

N00204.AR.002300
NAS PENSACOLA
5090.3a

LETTER REGARDING ALTERATIONS TO THE PROPOSED PLAN AT SITE 1 NAS
PENSACOLA FL
6/3/1996
FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

To: "ALLISON DENNEN" <ADENNEN@ENSAFE.COM>
Cc: "BILL HILL" <WJHILL@EFDSOUTH.NAVFAC.NAVY.MIL>
"Bill Gates" <whgates@efdsouth.navy.mil>
"BRIAN CALDWELL" <BECALDWELL@ENSAFE.COM>
"HENRY BEIRO" <HBEIRO@ENSAFE.COM>
"KAREN ATCHLEY" <ksatchle@bechtel.com>
"jay bassett" <bassett.jay@epamail.epa.gov>
"Bill Kellenberger PEN" <KELLENBERG_B@pns1.dep.state.fl.us>
"Eric Nuzie TAL" <NUZIE_E@dep.state.fl.us>
Bcc:
From: John Mitchell TAL 904-488-3935 <MITCHELL_J@dep.state.fl.us>
Subject: Site 1 PP Summary
Date: Wednesday, July 3, 1996 10:51:29 EDT
Attach: Headers.822
Certify: N
Forwarded by:

The overall aspect of the Proposed Plan is OK. However, there are a few additions and changes which should be incorporated.

1. Under Ecological Risk the soil poses no risk to plants or animals. However, the tar pit poses a physical hazard for both humans and animals. This should be incorporated into both Eco. and HH Risk.
2. It should indicate that Alternatives 2, 3, and 4 will all have land restriction institutional controls (e.g., no digging or reworking of existing cover, etc.), and groundwater monitoring will be required for each.
3. Alternative 2 and 3 should say natural attenuation rather than processes. Also, it should indicate this is to meet groundwater cleanup goals.
4. Under Compliance with ARARs, Alt. 2 and 3 will meet ARARs through institutional controls. Alternative 4 meets ARAR "groundwater" ARARs by GW treatment.