

PENSACOLA PARTNERING TEAM
MEETING MINUTES

DATE: March 28 and 29, 2000
LOCATION EnSafe Office, Memphis Tennessee
TEAM LEADER: Amy Twitty
RECORDER: Brian Caldwell
GATE KEEPERITMEKEEPER: Joe Fugitt
PROCESS FACILITATOR: none

ATTENDEES:**TEAM MEIMBERS:**

Brian Caldurell
Joe Fugitt
Terry Hansen
Allison Harris
Bill Hill
Ron Joyner
Gena Townsend
Amy Twitty

SUPPORT MEMBERS:

Paul Stoddard Tier II
Robbie Darby Tier II

GUESTS:

Barbara Albrecht, EnSafe Inc

CHECK-IN

Everyone is doing fine. Ground rules and meeting processes were reviewed.

ACTION ITEM REVIEW

- 9908-A74: Allison and Pei are to revise the models for Site 40. Pending; Allison will send out by next meeting.
- 9912-A100: Barbara will provide paper by Cooley that identifies the benthic community of Pensacola Bay to use as a reference. Complete; will be included as an appendix to the RI addendum for Site 2.
- 9912-A101: Barbara will identify reference locations within the Lower Pensacola Bay by obtaining info from EPA's Gulf Breeze lab. Complete; locations were identified by Barb, Dillon, and lab personnel and sent to Team, who agreed upon locations.
- 9912-A102: Barbara will add a justification on using the 5% standard from the lab (95% confidence interval). Complete; added to the DQO document for the SAP for Site 2.
- 9912-A103: Gena will verify with EPA's sample coordinator which contaminants will be analyzed and compare that

against the list that will be sent by Allison. Complete; list was adjusted to Site 2 requirements.

- *9912-A104: Allison to verify that A2 is not contributing to Site 2 contamination by reviewing the data to determine if there is a chemical connection. Complete; there is no link due to no correlation between nature of contaminants.*
- *9912-A105: Barbara to send map and info on reference locations to be used at Site 2 via email. Complete; map faxed to everyone; map will also be included in the Site 2 RI addendum.*
- *9912-A106: Joe to talk with McDonald to see how Long categories compare to Site 2 standards (TELs). Cancelled; Long categories will not be used.*
- *0001-A01: Ron will check with the facility to see whether there is enough money to cover disposal of the soil from Site 43 (overpack drums). Complete; there are no funds.*
- *0001-A02: Terry will supply Amy with figures and sample depths for the Site 43 report by Jan 31. Complete.*
- *0001-A03: Brian will look for FDEPs comments to FFS for OUI3 and address them. Complete; the final FFS including comment responses will be submitted April 17.*
- *0001-A04: Joe will email the comments on the OUI3 PP to the team by 2nd week of February. Complete; Joe emailed these.*
- *0001-A05: Barbara will send Gena the new sampling plan (locations and numbers) so that she can send it to Bobby. Complete; this was sent out prior to sampling.*
- *0003-A06: The workplan and SAP for the Site 2 sampling still needs to be completed for the record. Gena will get EPA's portion of this together. Pending.*
- *0003-A07: Terry to write up the Site 2 cooperative effort as a success story. Pending.*
- *0003-A08: Gena will find out the status of the EPA signing off on RODs before FDEP does. Pending.*
- *0003-A09: Bill to switch funding priority from Site 38 to OUI3 so that remediation can begin FY 2001. Pending.*

- 0003-A10: Bill will get copies of new schedules and then send them to everyone by next meeting, likely in .pdf format.
Pending.
- 0003-A11: Input on the Roles and Responsibilities of facilitator requested from Team by March 31 by Robbie.
Pending.
- 0003-A12: Terry will be copied on all correspondence henceforth for the AR.

Reminders:

These items are understood to be works in progress and are carried forward to remind the team of their presence.

- 9903-AIS: Bill will submit a letter to EPA and State requesting that OU 10 be handled under RCRA authority.
- 9802-A14: Brian to follow up on the list of wells to be kept for future modeling.
- 9806-A44: Review Tier II deliverable packages (rev.9) for corrections and respond to Bill.
- 9811-M03: Bring MBTI materials to all meetings.
- 9908-A72/A73: Bill suggested using the Navy's database because it is complete and for consistency between the agencies. Robbie to discuss the concept of the three agency databases at Tier II meeting.
- 9908-A81: Review previous success stories after Rich May has revised them (Terry to check on progress).

SITE 2 SAMPLING

Debrief by Barb Albrecht. The specifics of the sampling effort will be included in the Site 2 RI addendum, so these are only some of the high points.

Some of the physical features noted during the sampling:

- Oil sheen on sediments in some areas.
- Paint chips littering the sediment surface in some areas.
- Vegetation present in some areas.
- Numerous biota noted: eels, tubeworms, stingrays, clams.

2 different coring devices/methods used. Never were able to get a full 36-inch core. There followed some discussions regarding correlations between cores: for example, how will a 7" core be correlated with an adjoining 17" core (is the 7" equivalent to the full 17" due to core compression, or is it only equivalent to a portion, and then which, the lower, middle, or upper portion?)

There were a wide variety of sediment types between sampling areas.

The remains of an old jetty wall were discovered extending across the bay side of the site; its dimensions are roughly 3 feet wide by 25 feet high. It is likely causing significant influence on local currents and depositional areas on the Site.

Gena made the point that the HHRA needs to be revisited to make sure the new data is not inconsistent with the original assessment. If it is, it needs to be revised.

Overall, the feeling is that the cooperative effort (both the extensive planning and the execution) was a rounding success, and will likely be exposed to a bit of publication. It needs to be written up as a Team success story.

Some results may be available by the next meeting.

OU13

Brian presented the specifics regarding the FFS (it is being revised to address comments and will be presented as final in April, preferred alternative is not likely to change). A quick review will need to be done in order to get a ROD this fiscal year (see Scheduling agenda item).

SCHEDULING

A spontaneous discussion occurred regarding Joe's schedule; because of potential bureaucratic and temporal constraints, he will finish Site 38 FS review, and proceed to reviewing the RODs (four outstanding).

Bill revised all of the site schedules but OU2, and will get copies of these out to everyone by next meeting. The Team devised a new schedule for OU13 in order to get the ROD this year:

Final FFS w/comments.....	17 April 00
Agency review w/ comments.....	17 May 00
Final PP w/ comments and Public Notice.....	07 June 00
Agency review w/comments.....	07 July 00
Public Comment Period.....	08 July - 22 August 00
Final ROD w/ comments.....	15 August 00
Final Rod w/ comments signed by.....	08 Sept 00
Agency review w/ concurr.....	30 Sept 00

The Team also devised a new schedule for Site 40 (expected to be a NFA ROD):

Final RI w/ comments.....	20 April 00
(maybe some issues delaying this such as collecting fish tissue, or is the model OK?)	
Agency review w/ concurr.....	20 May 00
Draft PP.....	30 May 00
Agency review w/ concurr.....	29 June 00
Final PP w/ comments.....	13 July 00

Public comment period.....14 July 00 – 01 Sept 00
Draft Rod.....20 July 00
Agency review w/ concurr.....20 August 00
Final Rod w/ comments.....10 Sept 00

MERCURY MODEL

A discussion of the uncertainties (area of influence within the Bayou Site Foraging Factor, Trophic Transfer Level, etc.) associated with the model ensued. Allison is going to revise the model to account for a less conservative use of these uncertainties and include that version of the model in the revised Site 40 ERA (along with the version of the model including the most conservative assumptions).

TIER II UPDATE

These are some of the topics touched on during the most recent meeting:

- Need for facilitation and its role in guiding principles;
- Diffusion sampling for volatiles;
- Need for and use of a combined Tier I meeting;
- CO's involvement and awareness in the process;
- Ecological Assessment Policy of the Navy (EPA supports);
- Need for monthly success stories by the RAC and CLEAN;
- Facilitator Roles and Responsibilities.

ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD

Allison showed Terry the ropes. Post-ROD documents not currently included in the AR. Terry needs to be copied on everything from here on.

TINUS UPDATE

The draft SCR for Bronson is out, it recommends NFA.

Site 43:

The draft report is almost complete and will be out by the end of April.

IRA options were preliminarily addressed; Amy provided figures detailing volumes:

- Excavation based on surface soil (0-6") cleanup to industrial standards;
- Excavation based on leaching potential only;
- Capping to cover Industrial exceedances.

Of note: alternative analyses need to consider surface soil as 0-2 feet; and they need to provide detail supporting residential protection as well as industrial.

0003-D09: The draft SCR will be out in late April/early May. CH2MHill will revise the IRA options to account for 0-2 feet for surface soil, and for residential protection.

Agenda
April 25 & 26, 2000
****Begin Noon on 25th, end Noon 26th****
Navarre FL

Meeting Leader Brian Caldwell
Scribe Joe Fugitt
Timekeeper/Gatekeeper Terry Hansen
Facilitator Anne Marie Lyddy

Topic	Goal	Leader	Duration
Check-In	Say Hey	BC	1 hour
Site 2	Update	Barbara	1 hour
Training	Learn	Anne Marie Lyddy	1 hour
ITNUS	Update on field work	Terry Hansen	0.5 hour
Schedules	Finalize	Bill Hill	0.5 hour
Site 38 FS	Update	BC	0.5 hour
Site 1 LTM	Update	Terry Hansen	0.25hour
Tier 2 Update	Update	Paul Stoddard Robbie Darby	0.5hour
OU13	Update	BC	0.5 hour
Check-Out	Say Bye/Establish next meeting's agenda	BC	1 hour

Note: Tom D, you don't need to attend, but you DO need to assign proxies (both consensus and , well, ahem, ahem....)