

FINAL
Pensacola Partnering Team Meeting Minutes
October 21 and 22, 2003
Pensacola, FL

ATTENDEES:

Team Members:

Bill Hill	SouthDiv
Tracie Vaught	FDEP
Greg Fraley	EPA
Greg Campbell	NAS Pensacola
Allison Harris	EnSafe Inc.
Brian Caldwell	EnSafe Inc.
Gerry Walker	TtNUS
Greg Wilfley	CH2MHill

Support Members:

Paul Stoddard	EnSafe Inc. – Tier II Link
Gus Campana	ICLD – Facilitator
Betsy Voss	TtNUS - Scribe

Guest:

Amy Twitty	CH2MHill
------------	----------

1. Check-In/Opening Remarks/Head Count and Proxies/Guests/Review Ground Rules/Review Agenda

The Team had check-in and Gerry introduced Betsy as new scribe. Greg Campbell was not in attendance on first day due to miscommunication with schedule. Ground rules were read before meeting began.

Betsy will send out the draft minutes from this meeting.

Changes to the Agenda

Agenda item number 5 moved between items 10 and 11 for Amy to discuss.

2. Training - MBTI and Communication - Gus

Gus provided training on the Myers-Briggs Type Indicator (MBTI) and how it related to the Transitional Analysis.

First Gus reviewed the MTBI platform:

E-Extraversion	↔	I-Introversion
S-Sensing	↔	N-iNtuition
T-Thinking	↔	F-Feeling
J-Judging	↔	P-Perceiving

He also reviewed the Transactional Analysis Theory:

Parent
 Adult
 Child

He related the MBTI to the TA and offered some practical help for the Team.

He stressed that communication is the key which involves being considerate of others. We don't all think alike, so be aware of what others' MBTI results are especially the two central tendencies: S, N, T, or F.

Summary:

- We reviewed the basics of type indicators
- We applied them to improving communication using a "where are you coming from" approach
- We talked about what to do when you know the other person's type, when you don't know the other person's type, and when you can't know the other person's type

Gus handed out presentation material which is attached to the meeting minutes.

3. Break

4. SCAPS update and review the Interim Report Tracking Document

Greg F. says the target dates still seem accurate. He will continue to send current SCAPS to the Team via a PDF file. Paul mentioned to the Team that we incorporated the SCAPS update in the agenda to keep everyone - Tier II - abreast. Exit strategy report can go along with the SCAPS report. Greg W. suggested SCAPS be discussed at the end of the meeting. No Team member could remember the need for, or why the "Interim Report Tracking Document" was started, so no review was done.

5. Review exit strategy table

Gerry reviewed the IR Site-Specific Exit Strategies spreadsheet. Bill explained that the Projected NFA Date/Costs consist of remedial action plus 30 years of long term operational monitoring, and additional 5 years of maintenance. Yellow highlights stand for closed sites. Bill suggested that we change the "In Progress (Status) with NFA (Date)" and "Projected Costs with Projected NFA Date" columns-everyone agreed. Paul's understanding of the two hour time slot in the agenda was that the Team would discuss the projected exit strategy as opposed to Bill himself going back and completing the spreadsheet. Bill asked Team if the title headings on spreadsheet are sufficient enough for Tier II. Team discussed and seemed to be in sync with headings.

Site No. 1

- 5 year review complete
- not seeing decreased concentrations
- without source removal, i.e. digging the landfill, there is no exit strategy
- Greg F. suggests we simply state "None" in the Projected Exit Strategy and specify comments to Tier II - Team decides not to take this route
- Exit Strategy Advantages added: Landfill remains in place - low cost
- Projected Exit Strategy changed to: Monitor until groundwater concentrations are below standards; Site-wide groundwater MNA; Groundwater iron treatment prior to wetland 3 discharge
- Comments added: Continued source may last indefinitely

Site No. 2

- Projected Exit Strategy added: NFA
- Exit Strategy Advantages added: low cost
- Paul reminds team that Tom Dillon has made comments before that he does not wish this site to go NFA
- Exit Strategy Concerns added: NOAA may not concur NFA
- Comments added: Feasibility Study addendum has not been approved
- Bill would like the SCAPS to match up with the IR Site-Specific Exit Strategies spreadsheet

Site No. 8

- Projected Exit Strategy added: Monitoring groundwater until NFA; Land use controls for soil/groundwater
- Exit Strategy Advantages added: Controlled risk/low cost
- Exit Strategy Concerns added: Land use restricted to industrial

Site No. 11

- Projected Exit Strategy added: MNA for groundwater; Insitu groundwater treatment; hot spot soil removal; Land use controls on soil & groundwater
- Exit Strategy Advantages added: Controlled risk/low cost
- Exit Strategy Concerns added: Groundwater MNA may need to be enhanced; Groundwater treatment may continue indefinitely; Land use restricted to industrial
- Comments added: Continuing source may last indefinitely

Site No. 12, 25, 26, 27

- Projected Exit Strategy added: MNA for groundwater; Insitu groundwater treatment; hot spot soil removal; Land use controls on soil & groundwater
- Exit Strategy Advantages added: Controlled risk/low cost
- Exit Strategy Concerns added: Groundwater MNA may need to be enhanced; Groundwater treatment may continue indefinitely; Land use restricted to industrial
- Comments added: Continuing source may last indefinitely

Site No. 15

- Projected Exit Strategy added: Source removal; MNA of groundwater until NFA; Land use controls
- Exit Strategy Advantages added: Source removal may reduce long term monitoring
- Exit Strategy Concerns added: Land use restricted to industrial

Site No. 24

- Projected Exit Strategy added: Monitoring groundwater until NFA; Land use controls for soil/groundwater
- Exit Strategy Advantages added: Controlled risk/low cost
- Exit Strategy Concerns added: Land use restricted to industrial

Site No. 38

- Projected Exit Strategy added: Land use controls soil & groundwater; MNA of groundwater
- Exit Strategy Advantages added: Controlled risk/low cost
- Exit Strategy Concerns added: Land use restricted to industrial

Site No. 40

- Projected Exit Strategy added: NFA

Site No. 41

- Projected Exit Strategy added: 90% of wetlands NFA; 10% wetlands will require remedial action - dredging, solidification, monitoring
- Exit Strategy Comments added: Too early in process to determine final remedy; All wetlands grouped as OU (90 total wetlands)

Site No. 43

- Projected Exit Strategy added: Source removal; MNA of groundwater until NFA; Land use controls
- Exit Strategy Advantages added: Source removal may reduce long term monitoring
- Exit Strategy Concerns added: land use restricted to industrial

Site 44, 45, 46

- In Progress (Status) added: Screening
- Projected Exit Strategy added: NFA
- Exit Strategy Concerns added: Navy relative risk ranking is low; Investigation has not begun

All comments stating "No Action ROD" were deleted.

Greg F. suggests we send draft out to Team for review, and then initiate conference call on November 13, 2003 at 2:00 pm to discuss final Exit Strategies.

Action Item A11003: Gerry will send draft Exit Strategy to team by 10/31/03.

6. Lunch

7. Tier II Presentation for Greg - Bill

Bill Hill gave a presentation that was previously presented to Tier II in 2002. The presentation was to assist Greg F. in understanding the facilities and sites.

8. Tier II Update - Paul

Paul provides the Tier II update. Items from the last meeting included:

- Change in Navy RPMs in Jacksonville
- FDEP Federal Facilities Division has lost personnel including Tim Bahr, Greg Brown and Jorge Caspary

- Standard team reports - Pensacola faired okay
- Discussion on Navy reorg - NASP is now under Admiral Weaver
- Discussion on LUCIPS
- The date for the global RBCA workshop has not been finalized. It will likely be in late December.

9. Partnering Team Meeting Schedule

Next meeting is December 9-10 in Charleston SC.

Action Item A21003: Bill will email specifics for December meeting.

Allison proposes we continue meeting every 2 months, but have conference calls in the off months to discuss action items/concerns/new agenda items.

Concensus #1: Team agrees conference call will be completed between each of the regularly scheduled meetings.

Meeting Schedule:

- Conference call - November 13, 2003 - 2:00 EST
- Meeting - December 9-10, 2003 - Charleston
- Meeting - February 10-11, 2004 - Pensacola
- Meeting - April 6-7, 2004 - Tallahassee
- Meeting - June 8-9, 2004 - Charleston
- Meeting - August 10-11, 2004 - Pittsburgh/Atlanta
- Meeting - October 19-20, 2004 - Pensacola
- Meeting - December 7-8, 2004 - Charleston

10. Close-out site 43 - information and decision - Amy

Amy discussed FDEP comments including proper use of 95 UCL with Tracie. Comments need to be addressed in the RI/FS completed by TtNUS. Amy has submitted the Completion Report for the Interim Removal Action at Site 43 and the regulators are currently reviewing it (no significant changes expected.). Once approval of the interim removal action completion report is received, TtNUS will attach an electronic copy of it to the site characterization report and forward it to the Team. Bill will proceed with scope of work for TtNUS to complete the RI/FS at the site.

11. Site 15 Arsenic - Amy

Amy discussed arsenic. FDEP is supposed to change the arsenic number up to 7.2. Tracie is 80 % sure that will change the numbers. Greg F. brought up the issue, can we wait indefinitely for the numbers to change or can we set a deadline? Does anyone know the cost difference between the numbers? Bill replied that a cost comparison has been completed. Bill asked if we get the numbers changed, would it be acceptable to complete the soil removal in 2005. Amy explained the handouts listing the Site 15 95% UCL criteria used.

Team discussed moving the SCAPS completion date from September 30, 2004 to September 30, 2005. Greg F. stated that if he changes it in the SCAP, then we need to stick to that number.

Action Item A31003: Greg W. will come up with cost to delineate Site 15 soils if arsenic remains unchanged in FDEP's criteria by December 9, 2003.

Action Item A41003: Greg F. will update the SCAP to reflect the completion of Site 15 to September 30, 2005.

The Team decides that they'll continue to wait for FDEP's action in changing the arsenic number up until September 2004. Bill will program money for a removal action assuming worst case in that FDEP does not change their arsenic number. Any new awards for removal action will go to EMAC Contractor.

12. OU 13 PAH Discussion - Amy

Amy wanted to know, what are our "marching orders"? There is soil and groundwater contamination at sites 8 and 24. Delineated before excavating. Levels were low. Amy gave presentation with 2 separate handouts. Handouts are attached to meeting minutes.

Consensus #2: OU 13 can proceed with land use controls and monitoring and CCI will submit Interim Remedial Action Report.

13. Site 41 - Concurrence on screening and refinement tables - Allison

Allison indicated that the ECO sub-committee meeting was delayed until early December. EnSafe continues to work on ECO table refinement.

14. Site 38 - Finalize remedial values - Allison

EnSafe will be submitting the Feasibility Study (FS) for Site 38 in a few weeks. The FS will use the 95% UCL and include groundwater alternatives.

Second Day – October 22, 2003

15. TIP Review - Gus

Gus reviewed the Team Assessment from the August meeting which Gerry enlarged and posted on the walls.

Closure on Issues:

- Gus thinks Team was pretty accurate on the "Stop" list
- On "Start", Team acted more like a team

- Discussed what is actually meant by "Identify ARAR's under CERCLA" which means, are we looking at the right requirements and what's appropriate under CERCLA

Dig for Root Causes:

- Nothing has been done yet on "Start: Make summary CD about the site we are working on." Allison commented we don't need it until the document is written. Gerry explains that he sends a final CD and tells client to destroy previous CD, which led to a discussion on what type of documents would the different Team members like to receive.
 - Greg F. wants two drafts (one binder/one CD) and one final CD
 - Tracie wants one hard copy for drafts, then final is one hard copy and one CD
 - Bill wants one hard copy of draft, then CD and hard copy for final
 - Rest of team wants both copies for final copy

Action Item 51003: Gerry will send out listing of what Team wants to receive document wise by October 31, 2003

Gerry suggests correspondence be included in the CDs.

Consensus #4: To do an ongoing CD summary for each site.

Members Suggest Ways of Achieving Goals:

- "Stop fighting the system" means realizing that the State of FL, Tier II, EPA, etc have rules and regulations that must be followed and we can't change them.
- "Using system to our advantage" - discover what IS changeable

Action Item 61003: TtNUS will create a consensus item list similar to the action item list and incorporate into the meeting minutes.

Consideration of Others:

- Greg F. wonders if there are any trainings to talk about "clearing the air" based on "address root cause of personal baggage."

16. Arsenic background discussion - Brian

Brian led the discussion and wanted to discuss the concern of trying to validate the background number that we have now. He suggested a normalization process comparing the ratios of detected metals and looking for outliers. You end up with a background "ratio" based on iron/arsenic, as opposed to a single background concentration number for arsenic. When a source is present, then the ratio or correlation does not exist.

Action Item 71003: Brian will develop an example of background normalization for metals and soils as an agenda item at the next meeting.

Action Item 81003: Greg F. will provide Tracie and Brian with some background/presentation information on the normalization of metals process by October 31, 2003.

17. Facility/UST Update - Greg C.

Facility is abandoning the old power plant. During demolition a site was identified with acidic and caustic contaminants. Facility is completing an assessment of the site. During the soil/groundwater assessment, petroleum contaminants were detected. Facility plans to continue the investigation with installation of monitoring wells and to neutralize acidic contaminants on site.

18. Site 40 Proposed Plan Review - Allison

Greg F. started off by asking why we went from an RI/FS to a Proposed Plan without a Feasibility Study. Allison answered that the Feasibility Study wasn't needed because of the actions proposed.

Action Item 91003: Greg F. will send a response letter to the Site 40 RI addendum by October 31, 2003.

Allison summarized the Site 40 Bayou Grande work completed including fish sampling. PAHs, PCBs and metals were detected in samples. The proposed NFA summarized in the RI addendum and proposed plan.

Site 2 – EnSafe submitted the response to comments for the RI addendum and will finalize RI OU 13 - Proceed with proposed plan and ROD. An RI addendum will not be needed.

OU 2 - waiting on Brian's RI addendum, then finalize FS

Site 38 – EnSafe needs to finalize FS

Site 41 – EnSafe is working on analytical tables, ECO subgroup is meeting in December

19. 2nd Day Meeting Close-out

Consensus #3: Approval of the August 2003 Partnering Team Meeting Minutes.

Gerry reviewed with the Team the Action Items from this meeting and led the Team in preparation of the agenda for December 2003 meeting in Charleston SC.

Gus listed the plus and delta of the 2 day meeting and the Team completed the facilitator evaluation giving Gus satisfactory and outstanding marks.

Plus

Tracie solving of PAH problem at OU13
Facility nice
Good meeting
Exit Strategy Table (includes Tier II input)
Team worked well - unity
Brian/Allison discussion of background metals
Scribe
TIP review
Setting annual meeting schedule
Cookies good

Delta

Room was cold

New Action Items

A-11003: Gerry will send draft Exit Strategy to team by 10/31/03.

A-21003: Bill will email specifics for December meeting.

A-31003: Greg W. will come up with cost to delineate site 15 soils if arsenic remains unchanged in FDEP's criteria by December 9, 2003.

A-41003: Greg F. will update the SCAP to reflect the completion of site 15 to 9/30/05.

A-51003: Gerry will send out listing of what Team wants to receive document wise by 10/31/03

A-61003: TtNUS will create a consensus item list similar to the action item list and incorporate into the meeting minutes.

A-71003: Brian will develop an example of background normalization for metals and soils as an agenda item at the next meeting.

A-81003: Greg F. will provide Tracie and Brian with some background/presentation information on the normalization of metals process by 10/31/03.

A-91003: Greg F. will send a response letter to the site 40 RI addendum by 10/31/03.

Summary of Consensus Items

1. Team agrees conference call will be completed between each of the regularly scheduled meetings.
2. OU13 can proceed with land use controls and monitoring and CCI will submit Interim Remedial Action report.
3. Approval of the August 2003 Partnering Team Meeting Minutes.

Action Item No.	Responsible Party	Status	Due Date	Action Item
Action Items from January, 2003 Meeting				
A-010103	Tracie	Complete		Tracie to check with group on how SPLP information is used on other sites in relation to clean groundwater over a long period. Soil has exceedences and what is the effect of SPLP.
A-020103	Brian	Complete		Brian will review data and send out proposed SPLP sampling location within 2-weeks. Areas where leaching samples will be taken sent to us by Brian will be done by January 31, 2002.
A-030103	Bill	Complete		Bill will include removal of drums in the scope of work to get rid of the drums. Contractor will be determined by how much money has in contracts.
A-040103	Gerry	Complete		Gerry will put together this information for OU-1. To demonstrate to the team how to survey the boundaries for the rest of the CERCLA. To team bring all necessary information to perform this task. Discuss in the March Meeting. Gerry has them - he will give them to Greg and Bill.
A-050103	Tracie	Complete		Tracie was asked if she had check with Hugo about ECO tables for site 41.
Action Items from March, 2003 Meeting				
A-10303	Greg C.	Working	9/2/03	Greg C. will send a PDF Map to Tracie in an email with the locations of outlying fields for her to review for background study. Tracie will give a thumbs up or thumbs down. Tracie will get it to her by April 4, 2003. Greg will get this to Tracie.
A-20303	Greg W.	Closed	5/13/03	If OLF background locations are approved by Tracie, Greg W. needs to look at the background study data from NAS Whiting Field and see if it can be incorporated with the NASP background data, by next partnering meeting in the Site 15 and 43 Action Item. Complete
A-30303	Allison	Closed		Allison will send Hugo and the Team an email with a summarized paragraph of Site 40's history. Tracie will question Hugo on what he needs to discuss the Team's generalized position at the next partnering meeting May, 2003. Complete
A-40303	Allison	Closed	4/30/03	Allison to combine all RI/FS Reports and Data for Sites 38 and 40 on CD and send to the Team by April 30, 2003. Complete
A-50303	Greg W.	Closed		For site 43, Greg W. is to revisit the 95% UCL calculation in light of FDEP's input and see how it affects the Site 43 report. Complete - waiting on guidance numbers to change.

Action Item No.	Responsible Party	Status	Due Date	Action Item
A-60303	Greg W.	Closed		Greg W. will research the basis for regulatory guidance concentrations and how they apply to the data that presented for Table 3.2 in the Site 43 report and inform Tracie.
A-70303	Gerry	Closed	5/13/03	Gerry will plug in the LUC boundaries for OU1 and present it to the Team at the May 2003 Meeting.
Action Items from May, 2003 Meeting				
A-10503	Greg W.	Closed	6/13/03	Greg W. will estimate cost for soil removal where soil results exceeded leachability by June 13, 2003. Discussed in conference call.
A-20503	Gerry	Closed	6/13/03	TTNUS will come up with costs to do an RIFS through remediation assuming long-term monitoring is the final remedy by June 13, 2003. Discussed in conference call.
A-30503	Amy	Closed	6/13/03	Amy will recalculate the 95% UCL on the remaining data at Site 15 by June 13, 2003.
A-40503	Greg C.	Closed		Greg C. will fill out the facilitator evaluation form.
A-50503	Allison	Closed	8/19/03	Ensafe will find out what the survey boundaries are of the landfill at OU1 before the next partnering meeting in August 2003. On the August Agenda.
A-60503	Allison	Closed		Allison will set up an ECO sub-group meeting with Hugo, Tom, Bobby Lewis and Gary B. to discuss Site 2 and Site 41. Meeting results will be presented at the next partnering meeting in August 2003. On the August Agenda.
New Action Items from August, 2003 Meeting				
A-10803	Allison	Closed	8/26/03	Allison will send out the Site 40 RI Addendum by August 26 th , 2003.
A-20803	Brian	Working	10/31/03	The RI Addendum for OU2 will be out by September 30, 2003. Revised 10/31/03
A-30803	Bill	Working	10/31/03	Provide a groundwater map for OU4, site 15, to meet the intentions of the Record of Decision by October 15, 2003. Revised 10/31/03
A-40803	Bill	Working		Bill will meet with Greg. Wilfley, CH2M Hill to discuss remobilization to further excavate surface soil exceedances (site 15).
A-50803	Greg W.	Working		Greg W. to prepare a presentation to the Team to illuminate us on what is lacking in the terms of delineation of the site (site 15).

Action Item No.	Responsible Party	Status	Due Date	Action Item
A-60803	Amy	Closed		Amy to send Tracie pertinent information relating to the BAP surface soil contamination found along the west side of site 24, along the John H. Tower road. Include pictures of the samples taken with the asphalt found in it, pictures of the site where the samples were taken by the next partnering meeting.
A-70803	Greg F.	Closed		Greg F. will research background data concerning roadways and PAH's and will report back to the Team by the next partnering meeting.
A-80803	Brian	Closed	10/01/03	Brian will research PAH data associated with Site 9 to see if it is appropriate for roadway comparison to road building activities. Brian will gather Amy's and Greg F.'s information, combine it with his research and get it all to Tracie by October 1, 2003.
A-90803	Jamie	Closed		Jamie needs to send out the electronic copy of the Interim Report Tracking Document to the Team a week before the next partnering meeting. The Team will review the list to determine if the due dates are still appropriate and will discuss this at the next partnering meeting. – just use SCAPS
A-100803	Bill	Closed		Bill will get Gerry the cost information to use for the exit strategy document.
A-110803	Allison	Closed		Allison will get Gerry the NFA data information and date and document information for the exit strategy document.
A-120803	Greg C.	Working		Greg C. will follow up with RASO to take care of the RAD contamination at OU2.
A-130803	Bill	Closed		Bill to get the Team the web address for the Installation Restoration Program portal for EGIS.
A-140803	Paul	Closed		Paul will confirm with Tier II if the Exit Strategy should include the IR Program only.
A-150803	Gerry	Closed	10/01/03	Gerry will send the exit strategy table to Brian by October 1, 2003.
A-160803	Brian	Working		Brian will complete the exit strategy document and email to the Team for review before the next partnering meeting.
A-170803	Allison	Closed		Allison will go into the database and look for the soil and groundwater samples collected in various areas of the NASP base. - arsenic
A-180803	Allison	Closed	8/28/03	Allison will get comments from the other participants in the ECO group and will then email the meeting minutes to the Team by August 28, 2003.
A-190803	Jamie	Closed		Tetra tech will generate posters from the Team Improvement Program for use at the next partnering meeting. The four top areas and one with the 19 questions.

New Action Items from October, 2003 Meeting				
Action Item No.	Responsible Party	Status	Due Date	Action Item
A-11003	Gerry	Working	10/31/03	Gerry will send draft Exit Strategy to Team by 10/31/03
A-21003	Bill	Working		Bill will send email specifics for December meeting
A-31003	Greg W.	Working	12/9/03	Greg W. will come up with cost to delineate site 15 soils if arsenic SCTLs remain unchanged in FDEP's criteria by December 9, 2003
A-41003	Greg F.	Working		Greg F. will update the SCAP to reflect the completion of site 15 to 9/30/05.
A-51003	Gerry	Working	10/31/03	Gerry will send out listing of what Team wants to receive document wise by 10/31/03
A-61003	Betsy	Working		TtNUS will create a consensus item list similar to the action item list and incorporate into the meeting minutes.
A-71003	Brian	Working		Brian will develop an example of background normalization for metals in soils as an agenda item at the next meeting.
A-81003	Greg F.	Working	10/31/03	Greg F. will provide Tracie and Brian with some background/presentation information on the normalization of metals process by 10/31/03.
A-91003	Greg F.	Working	10/31/03	Greg F. will send a response letter to the site 40 RI addendum by 10/31/03

Parking Lot Issues

There are 2 parking lot issues.

1. Arsenic background study locations.
2. Funding for FY04 project.

Consensus Items from January, 2003 Meeting	
---	--

Consensus Item No.	Consensus Item
	No consensus items from January Meeting Minutes.

Consensus Items from March, 2003 Meeting	
---	--

Consensus Item No.	Consensus Item
1	Approval by the Team of the January Meeting Minutes.
2	To go forward with the interim RA Completion Report with the 16.2 arsenic number. Acting as if FDEP will promulgate the 16.2 arsenic number. If different than that, something else will be done.
3	The Team achieved consensus on the Charter changes and additions.

Consensus Items from May, 2003 Meeting	
---	--

Consensus Item No.	Consensus Item
1	Approval of the March 2003 Meeting Minutes with the changes incorporated.
2	Review the Charter at the next meeting when all Team members are present.
3	The Team has added a conference call on June 19, 2003 at 10:00am eastern time to evaluate the costs for soil removal vs. RIFS.
4	The Team has added a conference call on June 19, 2003 at 10:00am eastern time to evaluate the costs for soil removal vs. RIFS.

Consensus Items from August, 2003 Meeting	
--	--

Consensus Item No.	Consensus Item
1	Approval of the May 2003 Partnering Team Meeting Minutes.
2	The Team had a consensus to table the Site 43 decision until next meeting when CH2M Hill is available for the discussion.
3	The Team had consensus to move along with the proposed plan.
4	The Team will finish the draft table based on what Gerry's already put together. Once the table is complete, fill in if it's NFA information or costs information from DON.
5	To use the existing Land Use Restrictions and EGIS process on OU1.

New Consensus Items from October, 2003 Meeting	
---	--

Consensus Item No.	Consensus Item
1	Team agrees conference call will be completed between each of the regularly scheduled meetings.
2	OU13 can proceed with land use controls and monitoring and CCI will submit Interim Remedial Action report.
3	Approval of the 2003 August Partnering Team Meeting Minutes.

Pensacola Partnering Meeting Agenda
Charleston, SC
December 9 and 10, 2003

Leader: Greg Campbell
Scribe: Betsy Voss
Timekeeper: Greg Wilfley

Item	Description	Presenter	Time	Category
1	1 st Day Check In/Opening Remarks/ Resource Sharing/Head Count and Proxies/Guests/ Review Ground Rules/Action Item & Parking Lot Review	Greg C.	8:00 – 9:00	Info
2	Training – visioning	Gus	9:00 – 10:00	Required
3	Break	All	10:00 - 10:15	Refresh
4	Site 15 cost update	Greg W.	10:15 - 10:30	Info
5	OU13 status document update	Greg W.	10:30 - 10:45	Info
6	Close-out site 43 – information and decision	Bill	10:45 – 11:00	Info
7	Metals background discussion	Brian	11:00 – 12:00	Info
8	Lunch	All	12:00 – 1:15	Refresh
9	Tier II update	Greg F.	1:15 – 1:30	Info
10	Facility/UST Update	Greg C.	1:30 – 1:45	Info
11	OU2 Report discussion	Brain	1:45 - 2:15	Info
12	TIP Review	Gus	2:15 – 3:00	Info
13	Break	All	3:00 – 3:15	Refresh
14	Site 41 – concurrence on screening and refinement tables	Allison	3:15 – 4:15	Info
15	1 st Day Meeting Closeout – Review Action Items/ Consensus Items/+/-/Review Agenda for Day 2	All	4:15 – 4:45	Info

Pensacola Partnering Meeting
Second Day

Item	Description	Presenter	Time	Category
16	2 nd Day Check-In/Opening Remarks	Greg C.	8:00 – 8:30	Info
17	SCAPS update and exit strategy	All	8:30 – 9:00	Info
18	Site 40 Proposed Plan Review	Allison	9:00 – 9:30	Info
19	Break	All	9:30 – 9:45	Refresh
20	FY 04 funding	Bill	9:45 – 10:15	Info
21	Site 2 Update	Allison	10:15 – 10:45	Info
22	2 nd Day Meeting Closeout – review action items/next agenda/+/-/consensus/facilitator eval.	All	10:45 – 11:30	Info