

32501.000  
03.01.00.0188

## PENSACOLA PARTNERING TEAM MEETING MINUTES

N00204.AR.001516  
NAS PENSACOLA  
5090.3a

Date - September 23 - 24, 1997  
Location - DEP Pensacola  
Team Leader - Gena Townsend  
Recorder - Karen Atchley  
Gate Keeper/Timekeeper - Henry Beiro  
Facilitator - Janet Briand

### ATTENDEES:

#### TEAM MEMBERS:

Karen Atchley  
Henry Beiro  
Brian Caldwell  
Allison Dennen  
Bill Gates  
Bill Hill  
Ron Joyner  
John Mitchell  
Gena Townsend

#### SUPPORT MEMBERS:

Tier II Link, Paul Stoddard  
Janet Briand (Galileo)

#### GUESTS:

The meeting processes and ground rules were read. John M. conducted the ice breaker - he told the "story of the horn." Ensafe reported that the Tier II Link would not be present till the second day. Galileo reported that the survey results from the August workshop would not be available till October. Janet Briand gave an additional e-mail address (janetosan@msn.com).

### SITE 1 FS ADDENDUM

John M. received feedback within FDEP concerning questions recorded in the August meeting minutes. The alternatives were listed to help aid in the discussion. John has learned that:

- 1) The point of compliance for the Site is the wetland. A variance can be obtained, however it is limited to 5 years with possibly one 5 year extension. A variance is a permit through the DEP and it CANNOT be permanent.
- 2) Bob Kriegel, FDEP, said wetland mitigation may or may not be involved depending on the approach.

Thus, the alternative choices are either try to obtain a variance or pump and treat.

**9709-033:** *The alternative of choice is GW interception with treatment by oxidation followed by filtration.*

The ROD will define land use restrictions and GW restrictions. These restrictions will include language such as: no intrusive activities in this area without consent of the base environmental office; no potable or irrigation wells in the upper portion of the surficial zone within 300 feet of linear feet; in addition to an annual certification of landfill status.

John suggested for DEP to seal the document that the minor comments need to be addressed at the same time the language is changed from pump and treat to GW interception.

**9709-D34:** *Since this discussion, it is not necessary to include mitigation with all alternatives;*

**thus reversing 9708-D31.**

Dates reviewed:      October 28 - Review and concurrence of PP (complete)  
October 30 - Draft ROD  
February 28 - Draft RD

## GENERAL

**9709-D35:** *All documents will include a technical basis with decisions in lieu of the simple statement "the partnering team decided..."*

## CRITICAL PATH

Gena discussed deliverable dates. Dates were either verified or changed to reflect the following:

	Start	End
OU-3: Final RI response to comments	9/29 (actual)	<b>10/27</b>
Proposed Plan (PP)	<b>10/12</b> (plan)	<b>11/11</b> (complete)
	9/30 (actual)	<b>10/29</b> (review comments)
PP final submittal (includes agency comments)	11/24	
PP complete (agencies verify comments included)	<b>12/8</b>	
RD contract mechanism in place	<b>12/8</b>	
Public comment period	<b>12/8</b>	<b>1/22/98</b>

5 PP's to go to the public in December: OU3; OU17; OU1; OU6; OU14

3 ROD's to be signed by the end of 2nd qtr FY98 (March 31): OU3; OU17; OU1

2 ROD's to be signed by end of 3rd qtr **FY98** (June 30); OU6; OU14

Site 38 (OU11): Draft FS                      **10/20** (comments due from regulators to Ensafe)  
Final response to comments      **2/2** (to regulators)  
**Note: this extends schedule by 60 days**  
Agencies review of response to comments      same 60 day extension  
ROD final                      **8/22/98**

OU2: Bill will deobligate RAC Phase 1 and 2 funds for RA and transfer to Site 2  
Draft FS submittal                      **2/2**

## PRIORITIES

Bill Gates discussed priorities. Team decided that the priorities should stand as originally decided upon and are as follows:

<u>Priority</u>	<u>Site</u>
1	1
2	<b>38</b>
3	OU2
4	2
5	43 (study)
6	15
7	44 (study)
8	OU13
9	41
10	40
11	45 (study)

**9709-A95:** *Phase 1 and 2 RA funds will be transferred from OU2 to Site 2. Phase 7 and 2 RA funds for Site 38 will be deobligated. (Bill Hill)*

9709-A96: Karen will provide Allison with the estimated quantities of soil that is to be removed for various sites.

9709-D36: Proposed schedules in FY98 are acceptable with modifications to OU11 and OU2.

9709-D37: No concurrence letters will be required after Bill Hill makes changes as noted above in the SMP.

9709-D38: To expedite the review cycle, 2 copies of the Draft RI's, 1 copy of the Draft FS's, and 1 copy of the Draft RD's can be submitted directly to KAFRA (EPA consultant) with a concurrence letter and remaining copies to EPA (Gena). Gena will be verbally notified that the documents have been distributed.

9709-A97: Gena to give Allison KAFRA's contact name, address, and phone number.

## CUSTOMERS

Question discussed: Who are our customers? This was a question asked at the August joint partnering meeting. For future reference:

### Internal

- Each individual member (including Galileo)
- Tier II
- Natural Resource Trustee
- NAS Pensacola
- Support Staff

### External

- Public (RAB; general public, citizens, workers of facility, environmental groups, flora & fauna, critters)
- Natural Resource Trustee
- NAS Pensacola

## SITE 7

2 samples in the area have been identified which exceed the arsenic 1.5 ppm background number

9709-A98: John M. to check with FDEP risk assessors concerning speciation of arsenic in order to answer question- will speciation make a difference in risk? COMPLETE ON 10/1/97 - John wrote e-mail to team. John talked to Ligia who states: there is essentially no difference between risks from inorganic and organic arsenic. So speciation would not change the risk at the site.

9709-A99: Henry to find out cost of lab sample for speciation.

## PARKING LOT

GW restrictions: FDEP GW management district does not need to be involved with monitoring. GW restrictions at NAS Pensacola will be documented in MOA with FDEP, EPA, and base.

STATUS OF ACTION ITEMS - PREVIOUS MEETINGS

STATUS

<b>9701-A13</b>	OU2 : RI review comment responses due ASAP; potential IRAs spring (sites 12+27); draft FS due July 97. Henry to submit responses (before doing next version of document). Result: Comments addressed, concurrence letters forthcoming.	<b>Pending</b>
<b>9703-A23</b>	OU 10 Proposed Plan - Gena to send concurrence letter. Result: Letter forthcoming.	Pending
<b>9703-A29</b>	Site 38 RI - Pending comment resolution. Results: Errata ECD is July 3.	Complete
<b>9703-A30</b>	OU 2 RI - Pending comment resolution. Results: Prepare errata to incorporate comment resolution. Est. completion date is 7/3/97.	Pending
<b>9706-A71</b>	Bill Gates will E-Mail Team upon Award of Phase III for various sites (IRA for Site 17) Results: Bill G. sent e-mail to team on 9/29/97. Conformed copy of the various sites removals contract effective 9/26/97.	Complete
<b>9707-A76</b>	Janet will complete the distribution list and submit it to Bill G.	Complete
<b>9707-A78</b>	If Rob Wright has not contacted John by a week prior to our next team meeting, then John will call him. Results: FDEP GW management district does not need to be involved with monitoring. GW restrictions at <b>NAS Pensacola</b> will be documented in <b>MOA</b> with FDEP, EPA, and base.	Complete
<b>9707-A82</b>	Henry to make changes in the Site 2 <b>FS</b> . Results: Changes were made and <b>FS</b> submitted 9/25/97	Complete
<b>9708-A88</b>	Henry to add mitigation to all alternative scenarios and finalize Site 1 FS addendum. Results: Not necessary. See <b>9709-D34</b> above.	Complete
<b>9708-A89</b>	Ron to inquire about the possibility of reconstructing the culvert between the wetlands at Site 1. Results: Not necessary after Site 1 discussion in notes above.	Complete
<b>9708-A90</b>	John M. will run a table top risk calculation using the additional samples to assess the impact for arsenic at Site 7.	Complete
<b>9708-A91</b>	Bill G. To send complete deliverable package to team members	Complete
<b>9708-A92</b>	Janet B. provide historical accounting of training for the PNAS team and initiate a training plan	Pending
<b>9708-A93</b>	Gena T. review SMP schedules and provide comments to the team. Results: see discussion above under SMP.	Complete
<b>9708-A94</b>	Tom D. will review roles and responsibilities for adjunct membership and be prepared to discuss at Oct. Meeting.	Agenda
<b>9708-A95</b>	Team will look at metrics and assess the accomplishments for FY97.	Pending

Nov. Parking Lot:

Presentation of performance survey & development of curriculum as a result of Aug. St. Pete mtg.

**PENSACOLA TIER I MEETING AGENDA**

**October 27-29, 1997**

**Charleston, South Carolina**

**Place: Shem Creek Inn**

Team Leader: Karen Atchley  
 Recorder: Henry Beiro  
 Expected guests and support:  
 Tom Dillon, NOAA - Monday and first half of Tuesday

Timekeeper: Brian Caldwell  
 David Trimm, Ensafe - Tue. morning (Sites 40 & 41)

Facilitator: Janet Briand  
 Tier II Link: Tier II Link  
 Chuck Mason, Ensafe - Tue. morning (Sites 40 & 41)  
 Lori Goetz, Ensafe - Tue. afternoon (Site 38)  
 Jason Winningham, Ensafe - Tue. afternoon (Site 38)  
 Greg Brown, FDEP - Tue. 10:30-3:30

Start Time: 10/27 @ 1:00 PM

End Time: 10/29 @ 5:00 PM

ITEM	GOAL	TIME - hr.	LEADER
Checkin - Team Building Exer. - Plus-Delta Review - Proc./Groundrules - Tier II update	Sharing	1    <b>(0.5)</b>	KA    PS
Training	Learning	1	JB
NOAA role	Resolution	<b>0.25</b>	KA
OU 3 PP	Comment Resolution	<b>0.5</b>	AD
Various Sites Removal	Update	<b>0.25</b>	KA
Site 40 & 41	Data Presentation	<b>4</b>	Trimm & Mason
Site 38 Draft FS	Comment Resolution	<b>2</b>	HB
OU 13 Draft FS	Brainstorming alternatives	<b>2</b>	BC
OU 1 Final PP	Resolution	<b>0.5</b>	AD
OU 17 Draft PP	Resolution	0.5	AD
OU 6 Draft PP	Resolution	0.5	AD
OU 14 Draft PP	Resolution	0.5	AD
Site 15 Draft FS	Brainstorming alternatives	2	BC
Site 15 RI	Comment resolution	1	BC

**Site 7 As issue**

**Resolution**

**0.5**

**JM**

**Checkout**

**- Metrics**

**- Success Stories**

**- Meeting Critique**

**Resolution**

**1**

**KA/JB**