

N00204.AR.001979

NAS PENSACOLA

5090.3a

**Revised Final
Pensacola Partnering Team
Meeting Minutes**

Date: November 6 - 7, 2002.
Team Leader: Bill Hill
Scribe: Gena Townsend
Gate Keeper / Time Keeper:
Facilitator: Gus Campana

Attendees:**Team Members:**

Allison Harris – EnSafe Inc.
Brian Caldwell – EnSafe Inc.
Terry Hansen – TTNUS
Greg Campbell – NAS Pensacola
Gena Townsend – EPA
Tracie Vaught – FDEP
Greg Wilfley – CH2MHill
Bill Hill - SOUTHDIV

Support Members:

Gus Campana - ICLD
Gerry Walker - TTNUS
Lynn Wellman – Eco USEPA
Hugo Ochoa – Univ. FL
Paul Stoddard – EnSafe Inc.
Barbara Albrecht – EnSafe Inc.

Adjunct Member:

Tom Dillon - NOAA

1. Check-In

The meeting began at 8:00 A.M. and everyone doing well. The team welcomed Greg Campbell, who is replacing Ron Joyner as the Base contact. Meeting Processes and Ground Rules were reviewed. Action items were discussed and can be seen under Section 12 of these minutes.

2. New Member Check In

Gus Campana led the team in the new member check in procedures. Areas of expectations were discussed with Greg C. Team members identified their individual expectations of Greg C. and what he can expect from them and vice-versa.

1102-A1 Action Item: Gena will send CERCLA flow chart to Greg C. with a list of EPA web sites for additional information.

3. Post RAB

The draft five-year review and OU 13 removal plan was presented to the RAB. The information was well received by all. One of the RAB members inquired about the cemetery expansion project and how it relates to Site 1.

1102-A2 Action Item: Greg C. will follow up and provide a map outlining

the cemetery expansion.

4. OU2 – Sub committee report (Oct 10-11 meeting)

Brian presented the tech memo (2 pages), site sampling map and matrix that were developed from the Oct. meeting. A question was raised; should soil samples be collected for SPLP analysis at various locations? The purpose would be to identify if the present soil conditions are a source of gw contamination.

Team agreed to table this discussion until Brian re-evaluates the data. He will review the data to look for couplets, (potential subsurface and gw hits), to identify potential areas for sampling. He will also search for previous leachate sampling data.

Brian conducted a site recon on Nov. 5 to locate the well sampling locations. Thirteen wells could not be located. Another site visit will be scheduled with Phil Hardy, EnSafe, to attempt to locate the wells. The team made some adjustments to the well sampling locations.

Additional Well location changes: 1. Move new well for Site 12 to inside the DRMO area. 2. Select a downgradient UST well from 30GS156 (well destroyed), with the same depth for sampling. 3. Two maybe three wells will have to be reinstalled. They could not be located and there are no substitute wells available.

1102-A3 Action Item: Brian will report to the team potential subsurface soil/gw locations and previous leachate sampling data.

1102-A4 Action Item: Brian will contact Phil to schedule another site recon to search for the well locations.

1102-A5 Action Item: Brian will email the identified missing well numbers, revise the map and tech memo and submit by Thanksgiving for concurrence. Will discuss at next meeting.

Here are the missing wells and the actions we are taking on them:

12GS08 - check w/Phil H.

12GS09 - ck. w/ Phil H.

11GI15 -likely present but obscured by vegetation

30GS156 - replace using a Tetrattech UST well - Gerry is sending me a map

30GS166 - replace using 162

30GS171, 172, and 173 -inside fence; ck. with Phil H.

25GS04 -replace with new well

27GS13 -replace with 17

30GS26 -replace with 27, add MNA to analysis

30GS29 -replace with new well

30GS12-replace with 11

5. Site 2

Comments are being addressed for the Site 2 RI. Report is due by December 31, 2002.

6. Site 40

Comments from Hugo, on the Site 40 RI Addendum 1, were discussed. The document addresses human health as it relates to fish consumption. EnSafe will prepare a response to FDEP comments and arrange a conference call for Dec. 16, at 2:00 est. Gena will have an EPA representative available for the call.

1102-A6 Action Item: Allison will prepare a response to comments and set up the Dec. 16th conference call.

1102-A7 Action Item: Gena will arrange for an EPA representative to join the conference call.

7. Site 41 - Wetlands

Barbara led discussion. She presented tables for Wetland 1 that identified the contaminant refinement process, which resulted in a COPC list. Each wetland will go thru this process. The end result will be a list of COPC, that will be further refined in step 4 of the eco. Process.

Tom made a suggestion to use the total PAH values at the screening phase using the normalized data. Remove from the tables, the listing of individual PAHs and list instead the normalized and non-normalized values.

Clarification from Dillon. Under the Site 41 Wetlands discussion, I did suggest relying on a Total PAH expression and dropping the individual PAHs for most of the tables. However, individual PAHs should be retained in one of the early tables so the reader, if he or she wishes, can go back and see individual results.

The IROD is on hold until the wetland reassessment is complete.

1102-A8 Action Item: Barbara will send email to Eco subgroup reminding them to send comments on the screening tables that were presented at the meeting.

1102-A9 Action Item: Barbara to send Tom email of Excel spreadsheet showing the 1/10 detections (PAH).

8. Site 38

Hugo, (FDEP), is awaiting guidance on the 95% UCL for non-detects. The report cannot be finalized until the guidance is final.

9. Site 1 Funding

Next Year the monitoring task will include remedial evaluation for groundwater. The groundwater remedial evaluation will begin in February.

10. Schedule Update

Team reviewed the FY03 SCAP Targets and Site Schedules to verify that we are still on target.

11. Success Stories

Team generated and prioritized a list of topics that can possibly result in success stories.

1. Site 43 Removal action and the UXO issues – site remained on schedule
2. Sites 8 & 24 using the 95% UCL method
3. Site 38 using the 95% UCL method
4. Wetlands DQO process use in contaminant refinement

12. Action Items

Old

Action Item - Finalize Site 15 Memorandum 30 September – Greg [DONE]
EPA and FDEP accepts memo as final.

Action Item – Bill Hill will attempt to obtain priority funding for a ROD Addendum, Public Comment Period and Public Notice for Site 1. [Done]
Complete will include in FY04 funding.

Action Item – Bill Hill will get with Maxie Kessler to obtain well information on wells 33G04 and GM13 and Maxie will provide that information to Tracie Vaught. [Done]

Well info not available. Bill provided info he had to Tracie for review. Bill will explore getting the wells sampled.

Action Item – Greg Wilfley will complete the monitoring plan for site 15 and then prepare the Closeout Report by November 2002. [Done]
Document submitted, awaiting EPA & FDEP concurrence.

Action Item – Gus will develop a training plan and submit it to the team at the November 2002 meeting. [Done]
Document submitted.

13. Five Year Review – Presented by Gerry Walker

Informal comments submitted – include OU4 and the LUCIPS, change the OU10 gw info. Formal comments due by Nov. 29. Arrange for a Web Ex teleconference on Dec 17, at 9:00 – 11:00 est. to discuss the comments.

1102-A10 Action Item: Gena will set up a Web Ex call for Dec. 17.

14. OU13 – Sites 8 & 24, Presented by Amy Twitty

FDEP commented on the use of the term Remedial Goal (RG). A clarification (rationale), of how the numbers were developed should be included in the text. There is a different meaning associated with the use of the term RG in 95%UCL and the SCTL.

Tracie, FDEP, made a suggestion to include the SPLP data in Amy's submittal. Tracie will also review the site gw information, (sample locations, photos, etc.), to get a complete picture before presenting the information to management.

Gena, EPA, suggested collecting another round of samples from the wells that had a detection of Cd to possibly support a no action for Cd in the gw.

A suggestion was made by Hugo, FDEP, to recalculate the exposure concentrations based on the actual exposure area vs. the entire site. This may result in a reduction of the actual risk numbers, because the worker is exposed to the entire site and the time would be limited in the actual exposure areas.

1102-A11 Action Item: Brian, EnSafe, will attempt to locate the actual SPLP data and send to Amy for inclusion in the supplemental report.

15. Activity Update – Greg Campbell

The golf course pond is being sited outside of the Site 1 LUCIP boundary of 300'. A pump will be installed South of the Road to supply a sprinkler system and maintain the pond. The pond will be lined to prevent gw infiltration. This area is also outside of the Site 24 boundary.

1102-A12 Action Item: Tracie will check with FDEP on discharging Surface water to the ground. [done]

Tracie reported; that issue would be handle by another department within the STATE thru the permit application for construction.

1102-A13 Action Item: Greg C. will check with the Water Management District for GW models to provide info to FDEP.

The activity inquired about constructing a mini mart in the Site 27 area.

Correction: Information was supplied to the team. The team agreed to deny the request because of its proximity to the IR site. The Navy's project manager presented the team's decision to the activity.

Sea Wall - Additional samples will be taken along the sea wall project.

16. Scribe for meeting – Tracie

Tracie made a proposal to the team about acquiring a permanent scribe for our meetings. Team thought this was a good idea.

1102-A14 Action Item: Bill will look into obtaining funding for a permanent scribe.

17. Training – Gus Campana

Gus led training on relating MBTI, (Myers Briggs Type Indicator), to TA, (Transactional Analysis). The training was well perceived by the team.

18. Tier II update – Paul

Paul passed out the tier II minutes from the August meeting. Tier II discussed the LUCAP situation and the Woodley letter. Also Paul stated that our Tier I presentation was well perceived.

19. Site Status Update

To bring Greg C. up to speed –

Site 2 – Report due in Dec. (RI Response to comments)

Site 15 - close out report for site and monitoring plan submitted in Nov.

Site 43 - Site monitoring submitted in Nov.

Site 38 – Awaiting FDEP guidance on 95% UCL

Site 40 – Awaiting FDEP HH risk comment resolution

Site 41 – In COPC, (contaminant of potential concern), refinement

Upcoming Sites

Site 44 – Behind Naval Museum, (organic) gw

Site 45 – Lead (gw) area near Bldg. 72

20. Action Items – New

1102-A15 Greg C. – look thru data for OU 10 and see if there is data for wells 33G14 and GM13 from 1995 to present.

1102-A16 Tracie – Bring in OU10 data to review at next meeting.

21. Upcoming Meeting Dates/Locations

Jan. 14 – 15 - Charleston, SC
March 25 – 26 – Tallahassee, FL (Tetra Tech)
May 13 – 14 - Pensacola, FL
July 29 – 30 – Knoxville, TN
Oct. 21 – 22 - TBD
Dec. 9 – 10 – TBD

22. Agenda for Jan. Meeting

Next meeting: January 14 – 15, 2003 in Charleston, SC. The meeting
Will be held from 8:00 am – 5:00 pm each day.

Leader: Gena Townsend

Scribe: Tracie Vaught

Timekeeper: Greg Wilfley

Agenda

Topic	Leader	Time	Goal
Check In	Gena	1 hr	hello
OU2	Brian	.5 hr	status
Five YR Review		.5 hr	update
Site 40	Allison	.5 hr	update
Site 41	Allison	.5 hr	update
Site 2	Allison	1.5 hr	review
Site 38	Tracie	.5 hr	update
Close out Reports	Greg W.	1 hr	review
Sites 15 – 43			
LUCIPS/RODs	Bill/Gena	1 hr	review
Tier II update	Paul	.5 hr	update
Training	Gus	1 hr	learn
EGIS	Gerry	2 hr	inform
Kick out Ron	All	2 hr	
Check Out	All	1hr	