFA requirements in the previous quarter and those actions scheduled in the upcoming quarter. The activity narratives should include a statement on the manner and extent to which the Navy is meeting the schedules provided by the FFA in its Site Management Plan (SMP) and in the approved work plans. In addition to activity descriptions, any problems that caused delays or anticipated problems that might cause delays are identified and the actions the Navy has or plans to take to manage the delays are discussed.

1.3 Schedule: The Navy is to transmit the QPR within 30 days of the end of the previous quarter.

## 2.0 FFA ACTIVITIES

### 2.1 SITE MANAGEMENT PLAN

2.1.1 EPA letter of March 11, 1997 to the Navy provided approval to the 1997 SMP.

### 2.2 ADMINISTRATION

2.2.1 Navy letters of January 17, 1997 to EPA and FDEP provided the Sites 4, 7, and 16 Draft Preliminary Site Characterization Reports (PSCR).

2.2.2 EPA letter of January 24, 1997 to the Navy provided guidance on future actions at Site 14.

**2.2.3** FDEP letter of January 27, 1997 to the Navy provided concurrence for the Sites 30 and 38 Sampling and Analysis Plan (SAP) addendum.

**2.2.4** Navy letters of February 7, 1997 to EPA and FDEP provided the Site 17 Final Remedial Investigation (RI) report errata.

**2.2.5** Navy letter of March 10, 1997 to EPA and FDEP provided the Site 39 Draft Explanation of Significant Differences (ESD).

**2.2.6** EPA letter of March 11, 1997 to the Navy provided acceptance of the Sites 15, 17, 18, 24, and 28 Final SAPs.

**2.2.7** EPA letter of March 11, 1997 to the Navy provided acceptance of the Site 1 Final Focused Feasibility Study (FS).

**2.2.8** Navy letters of March 12, 1997 to EPA and FDEP provided the Site 17 Draft Proposed Plan (PP).

**2.2.9** Navy letters of March 13, 1997 to EPA and FDEP provided Site 2 RI response to comments.

**2.2.10** FDEP letters of March 14, 1997 to the Navy provided comments on Sites 7 and 16 PSCRs.

**2.2.11** FDEP letter of March 17, 1997 to the Navy provided concurrence on the Site 17 Final RI.

**2.2.12** FDEP letter of March 17, 1997 to the Navy provided comments on the Site 4 PSCR.

**2.2.13** Navy letters of March 17, 1997 to EPA and FDEP provided responses to comments for OU 2 and Site 38 Ris.

**2.2.14** Navy letters of March 21, 1997 to EPA and FDEP provided Sites 18 and 28 PSCRs errata.

**2.2.15** Navy letters of March 21, 1997 to EPA and FDEP provided responses to comments for OU 2 and Site 38 Ris.

3.0 SITE WORK ACTIVITIES PERFORMED

3.1 Fieldwork was performed at Sites 40 and 41.

3.2 Fieldwork was performed for the groundwater modeling effort.

4.0 UPCOMING QUARTER SITE WORK ACTIVITIES

4.1 Fieldwork is scheduled at Site 8 and USTs 18 and 26.

4.2 Fieldwork will be performed for the groundwater modeling effort.

5.0 PROGRESS

The lack of a resolution on the issue of how to restrict land use to satisfy EPA and FDEP's enforceability needs and to comply with the Navy's legal authority to restrict land use has resulted in delays in completing a ROD. All parties are working to resolve this issue. Overall satisfactory progress has been made in meeting the requirements and time schedules of the FFA and work plans.