

32501.0G0  
03.04.00.0040  
N00204.AR.001637  
NAS PENSACOLA  
5090.3a

**April 15, 1998**  
Code **1851**

NAVAL AIR STATION, PENSACOLA, FLORIDA  
QUARTERLY PROGRESS REPORT

SECOND QUARTER 1998  
1 JANUARY - 31 MARCH 1998

## 1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background: A Federal Facilities Agreement (FFA) was signed by the **US** Navy, the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), and the State of Florida via the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) on 23 October 1990. The FFA requires the Navy to submit to the other FFA parties on a quarterly basis a Quarterly Progress Report (QPR).

1.2 Scope: As provided for in FFA Part XII, Reporting, the QPR identifies and briefly describes the actions which the Navy has taken to implement FFA requirements in the previous quarter and those actions scheduled in the upcoming quarter. The activity narratives should include a statement on the manner and extent to which the Navy is meeting the schedules provided by the FFA in its Site Management Plan (SMP) and in the approved work plans. In addition to activity descriptions, any problems that caused delays or anticipated problems that might cause delays are identified and the actions the Navy has or plans to take to manage the delays are discussed.

1.3 Schedule: The Navy is to transmit the QPR within 30 days of the end of the previous quarter.

## 2.0 FFA ACTIVITIES

### 2.1 SITE MANAGEMENT PLAN

2.1.1 Navy letters of January 7, 1997 to EPA and FDEP provided notification of a change in the Navy's Alternate Remedial Project Manager.

## 2.2 ADMINISTRATION

- 2.2.1 Navy letters of January 3, 1998 to EPA and FDEP provided the **OU 13** (Sites 8 and 24) Draft Remedial Investigation (RI) report errata.
- 2.2.2 FDEP letter of January 5, 1998 to the Navy provided comments to the OU 11 (Site 38) Final Remedial Investigation Report dated September 5, 1997.
- 2.2.3 FDEP letter of January 5, 1998 to the Navy provided comments to the OU 2 (Sites 11, 12, 25, 26, 27, & 30) Final Remedial Investigation Report dated October 10, 1997.
- 2.2.4 FDEP letter of January 7, 1998 to the Navy provided approval of the Site 18 Preliminary Site Characterization Report (PSCR) dated July 31, 1997 with the errata pages submitted October 29, 1997.
- 2.2.5 Navy letters of January 9, 1998 provided EPA and FDEP with the Final Remediation Work Plan and Action Memorandum for Time-Critical Removal Action for Various Sites (Sites 1, 7, 9A, 10, 17, 18, and 25).
- 2.2.6 FDEP letter of January 12, 1998 to the Navy provided approval of the Final Proposed Plans for OU 1 (Site 1), OU 3 (Site 2), OU 17 (Site 42), and OU 6 (Sites 9, 29, & 34) dated December 1997.
- 2.2.7 EPA letter of January 13, 1998 to the Navy provided approval of the OU 13 (Sites 8 & 24) Remedial Investigation Report Errata Dated January 3, 1998 and considers the document as Final.
- 2.2.8 EPA letter of January 14, 1998 to the Navy provided an additional comment on the OU 11 (Site 38) draft Focused Feasibility Study dated September 8, 1997.
- 2.2.9 EPA provided verbal comments on the Operable Unit 2 (Sites 11, 12, 25, 27, and 30) RI report on October 10, 1997.

- 
- 2.2.10 EPA letter of January 20, 1998 to the Navy provided approval on the Explanation of Significant Differences (ESD) for OU 12 (Site 39) dated September 25, 1997.
- 2.2.11 EPA provided verbal concurrence on January 28, 1998 on the OU 14 (Site 17) Proposed Plan dated July 3, 1998.
- 2.2.12 EPA provided comments on February 3, 1998 on the Draft OU 14 (Site 17) Record of Decision dated December 16, 1998.
- 2.2.13 EPA letter of February 3, 1998 to the Navy provided comments on the Draft OU 6 (Sites 9 and 29) Record of Decision dated December 15, 1997.
- 2.2.14 EPA letter of February 3, 1998 to the Navy provided concurrence on the Draft Record of Decision for OU 17 (Site 42) dated October 3, 1997.
- 2.2.15 Navy letters of February 20, 1998 provided EPA and FDEP with the Final Record of Decision for OU 17 (Site 42).
- 2.2.16 FDEP verbal comments on the Draft Record of Decision for OU 1 (Site 1) dated December 12, 1997, OU 6 (Sites 9 and 29) dated December 15, 1998, and OU 14 (Site 17) dated December 16, 1997 were received by the Navy on February 25, 1998.
- 2.2.17 EPA letter of March 3, 1998 to the Navy provided comments on the Draft Record of Decision for OU 1 (Site 1) dated December 18, 1997.
- 2.2.18 FDEP letter of March 6, 1998 to the Navy provided concurrence on the Explanation of Significant Differences (ESD) for OU 12 (Site 39) dated September 25, 1997.
- 2.2.19 FDEP letter of March 10, 1998 to the Navy provided approval on the Remediation Work Plan and Action Memorandum for Time-Critical Removal Action for Various Sites (Sites 1, 7, 9A, 10, 17, 18, and 25) dated January 9, 1998.

- 2.2.20 FDEP email of March 11, 1998 to the Navy provided draft comments on the (OU 3) Site 2 Draft Record of Decision dated December 19, 1998.
- 2.2.21 EPA letter of March 16, 1998 to the Navy provided comments on the OU 3 (Site 2) Draft ROD dated December 19, 1997.
- 2.2.22 Navy letter of March 18, 1998 to EPA and FDEP provided the OU 16 (Site 41) Sampling and Analysis Plan for Site 41 Sediment Coring.
- 2.2.23 FDEP provided verbal concurrence on March 24, 1998 on the Final OU 4 (Site 15) RI report dated December 12, 1997.
- 2.2.24 FDEP provided verbal comments on March 25, 1998 on the Draft Sampling and Analysis Plan Addendum for OU 16 (Site 41) dated March 17, 1998.
- 2.2.25 EPA letter dated March 30, 1998 provided comments on the Sampling and Analysis Plan Addendum for the OU 16 (Site 41) Sediment Coring dated March 17, 1998.
- 2.2.26 EPA provided verbal concurrence on March 25, 1998 on the Final Operable Unit 6 (Sites 9, 29 and 34) RI report dated June 30, 1997.

### 3.0 SITE WORK ACTIVITIES PERFORMED

- 3.1 Various Site Removals were completed.
- 3.2 Additional wells were installed to conduct a site specific pump test of the groundwater to support the Remedial Design at OU 1 (Site I).

### 4.0 UPCOMING QUARTER SITE WORK ACTIVITIES

- 4.1 Fieldwork is scheduled at OU 16 (Site 41) to conduct sediment coring.

## 5.0 PROGRESS

The lack of a resolution on the issue of how to restrict land use to satisfy EPA and FDEP's enforceability needs and to comply with the Navy's legal authority to restrict land use has resulted in delays in completing a ROD. All parties are working to resolve this issue. Overall satisfactory progress has been made in meeting the requirements and time schedules of the FFA and work plans.