

NAS Pensacola Meeting Summary

Date: 7 May 1997**Place:** Conference Call**Time:** 9:00**Attendees:** All present; except Brian Caldwell (Bill H. proxy), Donna Kopeski (absent)**Invited Guests:** None**Leader:** Bill Hill**Scribe:** Allison Dennen**Timekeeper:****Check-In**Bill opened the meeting at **9:00**. introductions were made.

Prioritization

Because of Congressional budget cut, the Navy is having to reprioritize projects. SOUTHDIV asking for input from the Team on priority of removal and remedial actions previously programmed for FY '97.

9705-D14 Interim removals are 1st priority. OU 10 RA is 2nd priority.

Priority of Removal Actions

1. Sites 17 and 9A
2. Sites 25, 27, 1 and 12
3. Sites 10 and 18

Site 2 May 8, 1995 Comments from John Mitchell

Collaborative resolution of Site 2 Response to Comments on the final RI report. Resolution is for Florida comments only.

Comment 1

Agree to remove assumptions. Will better define that similar sediment types within the Pensacola Bay System (PBS) are showing similar contamination. This will a qualitative comparison only. Site 2 is part of the open bay system where other contaminants are part of Bayous (other depositional areas).

Comment 2

Agreed

Comment 3

See Response to Comment 1

Comment 4

It is a difference data set. Appendix A does not have HF data. Include both sets of data in revised report.

Comment 5

See Response to Comment 1

Comment 6

See Response to Comment 1

Jane Fulger's Comments

Comment 1

Agreed

Comment 2

See Response to above Comment 4

Comment 3

Will clarify

Ligia's Comments

9705-A53 John will research 10X-6 requirement of Florida.

Dr. Roberts' Comments

Comment 1

Agree

Comment 2

Agree

Comment 3

Agree

Comment 4

Will clarify

Specific Comments

Comment 1

Agree to delete the last three sentences

Comment 2

Agreed

Comment 3

Will update figure

Comment 4

Agreed. Will show active and inactive

Comment 5

Agreed.

Comment 6

Agreed

Comment 7

Will include a better figure

Comment 8

Agreed

Comment 9

See response to Comment 1 of John Mitchell's comments

Comment 10

Agreed

Comment 11

See response to Comment 1 of John Mitchell's comments. Note different methods and detection limits.

Comment 12

Agreed. Will delete.

Comment 13

Agreed. page 10-48

Comment 14

Replace with color or different hatching pattern

Comment 15

Agreed

Comment 16

Henry will research and evaluate the value added.

Comment 17

Delete

Comment 18

Agreed

Comment 19

Water Quality. Delete first and last sentence

Comment 20

Agreed. Will add figure or if data not published, may delete comparisons.

9705-A54

Henry to see if there is a document presenting the FDEP/EPA study of Pensacola Bay System..

⇒