

**NAS Pensacola Partnering Team Meeting Minutes  
December 2 & 3, 2008  
Pensacola, Florida**

**ATTENDEES:**

**Team Members:**

Patty Marajh-Whittemore	NAVFAC
Greg Fraley	USEPA
Greg Wilfley	CH2M Hill
Greg Campbell	NASP PWD
Tracie Bolaños	FDEP
Gerry Walker	TtNUS
Allison Harris	Ensafe

**Support Members:**

Nancy Rouse	Mgmt Edge - Facilitator
Jacqueline Strobl	TtNUS – Scribe
Yarissa.Martínez	TtNUS
Ron Kotun	TtNUS
John Schoolfield	NAVFAC
Susan Burtnett	Malcolm Pirnie, Inc.

**1. 1<sup>st</sup> Day Check In/Opening Remarks/Resource Sharing/Head Count and Proxies/Guests/Review Ground Rules /Review Consensus Items & Action Items & Parking Lot/Approve Minutes 8:30 – 9:05**

Check-in – 8:30- 50

The Partnering Team completed check in and then reviewed the Team Charter and Ground Rules. The Team then reviewed consensus items, updated the Action Item List, and reviewed the parking lot items from the September 2008 meeting. The updated Action Item List is included.

Brian Caldwell did not attend the meeting because he experienced flu symptoms during the drive down. He sent an email explaining his problem and giving Gerry Walker his proxy.

*Consensus Item 01 – The September 16 & 17, 2008 meeting minutes have been approved with a updates per Greg Campbell comments – facility updates beefed up- asked for clarification/additional info (Shown in red). A final copy of the approved minutes will be posted to the IR portal and archived.*

**Review of Consensus Items:**

*Prev. Consensus Item 3 (from September 16 & 17, 2008 minutes) – OU2 ROD changes during the partnering meeting, including the proposed changes by FDEP and USEPA has been incorporated. Therefore the OU2 ROD should be sent on Friday for agency's approval.*

In relation to previous consensus item 3, Greg Fraley requested that the meeting minutes note and commend Tracie, Patty, Gerry, Yarissa, and Greg Campbell for the enormous amount of work they performed in the amount of time they had, to get this in.

**Review of Action Items:**

Gerry led the team through the active Action Items from the September 2008 Action Items List and updated the list accordingly (as included). The primary changes to the list included:

A-040208 – Ongoing – Gerry provided a brief explanation that the sorting function for the Gantt chart had not worked. When sorted the table was not longer user/viewer friendly. Because the latest version was too difficult to read, Gerry did not bring it with him to use this for this meeting. Gerry indicated that TtNUS will continue to investigate ways to sort the document schedule for the individual team member's due dates.

A-050508 – Ongoing - Patty & Greg Campbell were unable to determine UST Site 015, Building/Site 1116 OLF Bronson status.

A-080508 – Completed - Greg Fraley checked into regulations concerning the administrative record and determined that hard copies are not required a strictly electronic version of the Administrative record is appropriate.

New Action Item:

*A-011208 – Tracy will check files to see if she has a status for Navy UST Site 15 Building 1116 Bronson Field.*

The team discussed questions regarding the day's schedule and possible modifications to the day's agenda. Greg Fraley proposed that finalizing the 2009 meeting schedule could be pushed to the second day's closeout. Gerry requested that it not be put off until the second day. Greg Fraley suggested proceeding with the meeting and coming back to the 2009 meeting schedule later on in the day.

Team member discussion paused to acknowledge a new arrival to the meeting; Susan Burtnett of Malcolm Pirnie, Inc. introduced herself.

Discussion resumed and noted that the lunch schedule would need to be modified in order for Gerry Walker and Greg Campbell to travel to the Facility and meet with the audio visual technician in preparation for the RAB meeting later in the day. Lunch was rescheduled for 11:00am to 1:00pm.

There were no parking lot items to address.

**2. Break 9:05-9:25 am**

**3. Preliminary Assessment for Navy MMRP Sites 9:25 – 10:36**

Susan Burtnett of Malcolm Pirnie, Inc. provided a presentation on Preliminary Assessments for Navy Munitions Response Program Sites. Susan provided a bound presentation booklet to all present and proceeded to lead the team members through the Preliminary Assessment's purpose, objectives, and MMRP related definitions; it was noted that the MMRP was modeled after the CERCLA Program. Susan provided a brief summary of what the Preliminary Assessment Reports are intended to provide. It was explained that sites had been identified through a Navy Baseline Inventory that had been completed. Additional areas of concern were identified after the collection of data and review of available records pertaining to these sites.

*A-021208 - Susan will provide info regarding contact persons for the MMRP FUDS investigations to the Navy.*

*Following the meeting Susan sent the following contact information:*

*Debbie McKinley, EC-P  
USACE St. Louis District  
1222 Spruce Street  
St. Louis, Missouri 63103  
(314) 331-8842  
(314) 630-5801 (cell)  
(314) 331-8828 (fax)*

Susan provided an overview of NAS Pensacola MRP sites noting details concerning acreage, usage periods, munitions types, and recommendations. This was followed by a brief review of the PA Report review schedule.

Following the presentation Susan excused herself from the meeting in order to make a scheduled flight.

*\*See attached Preliminary Assessments for Navy Munitions Response Program Sites booklet.*

**4. RAB Presentation Update 10:37 – 10:50**

Gerry provided a quick overview of the RAB presentation, noting that he would be handling the technical presentation, and that Greg Campbell would provide the introduction and closing of the meeting. Gerry explained that the technical presentation would cover the 5-Year Review for OU1, OU4, OU11, and OU13 and would also discuss NFA RODs for the facilities that were not included in the 5-Year Review. Gerry will provide an overview of the 5-Year Review issues and recommendations and the protectiveness statement for each site. This will be followed by a discussion of the OU2 ROD - Sites 11, 12, 26, & 30 – remediation areas, selected remedies, and future actions will be presented. Greg will then discuss member participation and the next RAB schedule. Greg will ask whether or not people want to continue on as members and will discuss whether or not the RAB should continue or be disbanded.

**5. Meeting Schedule for the Year 10:50-11:00**

A compromise was made, meeting dates would be scheduled on the first meeting day, but locations would be discussed on the second day.

Greg Fraley opened discussion concerning proposed Calendar Year 2009 Partnering Team Meeting schedule. The team members discussed availability and possible holiday conflicts. The following proposed dates were agreed upon by the team members: March 17-19, 2008; June 16-18, 2008; September 22-24, 2008 and November 17-19, 2008. The meeting location decision was postponed to the following meeting day.

**6. Lunch Break (11:00am – 1:08pm)**

7. **Agenda adjustment discussion.**

The partnering meeting resumed after lunch without John Schoolfield or Tracie Bolaños. John was only present for the MMRP discussion and Tracie excused herself from the meeting to oversee vehicle repairs discovered at lunch. She gave Greg Fraley her proxy. The team discussed adjusting the agenda accordingly; certain topics were deferred to the next day.

8. **Gantt Chart, Document Priority Table, SCAP, & Exit Strategy**

Gerry Walker led a discussion/review of the Gantt chart. Greg Campbell requested a reminder on what the color coding represented. Gerry explained that FDEP was shown in green, EPA in red, and the Navy in Blue.

OU1

Gerry led a team discussion concerning OU1 and made the necessary updates to the associated tracking documents.

Gerry noted that the Draft Community Relations Plan should be out next month; as part of the Five Year Review it will have to be updated. Per the POA, a public notice will go out to the community to announce its availability for public review.

Patty stated that during the last meeting it was determined that a pilot study would not be performed, but instead a tech memo would be sent to the state. Gerry responded that he brought the draft Technical Memorandum for the OU 1 Pilot Study for the agenda topic on the second day.

Greg Fraley asked what Gerry was trying to get approval of with this memo and suggested reviewing this document during the On Board Review scheduled for day two of the meeting. Gerry stated that this was just a formal submittal concerning the agreement that was already reached (in a previous discussion) concerning the decision not to block the culvert for Wetlands 3 and 4. The report is still in draft form and will not be ready for formal review until Pittsburgh selects a compliance point (this is pending a background data value/number on iron).

Gerry went on to state that the Groundwater Monitoring Plan had been delayed waiting on completion of the Technical Memo document, but that the OU 1 interceptor trench would be shut down and the Groundwater Monitoring Work Plan would be forthcoming. Gerry stated that the plan was to put out the technical memo, the groundwater monitoring plan, and then an optimization study work plan for monitoring in wetlands 3 & 4.

OU13

Gerry stated that everything is completed; the remedy is in place and EMAC is monitoring groundwater at the site.

OU2

Gerry led a discussion concerning OU2. TtNUS is completing the Remedial Design and should have it in to the Navy this month. Greg Fraley noted that there should be LUCs in this document. Gerry replied that one document would be a LUCs implementation plan and a separate document would be a Remedial Design. Greg Fraley stated that if you

look in the last ROD that was signed, we will have LUCs and Remedial Design, and requested an action item (seen below).

*A-031208 – G. Fraley will send the guidance for LUC remedial design within the next 7 days.*

Greg Campbell stated that there were already 4 or 5 plans that have LUCs in base plans and community plans, they had MOA, and so they've already done this. He asked Greg Fraley if they'd have to go back to these sites and do them again?

Patty asked whether or not all of the names would have to be changed. Greg Fraley replied that we'd need to check on the guidance to determine this.

Gerry explained that the person working on this document was aware of this since he'd been working on Cecil Field. Previously we've been required to do a separate document. It may have been that it was referenced in the remedial design.

Greg Campbell asked whether or not the memo of agreement was enforceable. Greg Fraley replied that this was not intended to be an enforceable document, but rather an agreement between parties.

Gerry brought the conversation back to OU2 stating that the Navy has 30 days to review the Draft Remedial Design, which if completed within that timeframe, would put things ahead of schedule. Remedy should be in place, according to the proposed exit strategy date, by March 30, 2011.

Gerry provided additional handouts (SCAPS and Exit Strategy Tracking Documents).

Gerry noted that the SCAPS haven't really changed.

#### OU11 – Site 38

Gerry led the discussion concerning OU11 Site 38. Two things need to be determined:

1. Is that clean fill?
2. What is FDEP going to make us do if it is not clean fill?

Greg Campbell stated that a full analysis was performed on soil there per Archer Weston. Archer Weston has been instructed to send him the information, which he will forward on to FDEP. Patty asked whether or not this is what was holding up the UFP QAP. Gerry replied yes, because we need to know what analysis we are going to do in order to subcontract that out. It was proposed that this would be discussed further the following day.

*Parking Lot: Discuss the fill analytical results.*

Patty requested that a line be added onto the Gantt chart for the UFP QAP, and requested info on how long this process should take. Yarrisa replied that it would depend on the procurement of analytical services and the internal and navy chemist review. Greg Fraley stated that as long as there is progress being made towards the follow up action there

shouldn't be any problem. Gerry added the Draft UFP QAP and review times to the Gantt chart.

#### OU16 – Site 41

Gerry led the discussion concerning OU16 Site 41. TtNUS is currently working on the Draft Feasibility Study. The alternate analysis memo was sent to the Navy, comments were received. The Feasibility Study should be submitted with two weeks, so this should be sent to Patty by mid December 2008. Greg Fraley asked when it would get to the regulators. Gerry replied that he would be reviewing the document when he returned to Tallahassee and that the regulators should receive the document by mid/late December.

#### OU18 – Site 43

Gerry noted that OU18 Site 43, Demolition/Debris Disposal Area site was on the agenda for tomorrow. He explained that this was the project we want to get a ROD on this year. The issue is that we are trying to select the remedy to put into the Proposed Plan. There were three chemicals in groundwater had been detected in one location that exceeded SCTLs - iron, lead, and manganese. The only one that is a concern is lead (the others are only in exceedance of secondary standards). Gerry stated that the ARARs tables are being submitted to the lawyers now and added one last comment to remind the team that there was a push to get ROD done this fiscal year.

#### OU19 - Site 44

Gerry stated that the RI was finalized in October 2008, and that TtNUS was currently working on the Draft Feasibility Study which is due out this month to the Navy.

#### OU20 – Site 45

Gerry stated that the Draft Final RI was submitted back in September of last year. Tracie responded with an e-mail last month stating that the RTC were not adequate. This is scheduled to be addressed during the On Board Review tomorrow.

Patty asked whether or not there were many that don't have ROD/SCAP dates that match. Gerry replied that most do match. Gerry asked, "Wasn't there a Navy requirement that said all remedies must be in place by 2010?" Patty provided clarification, noting that this was only for high risk sites; and this is not a high risk site.

#### OU21 - Site 46

Gerry stated that TtNUS is currently working on the Draft Feasibility Study, which should come out in January 2009. He stated that Tetra Tech was proceeding with the Feasibility Study and wasn't waiting on regulatory approval of the final RI because the comments were addressed. However, eventually approval of the RI will be required. Patty asked whether or not there were many changes. Gerry replied that there were some, but not many. He also informed her that the engineer working on the Feasibility Study, Mike Jaynes, will be in Naples for a month.

Ron provided a brief summary of the work ongoing in Naples

Gerry returned discussion to the draft Feasibility Study stating that it was being worked on and when Mike returns, he'll finish it.

Gerry noted that the team still needed to discuss the UST Sites.

*A-041208 – G. Fraley will send approval/comments on the NAS Pensacola Site Management Plan within 7 days.*

Gerry discussed the Gantt chart situation, and noted that the Gantt chart just tells us when we need to be concerned and change the exit strategy and SCAPs. Patty stated that Tracie had requested a sorted version so that she could check what she has coming up. Gerry explained that trying to sort it that way isn't working and stated his hesitation in attempting to present the information in yet another way. Greg Campbell asked whether or not the OU number could just be added into the title of the document task.

Gerry asked whether or not the team should proceed with the UST Site discussion? Patty requested that this be held until the next meeting day.

**8. Break 2:30 – 2:40 pm**

**9. Facility Update**

Greg Campbell provided a brief facility update. They are looking to get a new dredging permit at the facility for Bayou Chico. The landscaping project is still ongoing at Site 38. CH2M Hill has a system start up for UST Site 1159 at OLF Bronson.

Greg Fraley asked Greg Campbell to check and see whether or not the fill analytical results had come in yet.

Greg Fraley asked whether or not the Site 43 update could go on without Tracie. Gerry replied that approval from both FDEP and EPA would be necessary in order to proceed with LUCs only (without monitoring).

Site 43

Gerry passed out Site 43 Remedial alternative change/discussion sheet. Gerry explained that originally the groundwater alternative was for LUCs and long term monitoring, based on exceedances for manganese, iron, and lead (Florida's GCTLs). All exceedances were from a single location (referred to figure). Gerry stated that they'd prefer not to do monitoring for that one lead hit, even though the Feasibility Study proposed both LUCs and long term monitoring; LUCs that do not permit use of groundwater was proposed instead. The two issues that would need to be addressed if this was agreed upon would be: 1) does the Feasibility Study need to be revised? 2) Can we avoid this by explaining it instead in the proposed plan?

The team discussed the soil and groundwater alternatives and debated over the need for monitoring in addition to LUCs on the groundwater. Greg Fraley stated that he knew of no situation in which LUCs without monitoring was permitted. Gerry stated that if monitoring was required, he'd anticipate that there would need to be up gradient, source area, and down gradient sampling locations. Team debate continued, and Gerry reminded everyone that the plan was to get this ROD this fiscal year. Further discussion was postponed until Tracie's return.

**10. Closeout**

The Partnering Team reviewed the new action items and discussed transportation arrangements for the RAB meeting and dinner.

**11. 2<sup>nd</sup> Day Check-In (8:05 – 8:20)**

The Partnering Team completed check in. Greg Fraley commented that the RAB meeting went well and that Gerry had done a very good job. RAB participation was above average.

Greg Campbell informed the Team that there was an article in the paper about the RAB meeting. Ron read the article, which was very favorable, aloud for the Team.

**12. OUI Update**

Gerry passed out the Technical Memorandum for Reconnaissance Phase Flow Control Pilot Study for OUI. Gerry led a review of the executive summary. Gerry noted that the purpose of this document was to close out the pilot study; an additional work plan will come out, but blocking the culvert will not be an option. Discussion between Gerry and Greg Campbell took place concerning planned construction over an existing monitoring well and funding for its replacement. According to Greg Campbell funding should be available; Gerry will include the replacement well in the monitoring plan. Tracie stated that she will need to know what the compliance point will be for the wetland. The team leader confirmed that there were no further questions or comments. It was determined that no consensus item was needed at this time.

**13. Site 43 Update**

Gerry led discussion concerning Site 43 and provided background information for the team. He noted that the Feasibility Study had been completed, and things are now in the Proposed Plan stage. The remedy proposed was LUCs and LTM for groundwater; the LTM had been based on the exceedances for manganese, iron, and lead. However, the Navy requested that LTM not be included, since the exceedances related to secondary standards.

After further team discussion and review of Table 7, it was decided that it would not be appropriate to have LUCs only at Site 43, groundwater monitoring will be necessary due to the lead. The remedy will need to include LUCs and groundwater monitoring.

*Consensus Item 02 – The team has agreed that modification of the FS is not required because LUCs alone are not adequate. The remedy will include both LUCs and monitoring for lead in groundwater.*

Following discussion and agreement concerning the remedy for groundwater, the Team began discussing the remedy for soils. Tracie noted that this area already had one excavation and asked for clarification on why a second excavation event was necessary. Gerry explained that there were still exceedances in the soil. Greg Wilfley provided background information concerning the last excavation effort. He explained that metallic debris were encountered during the 2 foot deep excavation. This debris was removed. Some of the soil that was left exceeded leachability standards. Prior to excavation groundwater data was collected; no groundwater exceedances were noted.

Gerry presented the possibility of having the soil remedy clean up to industrial standards instead of residential since the area is not proposed for residential use. The site area is currently paved over according to Greg Campbell.

After further team discussion remedy Alternative S-3 was selected for sol.

*Consensus Item 03 – The preferred remedy for site 43 will be Alternative G-1 for groundwater - LUC's and monitoring; Alternative S-3 will be used for soils - Limited excavation and offsite disposal and maintenance of pavement to meet FDEP Industrial SCTLs for soils.*

Gerry stated that TtNUS would resume work on the Proposed Plan per Consensus Item Number 03 - remedy selection agreement and he noted that the Feasibility Study would not be revised.

**14. Meeting Break (9:05-9:18)**

**15. Parking Lot – Fill Analysis Discussion**

Greg Campbell explained that a full analysis was not performed on the pit sample; however, it is known that this is a virgin borrow pit, the location from which soil was taken is known. It would be possible to go out and sample the pit. It has only been analyzed for arsenic. The further discussion took place concerning whether or not it was necessary to sample the soils onsite, or if a sample from the borrow pit would suffice. Yarissa noted that the task was to determine whether the remedy was protective of the site, not the borrow pit site.

Further discussion led to the conclusion that the site would need to be sampled instead of the barrow pit. Sampling locations, number of samples, and required analyses were determined and agreed upon.

*Consensus Item 04 – South of the Radford - Collect one composite from five locations - soil sample will be collected with a hand auger from 1-2 feet (4 vertical integrals – 0 to 6, 6-12, and 18-24 feet) and will be analyzed for SVOAs and RCRA Metals.*

The team began discussing the soil north of Radford. The fill source is unknown, and no sampling data for the fill is available.

*Five Minute Break*

Greg Fraley noted that because the fill source is unknown, site sampling would have to occur. Tracie stated that the necessary analyses would consist of a composite sample for SVOCs, RCRA metals, and TRPHs, as well as a discrete sample for VOCs.

Gerry and Yarissa noted that this would impact the current DQOs. Further team discussion requested that the DQOs be modified according to the agreed upon sampling. Yarissa suggested having a Team conference call with Tom Johnston.

*Consensus Item 05 - North of Radford Blvd collect one composite sample for SVOA, RCRA Metals, and TRPH and one discrete sample for VOAs from six separate grabs in addition to planned confirmation sampling for COCs.*

*Parking Lot – Schedule conference call concerning DQOs.*

**16. On Board Review**

Site Management Plan for NASP

Gerry put the report up on the projector for team to review and asked whether or not more time to look it over was necessary. Gerry brought all of the necessary On Board Review forms to fill out today.

Yarissa provided a brief overview of the modifications made in this iteration of the report. Efforts were made to make it easier to read and follow. A small summary per site is included to address what is up and coming on the schedule, as well as NFA sites. Tracie requested that we proceed with filling out the documents for On Board Review.

*A-051208– Yarissa will send all scanned copies of on board reviews for the last partnering meeting and this partnering meeting.*

Site 1120 Request for SRCO

Gerry noted that Tracie had indicated that she'd need approval from upper management, but requested that Tracie send a letter that said the document was in the review process. Tracie replied that she might have Yarissa help me author it with provided template.

RTC Site 45 Building 603

Gerry stated that the RI had been completed and sent it out; EPA approved the RI without comments. However, Tracie and the University of Florida did have comments which were noted in purple and needed clarification.

The team reviewed the document and the comments and determined that the comments were addressed and that the FDEP Table A included in Chapter 62-785 FAC could be used to address several of the outstanding comments. TtNUS will delete some text, add better symbols to the figures and include reference and data from Table A.

**17. Meeting Locations Decision:**

The team discussed meeting locations and revised meeting dates as needed.

March 17-18, 2009 - St. Augustine, Florida

June 16-18, 2009 - Jacksonville, Florida

September 1-2, 2009 - Pensacola, Florida

November 17-19, 2009 - Tallahassee, Florida

**18. Meeting Break**

## 19. UST Discussion

The team began review of the sites on the UST Gantt chart.

### UST 014 – Tanks 681 & 682

Gerry noted that this site was closed by an FDEP SRCO.

### UST 015 - Site 1107

The team discussed WRS report concerning Site 1107. WRS was trying to say that Bunker C did not meet the definition of a petroleum product. Tracie had disagreed and asked for additional information in order to verify the Bunker C conclusion.

Gerry noted that a remedial action was performed, but it didn't complete what was necessary, due to the assumed Bunker C exclusion.

*A-061208 – Gerry, Campbell, & Tracy will meet and research historic action at site 1107 and develop a plan forward in order to close this site out.*

Gerry, Campbell, & Tracy will work on seeing whether or not this can be fingerprinted. They will try to determine whether or not this was a bunker C tank and will review the reports and come back with info for the next meeting.

*A-071208- Campbell will provide the WRS Source Removal for Gerry and Greg Wilfley.*

### UST 015 - Site 1116

The team should receive the copy of the SRCO that Tracie sent out by the end of the week.

### UST 17 – DFM Pipeline

Greg Campbell stated that monitoring is ongoing due to high PAH in one well. It is pending a second round of sampling, so that there are two consecutively clean sampling events required for an SRCO.

### UST 15 Site 1120

Gerry stated that the SRCO had been submitted to FDEP and we are awaiting approval.

### UST 19 Building 3241

Greg Campbell stated that they have NFA on this site.

*A-081208 – Gerry will update the exit strategy to include UST Site 19 Building 3241 on NFA Table.*

### UST Site 18 Crash Crew Training Site

Gerry stated that the plan was to proceed with a biotrap study as part of the RAP. The SAR Addendum has been approved. There will be some form of active remediation, followed by monitoring. Tracie has commented that additional wells may be necessary in the future following the active remediation.

### UST Site 15 Building 1159

Greg Wilfley stated that they will be starting up the SVE. The air sparge had to be turned down because the air samples were a little high; they shut the air sparge system down. This system is still in startup mode; they are still in the process of adjusting the system to maximize removal. The installation went smoothly. There will be a call with info on what they propose to do. They have been monitoring for lead. The only response they will need will be in relation to their request to stop monitoring for lead since they are getting non-detects from the stack. The “remedy in place” and exit strategy dates need to be changed.

Gerry proposed that the UST Gantt chart become Greg Wilfley’s responsibility since he has more sites on the list. He noted that the chart was all set up; only the dates will need to be changed. Greg Wilfley agreed to take over the UST Gantt chart updates.

### Building 782

Tracie and Greg Campbell discussed 782. Tracie stated that she hadn’t received anything formally. Greg Campbell noted that there was an On-Board Review for the Building 782 Site 38 SAR. Yarissa read back a summary of the on-board review to the team which included monitoring for two additional quarters. Tracie and Greg Campbell requested the on-board attachment.

## **20. Meeting Closeout:**

Reviewed Action Items

Reviewed Consensus Items

Next Meeting Agenda:

Allison Harris will lead the next meeting. The agenda was updated to include:

- CNO award discussion,
- Tier 2 Update,
- OU10 update,
- Site 43 Proposed Plan,
- Site 41 FS Report,
- OU 11 Site 38 UFP SAP
- UST 15 1107 update, and
- Partnering Team building exercises.

Team completed a meeting evaluation:

### **Plus**

Hotel

Susan’s presentation

The article about the RAB meeting in the paper

RAB Co-chair

Greg Fraley as meeting leader.

Stuck through the hard parts

Yarissa as the meeting minutes scribe at the RAB meeting

Jacqueline as dedicated scribe

## Delta

Tracie was absent from second half of first meeting day.

### **Facilitator Feedback –**

The facilitator, Nancy Rouse, stated that she had heard that this was a very high functioning group, and she agreed, stating that the team worked well together and knew when it was necessary to come to consensus. She noted that the agenda had been built with an adequate amount of time, and that Greg Fraley did a good job of keeping the meeting on track, and that the parking lot was used appropriately. Nancy also complimented the team on how they listened to each and stuck through the difficult discussions. She noted that the team recognized the issues on the table needed to be resolved in order to have an honest consensus. Nancy also noted that the team was very compatible in providing information at the appropriate level of detail necessary for discussion. She noted that Tracie's absence on the second half of meeting day and highlighted the importance of having the team together as a whole. Nancy also stated that this showed that the proxy concept was not perfect, and that it works best when your proxy is from the same organization. Nancy suggested that it might be a good idea to take time to address how to handle this.

<b>New Consensus Items from December 2 &amp; 3, 2008</b>	
1	September 16& 17, 2008 NASP Partnering Team meeting minutes are approved.
2	Site 43 - The team has agreed that modification of the FS is not required because LUCs alone are not adequate. The remedy will include both LUCs and monitoring for lead in groundwater
3	The preferred remedy for site 43 will be Alternative G-1 for groundwater - LUC's and monitoring; Alternative S-3 will be used for soils - Limited excavation and offsite disposal and maintenance of pavement to meet FDEP Industrial SCTLs for soils.
4	South of the Radford - Collect one composite from five locations - soil sample will be collected with a hand auger from 1-2 feet (4 vertical integrals – 0 to 6, 6-12, and 18-24 feet) and will be analyzed for SVOAs and RCRA Metals.
5	North of Radford Blvd collect one composite sample for SVOA, RCRA Metals, and TRPH and one discrete sample for VOAs from six separate grabs in addition to planned confirmation sampling for COCs.

<b>Action Item No.</b>	<b>Responsible Party</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Action Item</b>
<b>Ongoing Action Items</b>				
A-050305	Team	Ongoing		Team needs to review the Document Tracking and Priority Table to hit document due dates.
A-070305	Gerry	Ongoing		Gerry will update the Document Tracking and Priority Table.
A-040208	Gerry	Ongoing	Next meeting	Gerry Walker will create a sorting function for the document priority table so that the team can identify the priority in the 3 month, six month, and 1 year time frame. – Created a Gantt Chart instead – to be presented today. * continuing – sort function not working

Action Item No.	Responsible Party	Status	Due Date	Action Item
A-050208	Gerry & Team	Ongoing		When documents are submitted to the team, the author will e-mail recipients and indicate the agreed comment or approval dates with the statement: "Roses are red, violets are blue – just wanted to remind you when your comments are due _____"
A- 050508	Greg C.	Ongoing		Patty & Greg Campbell to determine UST Site 015/site 1116 status.
A- 060508	Greg C.	Completed		Greg Campbell to check the status of UST Site 017/DFM Pipeline.

**New Action Items from December 2 & 3, 2008 Meeting**

Action Item No.	Responsible Party	Status	Due Date	Action Item
A- 011208	Tracie	Complete	?	Tracy will check files to see if she has a status for Navy UST site 15 Building 1116 Bronson Field.
A-021208	Susan	Completed	12/5/08	Susan will provide info regarding contact persons for the MMRP FUDS investigations to the Navy.
A-031208	G. Fraley	Ongoing	12/9/08	G. Fraley will send the guidance for LUC remedial design within the next 7 days.
A-041208	G. Fraley	Ongoing	12/9/08	G. Fraley will send approval/comments on the NAS Pensacola Site Management Plan within 7 days.
A-051208	Yarissa	Complete	?	Yarissa will send all scanned copies of on board reviews for the last partnering meeting and this partnering meeting.
A-061208	Gerry, G. Campbell, and Tracie	Ongoing		Gerry, Campbell, & Tracie will meet and research historic action at site 1107 and develop a plan forward in order to close this site out.
A-071208	G. Campbell	Ongoing		G. Campbell will provide the WRS Source Removal for Gerry and Greg Wilfley.
A-081208	Gerry	Ongoing		Gerry will update the exit strategy to include UST Site 19 Building 3241 on NFA Table.

**Parking Lot:**

- Schedule conference call concerning DQOs.

**Pensacola Partnering Meeting Agenda**  
**March 17 and 18, 2008**  
**St Augustine, Florida**

**Leader: Allison Harris**  
**Scribe: Jacqueline Strobl**  
**Timekeeper: Greg Fraley**

Item	Description	Presenter	Time	Category
1	1 <sup>st</sup> Day Check-In/Opening Remarks/Resource Sharing/Head Count and Proxies/Guests/Review Ground Rules & Charter/Review Consensus Items, Action Items & Parking Lot/Approve Minutes/Schedule 2009 meetings	Allison	8:30 – 9:30	Info
2	Break	Team	9:30 – 9:45	Needed
3	OU 10 Update	Mike Singletary	09:45 – 10:30	Info
4	Gantt Chart, Document Priority Table, SCAP, and Exit Strategy	Gerry	10:30 – 12:00	Info
5	Lunch	Team	12:00 – 1:30	Needed
6	Continue Gantt Chart, Document Priority Table, SCAP, and Exit Strategy	Gerry/Greg W.	1:30 – 2:45	Info
7	Break	Team	2:45 – 3:00	Needed
8	CNO Award Brain Storming	Greg W./Greg C.	3:00 – 3:30	Info
9	Facility Update	Greg C.	3:30 – 3:45	Info
10	Tier II Update	Earl	3:45 – 4:00	Info
11	Partnering Training	Stephanie	4:00 – 5:00	Training
12	1 <sup>st</sup> Day Meeting Closeout – Review Action Items/Consensus Items	Allison	5:00 – 5:15	Info
13	2 <sup>nd</sup> Day Check In	Allison	8:00 – 8:15	Info
14	OU 1 Update	Gerry	8:15 – 8:45	Info
15	Site 43 Proposed Plan	Yarissa/Gerry	8:45 – 9:30	Info
16	Break	Team	9:30 – 9:45	Needed
17	OU 16 Site 41 Wetlands Feasibility Study	Gerry/Allison	9:45 – 10:15	Info
18	UST Site 1107 Update	Gerry/Greg C	10:15 – 10:30	Info
19	OU 11 Site 38 UFP SAP update	Yarissa	10:30 – 10:45	Info
20	On-Board Reviews		10:45 – 12:00	Decision
21	2 <sup>nd</sup> Day Meeting Closeout – Review Action Items/Consensus Items/Meeting Schedule/Next Agenda/plus - delta/Facilitator Evaluation	Allison	12:00 – 12:30	Info

**Meeting Schedule:**

- Monthly telecom on first Friday from 10:00 to 11:00 am
- March 17-18, 2009 - St. Augustine, Florida
- June 16-18, 2009 - Jacksonville, Florida
- September 1-2, 2009 - Pensacola, Florida
- November 17-19, 2009 - Tallahassee, Florida