

N00204.AR.002446
NAS PENSACOLA
5090.3a

LETTER REGARDING TRANSMITTAL OF ELECTRONIC SOIL SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS
PLAN SITES 8 AND 24 OPERABLE UNIT 13 NAS PENSACOLA FL

6/12/2002
CH2MHILL



CH2M HILL
115 Perimeter Center Place, N.E.
Suite 700
Atlanta, GA
30346-1278
Tel 770.604.9095
Fax 770.604.9282

June 12, 2002

Mr. Bill Hill, ES31
Southern Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command
P.O. Box 190010
North Charleston, SC 29419-9010

Subject: Contract No. N62467-98-D-0095
Contract Task Order 0085
Naval Air Station (NAS) Pensacola – Pensacola, Florida
Soil Sampling and Analysis Plan, Operable Unit 13 – Sites 8 and 24, Revision 01

Dear Mr. Hill:

CH2M HILL Constructors (CCI) is pleased to provide a final electronic copy of the Soil Sampling and Analysis Plan, Operable Unit 13 – Sites 8 and 24, NAS Pensacola, Revision 01. EPA and FDEP have reviewed this version of the plan (emailed to the Partnering Team May 30, 2002) and have no comments.

Please contact me (850.939.8300, ext. 17) if you have any questions or comments regarding this material.

Sincerely,

CH2M HILL

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Amy Twitty", is written over a light blue horizontal line.

Amy Twitty, P.G.
Project Manager

cc: Gena Townsend/EPA
Tracie Vaught/FDEP
Terry Hansen/TtNUS
Greg Wilfley/CCI
Ron Joyner/NASP
Allison Harris/EnSafe
Brian Caldwell/EnSafe
Paul Stoddard/EnSafe
CCI Project File No. 171578

Soil Sampling and Analysis Plan Operable Unit 13 - Sites 8 and 24

**Naval Air Station Pensacola
Pensacola, Florida**

Revision 01

**Contract No. N62467-98-D-0995
Contract Task Order No. 0085**

Submitted to:

**U.S. Naval Facilities
Engineering Command
Southern Division**

Prepared by:



115 Perimeter Center Place, N.E.
Suite 700
Atlanta, GA 30346

June 2002

**Soil Sampling and Analysis Plan
Operable Unit 13 Sites 8 and 24**

**Naval Air Station Pensacola
Pensacola, Florida**

Revision 0

**Contract No. N62467-98-D-0995
Contract Task Order No. 0085**

Submitted to:

**U.S. Naval Facilities
Engineering Command
Southern Division**


Prepared by:



CH2MHILL
Constructors, Inc.

June 2002

Prepared/Approved By:




Amy Twitty, P.G., Project Manager

June 14, 2002

Date

Approved By:




R. Scott Newman, Program Manager

June 14, 2002

Date

Client Acceptance:



U.S. Navy Responsible Authority

2 July 02
Date

Contents

Acronym List	iv
1.0 Background	1-1
2.0 Summary of Work	2-1
2.1 Health and Safety	2-1
2.2. Soil Investigation	2-1
3.0 Reporting Requirements	3-1
4.0 Works Sited	4-1

Tables

1	Previous Soil Sampling Analytical Results.....	1-5
2	Soil Sample Collection and Analysis Summary.....	2-3

Figures

1	Site Location Map.....	1-2
2	Site Layout Map	1-3
3	Soil Boring Locations	1-6

Attachments

A	EnSafe Inc. Technical Memorandum, 95 Percent UCL Calculations for OU 13
---	---

Acronym List

bls	below land surface
CCI	CH2M HILL Constructors, Inc.
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act
COCs	contaminants of concern
DDT	d(ichloro)d(iphenbyl)t(richloroethane)
EISOPQAM	EPA Region IV Environmental Investigation Standard Operating Procedures and Quality Assurance Manual
EnSafe	EnSafe, Inc.
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
FDEP	Florida Department of Environmental Protection
IRA	Interim Remedial Action
LUCs	Land Use Controls
MS/MSD	matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate
msl	mean sea level
NAS	Naval air Station
NAVFAC	Naval Facilities Engineering Command
NEESA	Naval Energy and Environmental Support Activity
OU	Operable Unit
PPE	personal protective equipment
ppb	parts per billion
ppm	parts per million
PRG	preliminary remediation goal
PWC	Public Works Center
QA	quality assurance
QC	quality control
RI/FS	Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study
ROD	Record of Decision
SAP	Sampling and Analysis Plan
SCTLs	soil cleanup target levels
TAT	turnaround time
UCL	Upper Confidence Level

1.0 Background



CH2M HILL Constructors, Inc. (CCI) has been contracted by the Department of the Navy, Southern Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command (NAVFAC), to prepare this Sampling and Analysis Plan for work to be performed by CCI at Naval Air Station (NAS) Pensacola in Pensacola, Florida. The work is being performed under Contract No. N62467-98-D-0995, Contract Task Order (CTO) No. 0085, and in accordance with the management approach outlined in the CCI Contract Management Plan dated July 1998.

NAS Pensacola is located in Escambia County, approximately 5 miles west of the Pensacola city limits. The approximately 5,000-acre installation was constructed in the 1800s. Prior to construction, the facility was undeveloped and sparsely vegetated. Land use at NAS Pensacola consists of various military housing, training, and support facilities as well as large industrial complexes for major repairs and refurbishment of aircraft engines and frames. Sites 8 and 24 are located along the eastern side of John Tower Road, south of Taylor Road in the middle of the NAS complex (Figure 1); and are included in Operable Unit (OU) 13.

Site 8 site is an approximate 450- by 600-foot area currently occupied by Building 3561, which houses the NAS Pensacola Public Works Center (PWC) Maintenance/Material Department (Figure 2). An extensive asphalt-paved area surrounds Building 3561 to the north, east, and west, covering nearly all land surface. An approximate 20-foot wide concrete apron immediately surrounds the building to the east and west and is covered by an awning. The PWC stores building materials on the paved area west of the building. Site 8 is generally flat with a land surface elevation averaging 29 feet above mean sea level (msl). Miscellaneous office trailers and fences storage, including Building 3678, are north of the Building 3561 (EnSafe, Inc. [EnSafe], 2000). The paved area east of the building is used for PWC storage and employee parking. Sidewalks and a grassy median are to the south, between Buildings 3560 and 3561. Most of the site is surrounded by a chain-link fence.

Site 8 is the former base rifle range and disposal area. Various solid wastes and dry refuse were reportedly placed in trenches and burned there in the late 1950s and early 1960s (EnSafe, 2000). Aerial photographs and maps from the 1950s and 1960s show a rifle range at the current location of Building 3561. Earlier aerial photographs show an excavation at the northern end of the rifle range, while later photographs show the excavated area overgrown with vegetation (EnSafe, 2000). Most of the excavation noted in the earlier photographs is currently covered by Building 3561 and the surrounding paved area, which were covered in the mid 1970s. Facility personnel reported no waste or residue was identified during the building's construction (Naval Energy and Environmental Support Activity [NEESA], 1983).

Site 24 is immediately north of Building 3561 (Figure 1) near the northwest corner of the Barrancas National Cemetery. Nearly three quarters of the site is now part of the Barrancas National Cemetery and contains multiple gravesites. Only the southwestern corner of the site, now covered with grass, does not contain gravesites. A paved road transects the site



PENSACOLA BAY

PENSACOLA BAY

SANTA ROSA ISLAND






FIGURE 1
 Site Location Map
 OU-13, NAS Pensacola
CH2MHILL

LEGEND

- Building 
- Fence 



Scale: 1" = 200'

FIGURE 2
Site Layout
OU-13, NAS Pensacola

from east to west which leads to the circular drive surrounding the columbarium. Figure 2 presents the site layout. Cemetery personnel have reported finding buried metal, rubber, and plastic aircraft parts during excavation along the eastern boundary of Site 24 (EnSafe, 2000).

The fenced storage area around Building 3678, located in the northern portion of Site 24, has a gravel/crushed shell land surface. The site is generally flat with land elevations between 24 and 26 feet above msl.

From the early 1950s until the early 1960s, Site 24 was used to mix DDT with diesel fuel for mosquito control. DDT, reportedly spilled in the mixing area while being transferred from drums to spray tanks, may have contaminated local soil and groundwater (EnSafe, 2000). DDT was aerially applied for at least 10 years to control mosquito outbreaks. In later years, DDT was applied by a fogger machine. On the average, two or three mosquito outbreaks occurred each year during the spring and summer. Following each outbreak, DDT was generally applied for a 1-week period (EnSafe, 2000). For each application, 500 gallons of 20 percent DDT solution was mixed with 300 gallons of diesel fuel. The fogger machine used 300 gallons of 20 percent DDT mixed with 300 gallons of diesel fuel. It is estimated that up to 20 gallons of the 20 percent solution may have been spilled during the approximate 10-year period of DDT mixing at the site (NEESA, 1983).

An Initial Assessment Study was completed by NEESA in 1983, followed by a Phase I screening investigation in 1991. A Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study (RI/FS) has also been completed for the sites. Table 1 presents a summary of the contaminants of concern (COC) results from the RI Phase I, II and III sampling events. Figure 3 presents the RI sample locations. EnSafe submitted a draft Proposed Plan and Record of Decision (ROD) which proposed the removal of soil to industrial criteria with Land Use Controls (LUCs). However, in an effort to remove the land use restrictions on soil at the site and achieve residential soil cleanup goals, EnSafe performed a statistical evaluation of the data using FDEP's paper *Use of the 95 Percent Upper Confidence Limit (UCL) in Developing Exposure Point Concentrations of Contaminants in Soil* (May 11, 1999). Based on their statistical analysis using the 95 percent UCL, the new interim action will remove two surface soil "hot spots" and four subsurface "hot spots" to residential criteria and no LUCs will be required for soil. EnSafe's technical memorandum is included in Attachment A.

Remediation activities at OU 13 are regulated under the authority of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA). An Interim Remedial Action (IRA) was recommended to be completed at OU 13 to minimize human health and ecological risk and to make way for the additional gravesites at Site 24. This sampling and analysis plan outlines the surface and subsurface soil sampling to be performed to fully delineate the COCs present at the sites prior to IRA activities.

TABLE 1
 Previous Soil Sampling Analytical Results
 OU 13, NAS Pensacola

Sample Location	Date	Depth (feet)	Arsenic (ppm)	Cadmium (ppm)	Benzo(a) pyrene (ppb)	Aldrin (ppb)	Dieldrin (ppb)
Site 8							
08S01	1996	0-1	--	--	--	--	--
		4-6	--	10.9	--	--	--
		7-9	--	15.9	--	--	3.48
08S03	1996	0-1	--	--	--	--	2010
		5-7	--	--	--	21	496
		9-11	--	--	--	9.06	134
Site 24							
24S01	1995	0-1	--	--	--	--	--
		3-5	--	--	--	--	2.3
24S10	1996	0-1	2.8	--	630	--	58
		5-7	--	--	--	--	22
24S11	1996	0-1	3.1	--	--	--	--
		4-6	--	--	--	--	--
24S12	1996	0-1	1.2	--	160	--	100
		4-6	--	--	--	--	4.2
Preliminary Remediation Goals Applicable During Previous Investigation							
	Surface		0.43/1.56	3.9	88	38	40
	Subsurface		0.43/1.56	6	3,700	5	1
Current Remedial Goals							
	Surface		2.4*	75	300*	70	210*
	Subsurface		29	8	8,000	500	4

Notes:

ppb = parts per billion

ppm = parts per million

-- = Regulatory limit not exceeded

* = Based on 95% UCL 3 x SCTL

Bold numbers indicate exceedance of current remedial goal.

LEGEND

- Building
- Fence
- Proposed Soil Boring
- Existing Soil Boring




FIGURE 3
Proposed Soil Boring Locations
OU-13, NAS Pensacola

2.0 Summary of Work

In order to better define the areas of soil contamination or “hot spots” at OU 13, a minimum of 15 surface soil samples and 60 subsurface samples will be collected. Samples will be collected in the vicinity of RI samples 08S01 and 08S03 at Site 8 and 24S01, 24S10, 24S11 and 24 S12 at Site 24 for the source delineation of the COCs. The COCs include arsenic, cadmium, benzo(a)pyrene, and dieldrin.

2.1 Health and Safety

Health and safety hazards are associated with work to be performed under this contract. Therefore, work is anticipated to be conducted in Level D personal protective equipment (PPE), with provisions to upgrade to Level C protection as specified in the Basewide Health and Safety Plan (CCI, 2000).

2.2 Soil Investigation

Currently, a volume of soil measuring approximately 100 by 150 feet by 15 feet deep is proposed to be removed from the vicinity of former sample 08S01 based on cadmium in subsurface soil. However, the actual horizontal and vertical extent of contamination is not well defined and may be smaller than the proposed volume. In the immediate vicinity of subsurface soil sample 08S01 on the west side of Building 3561, soil samples will be collected from four soil sample locations approximately 25 feet from the original sample to the north, south, east and west. Additionally, four samples will be collected another 25 feet out from these sample locations (50 feet out from original sample) and another two samples will be collected to the south (at 75 and 100 feet south of the original sample). At each location, soil samples will be collected from 5 to 7 feet and 10 to 12 feet below land surface (bls). Initially, only the four samples immediately surrounding the original sample location will be analyzed for cadmium. Based on the analytical results of these initial samples, analysis of the remaining samples may be necessary to complete the delineation of COCs. No surface samples will be collected or analyzed in this area. Refer to Figure 3 for the layout for each soil sample location.

Former soil sample 08S03 collected adjacent to the east side of Building 3561 at Site 8 exceeded the surface and subsurface remedial goal for dieldrin. Surface and subsurface samples will be collected at 25- and 50-foot increments to the north, south, and east of original sample 08S03. Samples will be collected from 0 to 1, 5 to 7 and 10 to 12 feet bls or until groundwater is encountered. Soil samples will be analyzed for dieldrin. Initially, only the surface soil and 5- to 7-foot samples collected 25 feet from the original sample location will be analyzed for the COCs. Based on the analytical results of these initial samples, analysis of the remaining samples may be necessary to complete the delineation of COCs.

At Site 24, surface soil at sample 24S10 exceeded the preliminary remediation goal (PRG) for arsenic and benzo(a)pyrene. Surface soil at 24S11 also exceeded the PRG for arsenic. Five surface soil samples are proposed to be collected in the area of these samples to better

define the extent of surface soil contamination (four immediately surrounding former sample 24S10 and one south of 24S11). Figure 3 presents the proposed sample locations.

Subsurface soil in the western area of Site 24 is also contaminated with dieldrin to at least 7 feet bls. A minimum of eight samples will be collected on approximate 25-foot centers out from original samples 24S10 and 24S12 at Site 24 (some in the same locations at the surface samples). Soil samples will be collected from 5 to 7 and 10 to 12 feet bls at each location and analyzed for dieldrin. Initially, only those samples collected nearest the original samples at the 5- to 7-foot depth will be analyzed for COCs. Based on the analytical results of these initial samples, analysis of the remaining samples may be necessary to complete delineation of COCs.

Soil samples will be collected using decontaminated stainless steel hand augers or a drill rig equipped with direct push technology. Soil will be placed into stainless steel bowls, thoroughly mixed using stainless steel spoons, and placed in 8-ounce glass jars. Soil samples will be described using the Unified Soil Classification System and recorded in a bound logbook by CCI personnel. All sampling will be conducted in accordance with CCI's Basewide Work Plan for NAS Pensacola (CCI, 2000), FDEP Standard Operating Procedures and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Region IV Environmental Investigation Standard Operating Procedures and Quality Assurance Manual (EISOPQAM) dated May 1996, revised 1997.

All samples will be shipped to a Navy-approved laboratory for analysis on a 48-hour turnaround time (TAT). Select samples will be analyzed first while others are held pending the results of the initial samples. Samples will be analyzed for arsenic and/or cadmium using EPA Method 6010B, benzo(a)pyrene using EPA Method 8310, and aldrin and dieldrin using EPA Method 8081A. Level III Data Quality Objectives will be used for reporting purposes. Components of the soil sampling plan are listed in Table 2.

Field documentation, waste handling, decontamination and quality control will be conducted in accordance with the Basewide Work Plan. All soil cuttings will be returned to the associated borehole. Decontamination water will be drummed and left onsite for subsequent disposal during IRA activities.

TABLE 2
Soil Sample Collection and Analysis Summary
OU 13, NAS Pensacola

Previous Sample Location	Station IDs	Depth (feet bls)	Arsenic	Cadmium	Benzo (a) pyrene	Dieldrin
Surface Soil Sampling						
08S03	08S100 – 08S105	0-1				6
24S10	24S100 – 24S103	0-1	4		4	
24S11	24S104	0-1	1			
Subsurface Soil Sampling						
08S01	08S106 – 08S115	5-7		10		
		10-12		10		
08S03	08S100 – 08S105	5-7				6
		10-12				6
24S10	24S100 – 24S103	5-7				4
		10-12				4
24S12	24S111 – 24S115	5-7				4
		10-12				4
Total Number of Samples			5	20	4	34
QA/QC Samples						
Field Duplicates (10%)			1	2	1	3
MS/MSD (5%)			1	1	1	2
Pre-cleaned Equip Blanks (5%)	Pre-EB01—02		1	1	1	2
Field-cleaned Equip Blanks (5%)	Post-EB01—02		1	1	1	2
Estimated No. of samples to be collected:					63 + 9 QA/QC = 72	

Notes: MS/MSD = Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate

3.0 Reporting Requirements

Subsurface soil results will be compared to the State of Florida soil cleanup target levels (SCTLs) based on leachability to groundwater. The surface soil samples for arsenic, benzo(a)pyrene and dieldrin will be compared to the 95 percent UCL/3 x SCTL calculated for the site as outlined in the April 29, 2002, Technical Memorandum from EnSafe (Attachment A). If soil delineation cannot be reasonably achieved to these cleanup goals (i.e., soil volume increases to considerably more than originally anticipated and becomes cost prohibitive), the hot spots will then be delineated based on industrial criteria and LUCs may be placed on the soil after the IRA is complete. These determinations will be made with consent of the Navy. All results will be summarized in a brief letter report and presented to the Partnering Team. Based on the results presented in the report, a final action (i.e., hot spot removal) will be determined for the site and an estimated amount of soil to be excavated will be established.

4.0 Works Sited

CH2M HILL Constructors, Inc. 2000. *Basewide Health and Safety Plan, NAS Pensacola, Pensacola, Florida.*

CH2M HILL Constructors, Inc. 2000. *Basewide Work Plan, NAS Pensacola, Pensacola, Florida.*

EnSafe, 2002. *Technical Memorandum, 95% UCL Calculations for OU 13. April 29, 2002.*

EnSafe 2002, *Focused Feasibility Study Report, OU 13, Sites 8 and 24, Naval Air Station, Pensacola, Florida. May 2000.*

EnSafe, 1997, *Remedial Investigation Report, Operable Unit 13 – Sites 8 and 24, NAS Pensacola. June 20, 1997.*

Naval Energy and Environmental Support Activity. 1983. *Initial Assessment Study of Naval Air Station Pensacola, Pensacola, Florida. (NEESA 13-015).*

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. May 1996. *EPA Region IV Environmental Investigation Standard Operating Procedures and Quality Assurance Manual. Revised 1997.*

Attachment A

EnSafe Inc. Technical Memorandum 95 Percent UCL Calculations for OU 13

Technical Memorandum
Naval Air Station – Pensacola, Florida

To: NAS Pensacola Partnering Team
From: EnSafe
Date: April 29, 2002

Subject: 95% UCL Calculations for OU 13

Background

The *Focused Feasibility Study (FFS) — OU 13, NAS Pensacola, Florida* (EnSafe Inc., May 3, 2000) and the *Focused Feasibility Study Addendum* (EnSafe Inc. September, 2001) evaluated several remedial alternatives for removing contaminated surface and/or subsurface soil at OU 13. These remedial alternatives address the residential and industrial risk scenarios developed in the Baseline Risk Assessment of the *Final Remedial Investigation Report — OU 13, NAS Pensacola, Florida* (EnSafe Inc. 1997), and leaching of contaminants to groundwater.

In 1999, the Methodology Focus Group of the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) Contaminated Soils Forum published its paper *Use of the 95% Upper Confidence Limit in Developing Exposure Point Concentrations for Contaminants in Soil* (May 11, 1999). This paper discusses how, in most cases, risks from contaminated soils are evaluated based on chronic exposure. If an individual's contact with a contaminated area is random, the best representation of the concentration the individual is exposed to is the average contaminant concentration over that area. To more accurately generate an average concentration across a given area, the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) recommends use of a 95% upper confidence limit (95% UCL) of the mean generated from the data. The 95% UCL of the mean concentration is generally considered a conservative basis for comparing site contaminant concentrations to soil cleanup target levels (SCTLs). The paper also addressed hot-spots, saying that an upper limit for contaminant concentrations of 3-times the SCTL should be health protective.

Application to OU 13

In order to refine the remedial alternatives developed in the FFS, the methods developed by FDEP's Contaminated Soils Forum were applied to surface soil data from OU 13. First, surface soil data were compared to the appropriate SCTL. Constituents with maximum detected concentrations below their applicable residential SCTL were not evaluated. The only constituents that exceeded the appropriate SCTLs in surface soil at OU 13 were arsenic, benzo(a)pyrene, and dieldrin. 95% UCL calculations were determined for these parameters for OU 13. Table 1 presents the 95% UCLs for OU 13 surface soil data.

Table 1
95% UCL Calculations
OU 13

Contaminant	95% UCL	Residential SCTL/Reference Concentration	Hot-Spot/Removal Area*	Concentration	Recalculated 95% UCL
Arsenic	1.46 mg/kg	0.8 mg/kg/ 1.56 mg/kg	024S010 024S011	2.6 mg/kg 3.1 mg/kg	NC
Benzo(a)pyrene	204.47 µg/kg	100 µg/kg	024S010	730 µg/kg	124 µg/kg
Dieldrin	42.4 µg/kg	70 µg/kg	08S003	2,010 µg/kg	NC

Notes:

- * = Hot spots denote sample locations with detections greater than 3 X the FDEP SCTL.
- SCTL = Soil cleanup target level.
- UCL = Upper confidence limit.
- NC = Because the original 95% UCL is below the SCTL/reference concentration, the UCL was not recalculated.

As can be seen in the table, three locations are identified for removal using the 95% UCL and three-times the SCTL approach. At Site 24, arsenic hot spots are at sample locations 24S10 and 24S11, while benzo(a)pyrene has a hot-spot at 24S10. These sample locations are near each other, where the site borders John Tower Road. At Site 8, a dieldrin hot-spot exists at sample location 08S03, on the east side of Building 3561. Figure 1 shows the surface soil remedial areas for the combined sites.

Recommendation

The Navy recommends removal of the surface soil areas identified in Table 1. With those removals and the previously agreed upon subsurface soil removals, OU 13 will meet residential requirements, and no further action is required for site soil.

Figure 1 Surface Soil Remedial Areas Based on Residential RGOs