



32501.015
09.01.15.0036

ENSAFE INC.

ENVIRONMENTAL AND MANAGEMENT CONSULTANTS

5724 Summer Trees Drive • Memphis, Tennessee 38134 • Telephone 901-372-7962 • Facsimile 901-372-2454 • www.ensafe.com
August 5, 1999

Florida Department of Environmental Protection
Attn: Joe Fugitt
Twin Towers Office Building
2600 Blair Stone Road
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400

N00204.AR.001790
NAS PENSACOLA
5090.3a

Re: Final Proposed Plan and Public Notice,
Operable Unit 4 (Site 15), NAS Pensacola
Contract # N62467-89-D-0318/083

Dear Mr. Fugitt:

On behalf of the Navy, EnSafe Inc. is pleased to submit two copies of the Final Proposed Plan and Public Notice for Operable Unit 4 (Site 15, Pesticide Rinsate Disposal Area), at the Naval Air Station Pensacola in Pensacola, Florida. Responses to USEPA and FDEP comments are also enclosed.

If you should have any questions or need any additional information regarding the document, please **do** not hesitate to call me.

Sincerely,

EnSafe Inc.

Allison L. Harris
Task Order Manager

Enclosure

cc: Patricia Kingcade, FDEP - without enclosure
Tom Lubozynski, FDEP - NW District without enclosure
Bill Hill, Code 1851 SOUTHNAVFACENGC.COM without enclosure
EnSafe Inc. file without enclosure
EnSafe Inc. Knoxville file without enclosure
EnSafe Inc. library without enclosure
Administrative Record

RESPONSES TO TECHNICAL COMMENTS
FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
NAS PENSACOLA
PROPOSED PLAN - SITE 15 (OPERABLE UNIT 4)

Comment 1:

RI Findings, Groundwater, Page 4: The Florida Secondary Drinking Water Standard for Aluminum is 200 ppb. Chromium may contribute to risk pending reevaluation of the data contained in the RI. Dieldrin exceeds the Groundwater Cleanup Target Level (GCTL) of 0.005 ppb.

Response:

This information has been added to the second ***RI Findings: Groundwater*** paragraph.

Comment 2:

Table 1, Cleanup Goals for Contaminants Contributing to **Risk**: Chromium may **be** added to the Groundwater section pending the reevaluation of the data contained in the RI. The cleanup goals for dieldrin, alpha-chlordane and gamma-chlordane should be based on the soil leachability values of 0.005, 4.1, and 4.1 ppm, respectively.

Response:

Chromium **was** not shown to contribute significantly to risk and was not added to the table. However, because it exceeded its primary drinking water standard, chromium will be evaluated in the remedial action. A note **was** added to Table 1 noting that the cleanup goals for dieldrin, alpha-chlordane and gamma-chlordane are based on soil leachability values.

Comment 3:

Feasibility Study Summary, Groundwater, Page 6: Alternative G-2 appears to cost more and take longer than Alternative G-3. It should be clarified that this alternative is expected to take a shorter length of time and at a lower cost once soil excavation has removed the source of contamination.

Response:

This clarification **has** been made.

Comment 4:

Comparison of Alternatives, Groundwater, Page 7: There is a typo in the second sentence of this paragraph. **Within** the sentence it states, "for Alternatives G-1 **ad** G-2." (This) should be corrected to "for Alternatives G-1 **and** G-2".

Response:

This correction has been made.