

32501.000

03.01.00.0221

**PENSACOLA PARTNERING TEAM  
MEETING MINUTES**

**DATE:** June 27 & 28, 2000

**LOCATION:** Charleston SC

**TEAM LEADER:** Joe Fugitt

**RECORDER:** Terry Hansen

**GATE KEEPER/TIMEKEEPER:** Allison Harris

**PROCESS FACILITATOR:** none

**ATTENDEES:**

**TEAM MEMBERS:**

- Brian Caldwell
- Joe Fugitt
- Terry Hansen
- Dill Hill
- Ron Joyner
- Gena Townsend
- Amy Twitty
- Allison Harris

**SUPPORT MEMBERS:**

- Robbie Darby, Tier II
- Ann Marie Liddy, facilitator

**CHECK IN**

Everyone is doing fine. Ground rules and meeting processes were reviewed. Paul is on vacation.

**Add agenda items**

- facilitation contract & evaluation
- update meeting processes
- OU 6 update
- training
- Data base

**ACTION ITEM REVIEW**

**9908-A74:** Allison and Pei are to revise the models for Site 40.

Complete April 24, 2000 information sent out.

**0003-A06:** The workplan and SAP for the Site 2 sampling still needs to be completed for the record: Gena will get EPA's portion of this together.

Pending; Bobby Lewis will finalize the SAP and send to Allison.

**0003-A07:** Terry to write up the Site 2 cooperative effort as a success

story. Complete to be resubmitted to team.

**Reminders:**

These items are understood to be works in progress and are carried forward to remind the team of their presence.

- 9903-A13: Bill will submit a letter to EPA and State requesting that OU10 be handled under RCRA authority.
- 9802-A14: Brian to follow up on the list of wells to be kept for future modeling.
- 9806-A44: Review Tier II deliverable packages (rev.9) for corrections and respond to Bill.
- 9811-M03: Bring MBTI materials to all meetings.
- 9908-A72/A73: Bill suggested using the Navy's database because it is complete and for consistency between the agencies; Robbie to discuss the concept of the three agency databases at Tier II meeting.
- 0003-A12: Terry will be copied on all correspondence henceforth for the AR.

0004-A13 Bill Hill to send out electronic copy of Completion report produced by Bechtel for remedial system at OU1 to the team. DONE Bechtel sent out the report.

#### Success Stories

Discussion on where and who at USEPA Region IV collects the success stories and posts them on a web page

0006-A14 Robbie is to check with Rich May to determine how success stories are placed on the USEPA Region IV web page

#### Tier II Update

Facilitation Contract Robbie

The new facilitator is to be International Center for Leadership Development (ICLD). They hold the existing contract.

Ann Marie Liddy will continue as Pensacola's facilitator.

The Tier I teams have a facilitator evaluation form to fill out at the end of the meeting.

Training is to be focused on project management and planning, and accomplishment of goals and objectives.

Tier II is preparing an outline guide on the scope of the contract so it's easier to determine what the facilitator may be asked to do.

The process of elevating problems to Tier II by Tier I teams through the established procedures was discussed.

0006-A15 Robbie is to send Terry an electronic copy of:

- a) Tier II problem elevation process
- b) The roles and responsibilities of the facilitator

Tier II would like each team to develop team goals.

The Key West team goals were handed out as an example. The NAS Pensacola team is to work at developing team goals at the next meeting

0006-A16 The team is to review the Key West team handout on goals and be prepared to review and develop at the next meeting.

A joint Tier 1/11 meeting is being planned for the Nov./Dec. timeframe. Rich May is in the process of developing a team survey.

The development of a uniform database (FDEP/USEPA/Navy) is a goal of Tier II. The starting point is to develop a uniform set of definitions for operational items such as "When is a ROD complete?"

0006-A17 Gena, Joe, & Bill to provide respective organizations definitions for operational issues to the team.

0006-A18 The team is to review/develop a resolution path for current critical issues.

The Tier II minutes are to be distributed through the Region IV Webster.

0006-A19 Terry to check with Rich May on getting the Tier II minutes and distributing.

Robbie is to send minutes to Bill & Bill is to send out.

Tier II has reviewed upcoming ROD/Decision Documents and recognized multiple inconsistencies

DOCUMENT CONC. STATUS

Joe has sent out letters on Site 1 and Site 43. The current time required to get FDEP approval? if there are no issues, is approximately 3 weeks

OU6 has hit snags and is a later agenda topic.

OU4 is in the draft briefing and letter preparation stage. Joe needs to finalize with Tim, Jim, and Eric.

Site 34 is on hold pending the OU6 decision.

Site 7, 10, 18 are started and in progress.

OU13 Schedule from the March meeting is not going to be met. The ROD will be finished in FY01.

A new schedule for OU13 follows:

FFS - Agency review with comments	14Aug 00
Final PP w/comments & Public notice	11Sep 00
Agency review of Final PP	11 Nov 00
Public Comment Period	Nov - Dec 00
Final ROD w/comments	Jan 01
Rod signed	Feb 01
Concurrence letter	Mar 01

The abandonment of monitoring wells in the cemetery was discussed:

**0006-D11 The team agreed MWs in the way of current activities at the cemetery may be abandoned**

OU6

Joe has had meeting with Jim, Tim, and Eric on the issue of manganese in the groundwater.

FDEP would like to see additional manganese groundwater data from Chevalier Field as it is possible the exceedences at OU6 are no site specific.

Escambia county ambient groundwater data shows manganese can be high in background data as suspended particulates.

The hazard index in the ROD was also a problem.

Possible recommendations are a LUC groundwater ROD or a data review to Manganese is widespread.

FDEP would like USEPA to review the health based numbers.

For the OU6 area the following action items apply:

0006-A20 Gena and Joe are to talk about health based RGOs/ numbers for manganese (OU6)

0006-A21 Joe is to determine what is needed for NFA ROD at OU6 in FDEP view.

0006-A22 Brian to review turbidity and water quality parameters for the Chevalier Field area samples - manganese in the samples is also to be reviewed.

0006-A23 Allison is to check on the HI calculation for manganese in the 0 U 6 area.

0006-A24 Gena is to determine what changes in the ROD require revision.

USEPA has already signed the ROD

SITE 2

The data is in but not yet evaluated by USEPA.

The USEPA report is possibly available by end of July.

AGENDA TOPIC for Sept. meeting. – SITE 2 review

0006-A25 Gena is to check on the Site 2 data and report and send to Allison in electronic format.

SITE 40

The RI addendum was reviewed by EPA (Ted Simons). The review indicated no additional action needed at the site.

These comments have already been addressed. However comments on the April 2000 errata have not been received.

FDEP has not yet reviewed this submittal.

0006-A26 Tom Dillon to provide concurrence on RI errata submittal.

GIS

Terry and Bill provided an update on the Mayport GIS process and the training received in June.

CNET has conducted a survey(s) over the facility. The EnSafe database is linked analytical and locational.

0006-A27 Ron and Bill to check n the CNET data surveys.

0006-A28 Terry/ Pittsburgh GIS to coordinate with Constantine Tudan Memphis EnSafe

0006-A29 Terry/ Pittsburgh GIS to coordinate with Scott McAvoy SDIV to determine what level of effort would be required to develop a Pensacola GIS.

0006-A30 Ron will check on historical data, photographs, etc.

#### TINUS UPDATE

The OLF Bronson Sites 1001102 Draft SCR has received comments from FDEP. The recommended wells will be installed in the July/August timeframe.

Site 43 drum sampling is scheduled for late July or August,

#### TRAINING PART 1

Ann Marie reviewed the MTBI process.

#### DAY 2

#### TRAINING

Ann Marie conducted a training exercise on Basic Rules of Quality, and had the team complete an exercise.

#### RAB AGENDA

Ron discussed agenda topics for the July RAB.

0006-A31 Allison is to work up a presentation on Site 2 for the July RAB.

0006-A32 Terry is to develop a Site 1 presentation for the Nov/Dec RAB meeting.

#### SITE 38 Feasibility Study

At the April meeting Joe had comments from Greg Brown at FDEP.

FDEP sent a letter to the Navy on 01 May 00

The Navy responded to FDEP on 05 June 00. A copy of the response was sent to Greg Brown for review.

At a prior FS scoping meeting with FDEP and LJSEPA a range of alternatives as discussed with the goal of streamlining the FS process by reducing some alternatives being evaluated against the 9 criteria.

FDEP comments indicate a broader range of alternatives. This seems to be in conflict with the prior decisions.

0006-A33 Allison is to review the FS for site 38 with new guidance on 95% UCL and <3X SCTL for determining areas requiring remediation. The leachability profile is also to be evaluated.

0006-A34 Joe is to talk with Greg Brown about the 95% criteria and its application to Site 38

0006-A35 Joe is to check on the possibility of using NA at Site 38 as an applicable remedial option.

0006-A36 Joe is to determine if FDEP will allow a surface water and groundwater sampling location into 1 point of compliance monitoring location at Site 38

0006-A37 The navy is to justify to USEPA why the Site 38 source can't be removed (archeological problems).

0006-A38 Joe is to check to determine under what conditions a variance is possible on groundwater meeting surface water criteria.

The Site 2 data will need to be combined in with the Site 38 data to justify conclusions.

Meeting review

#### Facilitator Evaluation

The team completed the evaluation form for the facilitator

**AGENDA**

<b>SUBJECT</b>	<b>GOAL</b>	<b>LEAD</b>	<b>TIME</b>
Goal Statement review & Development (Operational Item Definitions)	revise	Terry	0.5
Database & Schedule Develop Current Critical Issues & plan Review	develop	Gena/Joe/Bill	1.5
OU 6 FDEP status/Data	Develop Update	Terry Joe/Allison	1.0 1.0
Site 2	Update/Data review	Allison	1.0
Site 40 RI errata comments	Update	Allison	1.0
GIS	Update	Terry	0.5
TtNUS Update	Update	Terry	0.5
Site 38 FS	review	Greg/Joe	1.0
Training	Enhancement	Ann Marie	1.0
OU13 FS status	Update	Allison	0.5
Site 43 site visit/pictures	review	Terry	0.5
Facilitator Evaluation	Info	All	0.5

**FUTURE MEETINGS**

July 24/25	Pensacola/EnSafe	RAB
August 14/15	Tallahassee/TtNUS	
September 26/27	Atlanta/CH2M Hill	
October 24/25	Charleston	
December 5/6	Pensacola/EnSafe	RAB

## ACTION ITEM REVIEW

0006-A14 Robbie is to check with Rich May to determine how success stories are placed on the USEPA Region IV web page

0006-A15 Robbie is to send Terry an electronic copy of:

- Tier II problem elevation process
- The roles and responsibilities of the facilitator

0006-A16 The team is to review the Key West team handout on goals and be prepared to review and develop at the next meeting.

0006-A17 Gena, Joe, & Bill to provide respective organizations definitions for operational issues to the team.

0006-A18 The team is to review develop a resolution path for current critical issues.

0006-A19 Terry to check with Rich May on getting the Tier II minutes and distributing. Robbie is to send minutes to Bill & Bill is to send out.

0006-A20 Gena and Joe are to talk about health based RGOs/ numbers for manganese (OU6)

0006-A21 Joe is to determine what is needed for NFA ROD at OU6 in FDEP view.

0006-A22 Brian to review turbidity and water quality parameters for the Chevalier Field area samples – manganese in the samples is also to be reviewed.

0006-A23 Allison is to check on the HI calculation for manganese in the OU6 area,

0006-A24 Gena is to determine what changes in the ROD require revision.

0006-A25 Gena is to check on the Site 2 data and report and send to Allison in electronic format.

0006-A26 Tom Dillon to provide concurrence on RI errata submittal,

0006-A27 Ron and Bill to check on the CNET data surveys.

0006-A28 Terry/ Pittsburgh GIS to coordinate with Constantine Tudan Memphis EnSafe

0006-A29 Terry/ Pittsburgh GIS to coordinate with Scott McAvoy SDIV to determine what level of effort would be required to develop a Pensacola GIS.

0006-A30 Ron will check on historical data, photographs, etc.

ACTION ITEM REVIEW

0006-A31 Allison is to work up a presentation on Site 2 for the July RAB.

0006-A32 Terry is to develop a Site 1 presentation for the Nov/Dec R4B meeting.

0006-A33 Allison is to review the FS for site 38 with new guidance on 45% UCL and <3X SCTL for determining areas requiring remediation. The leachability profile is also to be evaluated.

0006-A34 Joe is to talk with Greg Brown about the 95% criteria and its application to Site 38

0006-A35 Joe is to check on the possibility of using NA at Site 38 as an applicable remedial option

0006-A36 Joe is to determine if FDEP will allow a surface water and groundwater sampling location into 1 point of compliance monitoring location at Site 38

0006-A37 The navy is to justify to USEPA why the Site 38 source can't be removed (archeological problems).

0006-A38 Joe is to check to determine under what conditions a variance is possible on groundwater meeting surface water criteria.