

N00204.AR.002578  
NAS PENSACOLA  
5090.3a

ELECTRONIC CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING COMMENTS TO PROPOSED PLAN SITE  
1 NAS PENSACOLA FL  
7/3/1996  
ENSAFE, INC

To: "ALLISON DENNEN" <ADENNEN@ENSAFE.COM>  
Cc: "BILL HILL" <WJHILL@EFDSOUTH.NAVFAC.NAVY.MIL>  
"Bill Gates" <whgates@efdsouth.navy.mil>  
"BRIAN CALDWELL" <BECALDWELL@ENSAFE.COM>  
"HENRY BEIRO" <HBEIRO@ENSAFE.COM>  
"KAREN ATCHLEY" <ksatchle@bechtel.com>  
"jay bassett" <bassett.jay@epamail.epa.gov>  
"Bill Kellenberger PEN" <KELLENBERG\_B@pns1.dep.state.fl.us>  
"Eric Nuzie TAL" <NUZIE\_E@dep.state.fl.us>  
Bcc:  
From: John Mitchell TAL 904-488-3935 <MITCHELL\_J@dep.state.fl.us>  
Subject: Site 1 PP Summary  
Date: Wednesday, July 3, 1996 10:51:29 EDT  
Attach: Headers.822  
Certify: N  
Forwarded by:

-----  
The overall aspect of the Proposed Plan is OK. However, there are a few additions and changes which should be incorporated.

1. Under Ecological Risk the soil poses no risk to plants or animals. However, the tar pit poses a physical hazard for both humans and animals. This should be incorporated into both Eco. and HH Risk.
2. It should indicate that Alternatives 2, 3, and 4 will all have land restriction institutional controls (e.g., no digging or reworking of existing cover, etc.), and groundwater monitoring will be required for each.
3. Alternative 2 and 3 should say natural attenuation rather than processes. Also, it should indicate this is to meet groundwater cleanup goals.
4. Under Compliance with ARARs, Alt. 2 and 3 will meet ARARs through institutional controls. Alternative 4 meets ARAR "groundwater" ARARs by GW treatment.