



ENSAFE INC.

ENVIRONMENTAL AND MANAGEMENT CONSULTANTS

5724 Summer Trees Drive • Memphis, Tennessee 38 134 • Telephone 901-372-7962 • Facsimile 901-372-2454 • www.ensafe.com

September 23, 1999

N00204.AR.001824

NAS PENSACOLA

5090.3a

Florida Department of Environmental Protection  
Attn: Joe Fugitt  
Twin Towers Office Building  
2600 Blair Stone Road  
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400

Re: Final Remedial Investigation Report Addendum  
Contract # N62467-89-D-0318/059

Dear Mr. Fugitt:

On behalf of the Navy, EnSafe Inc. is pleased to submit two copies of the Final Remedial Investigation Report Addendum for Site 38 (OU 11) at the Naval Air Station Pensacola in Pensacola, Florida. Responses to FDEP and a RAB members comments are also enclosed. USEPA comments were received verbally and have been incorporated into the document.

If you should have any questions or need any additional information regarding the document, please do not hesitate to call me.

Sincerely,  
EnSafe Inc.

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Allison Harris".

Allison Harris  
Task Order Manager

Enclosures

cc: Patricia Kingcade, FDEP without enclosure  
Tom Lubozynski, FDEP - NW District without enclosure  
Bill Hill. Code 1851 SOUTHNAVFACENGCOM without enclosure  
EnSafe Inc. file without enclosure  
EnSafe Inc. Knoxville file without enclosure  
EnSafe Inc. library without enclosure  
Administrative Record

FDEP RESPONSE TO COMMENTS  
**DRAFT** REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION REPORT ADDENDUM  
SITE 38, OPERABLE UNIT 11, NAS PENSACOLA

July 2, 1999

**Comment #1:**

Figures 1, 2, and 4, Groundwater Contour Maps: Groundwater flow directions should be interpreted as perpendicular to the groundwater elevation isopleths depicted on these figures. Many of the groundwater flow direction arrows are incorrectly interpreted on these figures.

**Response:**

The groundwater flow direction arrows have been adjusted.

**Comment #2:**

Table 1, Page 6: A footnote should be added to this table indicating that monitoring wells 38GR33 and 38GR34 were not installed and therefore not sampled in January 1994.

**Response:**

A footnote will be added to Table 1 on page 6 to clarify that wells 38GR33 and 38GR34 did not exist at the time the January 1994 sampling event was completed.

**Comment #3:**

Attachment A, Analytical Results: I was not able to determine if some of the laboratory reports within this attachment are for groundwater samples collected from the former Building 71 area. The laboratory reports should be annotated in some way so that a direct comparison can be made to the tables and figures in the report.

**Response:**

For reader clarity, page dividers will be inserted between separate analytical data sets for respective sampling events at Buildings 71 and 72.

**Comment 4:**

In addition to the comments above, I recommend that the sampling results from the additional monitoring wells planned for the former Building 72 area be included in the final document. I gratefully acknowledge your concurrence in the decision to install these additional monitoring wells during our June 29 and 30, 1999 Partnering Meeting.

**Response:**

Agreed. The results have been incorporated into the final document.