

N00204.AR.002622
NAS PENSACOLA
5090.3a

PARTNERING TEAM MEETING MINUTES BETWEEN 17 MARCH AND 18 MARCH 2009 NAS
PENSACOLA FL
3/17/2009
NAS PENSACOLA

NAS Pensacola Partnering Team Meeting Minutes
March 17th & 18th, 2009
Saint Augustine, Florida

ATTENDEES:

Team Members:

Patty Marajh-Whittemore	NAVFAC
Greg Fraley	USEPA
Greg Wilfley	CH2M Hill
Greg Campbell	NASP PWD
Gerry Walker	TtNUS
Allison Harris	Ensafe
Brian Caldwell	Tetra Tech Div
Tracie Bolaños	FDEP- via telecon
Earl Bozeman	USEPA (Day 2)

Support Members:

Stephanie Carroll	Mgmt Edge - Facilitator
Julie Johnson	TtNUS – Scribe
Yarissa.Martínez	TtNUS
Ron Kotun	TtNUS
Peggy Churchill	TtNUS, (Day 2)
Mike Singletary	NAVFAC
John Schoolfield	NAVFAC (Day 2)
B.K. Moring	NAVFAC SE (Day 1)

1. 1st Day Check In/Opening Remarks/Resource Sharing/Head Count and Proxies/Guests/Review Ground Rules /Review Consensus Items & Action Items & Parking Lot/Approve Minutes 8:30 – 9:30

Check-in – 8:30- 8:50

The Partnering Team completed check in and then reviewed the Team Charter and Ground Rules.

Gerry shared information regarding a proactive scheduling effort he plans to implement for the Team. Gerry has assigned Katie Newman, a TtNUS employee, to maintain and update the Team’s document schedule, including the Gant Chart and the Document Priority Tracking Table. In addition, as a reminder to Team member of required document actions, Katie will e-mail each team member a list of documents in progress or review and due dates for the documents. The e-mails will be sent on the 1st and the 15th of every month.

The Team then reviewed consensus items, updated the Action Item List, and reviewed the parking lot items from the December 2008 meeting. The updated Action Item List is included.

Consensus Item 01 – The December 2nd & 3rd, 2008 meeting minutes have been approved. A final copy of the approved minutes will be posted to the IR portal and archived.

Review of Consensus Items:

The team reviewed the previous meeting consensus items.

Review of Action Items:

Gerry led the team through the active Action Items from the December 2008 Action Items List and updated the list accordingly (as included).

Gerry said his understanding on the travel blackout for the state of Florida is that by May 2009 the restrictions may possibly be lifted. The Team will ask Tracie or Earl what their perception of the travel restrictions. On day 2, Tracie stated she had no idea when the restriction would be lifted and it could be longer than May 2009.

2. Facilitator Report 9:30 – 9:50

The team had a brief discussion regarding the facilitator report with regards to the RPM for the Station and NAVFAC and team dynamics at a previous meeting. Tier II does not want to share Facilitator reports with any of the Tier I Teams. Stephanie expressed to the Team if there are any concerns or questions to contact her directly. The Team will revisit this when Earl is in attendance to get his insight on the supposed conflict that ensued at a previous meeting.

3. Break 9:50 – 10:00

4. OU 10 Update 10:00 – 10:30

Mike Singletary gave the OU 10 update presentation to the team.

SWMU 1 – WWTP. Mike presented a historical overview of the site. The presentation is included as an attachment to the final meeting minutes.

The RCRA Post closure-permit was renewed 9/12/2008 – Source reduction and monitored natural attenuation with long-term monitoring. Greg Campbell asked if this site should be handled under CERCLA now. Mike S. stated this is something the team could address. Mike S. said that the site will be easier to manage under CERCLA.

The sludge drying beds are now capped and being maintained. Source reduction measures included:

- Fenton's ISCO for TCE source 1998-1999
- ORC pilot study for CBs – 2001
- Biosparging for CBs – 2005
- Enhanced bioremediation for TCE Source 2008-2009

COC identified

- Plume A-A': TCE, cDCE, VC, Metals
- Plume B-B': CB, DCBs
- Plume C-C': CB, DCBs

Groundwater flows towards the Pensacola Bay. All of the contaminant mass is locked up on top of the silty clay layer moving over the silty sand layer towards the transition zone, toward the bay. When the plume hits the mixing zone there is a combination of degradation and dilution. Chlorobenzene doesn't degrade under aerobic conditions; dichlorobenzene will degrade down to chlorobenzene, however. The site was monitored for a year after the system was dismantled (May 2006) to determine if there was any

rebound in concentrations. Concentrations of chlorobenzene were reduced; there was a little rebound, but has since gone down close to GCTL and below the NADC.

Conclusion Biosparging successful in meeting RAOs; air injection impacted geochemistry, but effects were temporary; NA will address remaining contaminants.

In July 2008 conducted biostimulation pilot study at this site. Injected at DPT points with EOS. This system is naturally anaerobic. They were able to raise the pH to the neutral range for most of the monitoring period and ORP was reduced. Limited TCE reduction, VC production; pH increased only moderately, further monitoring will indicate if natural buffering is taking place.

The path forward is to continue to monitor field parameters to assess performance of pilot study; evaluate potential abiotic reactions; measure oil retention capacity of aquifer sediments; measure acid buffering demand of the aquifer solids; planned full-scale implementation FY10.

Gerry asked why should the site be transferred back to CERCLA, Mike Singletary answered it should be easier to manage the site. In the RCRA program, the monitoring requirements are stricter, which costs more and is not as efficient. The permit only addresses the sites they didn't clean and close. With the site closing it might be possible to address under CERCLA.

Action Item A-010309: Mike Singletary is going to provide Gerry with OU 10 presentation by 3/20/09. *This action item was completed during the meeting.*

5. Gant Chart, Document Priority Table, SCAP, and Exit Strategy 11:00 – 12:00

Gerry Walker led a discussion/review of the Gant chart.

OU1 – Site 1

- Draft Community Relations Plan is updated as part of the 5-year review. It should be out to the team for review next week (March 23, 2009). Does not need regulatory approval.
- The draft-final Monitoring Plan for OU1 will be out to the team for review 3/17/2009.
- Draft Technical Memorandum for Reconnaissance Phase Flow Control Pilot Study is out to the team for review (2/12/2009) and Gerry is waiting on comments. Comments Due from team by 4/16/2009.

OU13 – Sites 8 and 13

- This site is closed.
- There is a ROD and remedy is in place. The station has historically used using Aerostar for annual monitoring. Greg C. said the facility will not provide the funding for additional monitoring at this site..

Action Item A-020309: Patty to include funding for OU13 monitoring into the NORM.

OU2

- The Remedial Design was submitted to the team on 2/10/2009. Team review due 4/7/2009.
- In conjunction with this remedial design is a groundwater to surface water interface investigation using a Trident Probe. Gerry will add to schedule by next meeting.
- The RIP date is 4/30/09 and the exit strategy doesn't match. Exit strategy needs to be changed to match the SCAP. Greg Fraley will change the RA completion date to 3/30/2011.

Action Item A-030309: Gerry to update the Gant Chart for OU2 to include the groundwater to surface water investigation by next meeting.

OU11 – Site 38

- Draft Remedial Design is 80 percent complete and will be submitted once the UFP-SAP is approved. The investigation has to be completed before the remedial design can be completed.
- UFP-SAP has been submitted to the Navy Chemist and comments have been received.
- Gerry provided the team with a copy of the SCAP. The Remedial Design and Remedial Action dates will have to be pushed out on the SCAP.
- Sampling should be completed in May, laboratory June, report in July, remedial action September 30, 2009.
- Greg Fraley will be updating the SCAP dates for the Remedial Design (completion date 9/30/09) and Remedial Action (completion date 10/30/09).
- Building 71 excavation area – Greg C. said the survey is complete. Gerry is waiting for the excavation area map.

Action Item A-040309: Greg Campbell to check with the contractor regarding the surveyed excavation area map for OU11 Building 71 and give to Gerry by 3/27/09.

OU16 Site 41 Combined Wetlands

- Comments for the FS have been received and are being addressed.
- Dave Barcliff (ECO NAVFAC) comments are pretty extensive and are being addressed by Allison. FDEP is still using UF for their reviews. Patty has no comments and Greg Campbell stated that he has no comments for the FS.
- Allison said she is still in the process of her review of the FS.
- There are questions/concern regarding the placement of LUCs at this site.

Action Item: A-050309: Greg is going to look into how often the boy scouts are going to camp within OU16 and determine whether they need to relocate the camping area and report back to the team by 4/17/2009.

6. Lunch Break – 12:00 – 1:30

7. Continue Gant Chart, Document Priority Table, SCAP, and Exit Strategy - 1:30 - 2:30

OU18 – Site 43

- Gerry said the ROD will be submitted this fiscal year.
- Draft-Final Proposed Plan is out for review.
- Gerry said that if the soils are removed it should take care of the source area.
- Gerry is proposing monitoring for only 1 year. EPA has guidance on MNA for inorganics. This sampling approach was not really followed during the investigation.
- Once approval for the Proposed Plan it will go out for public comment.
- Allison suggested changing the review time for EPA to 30 days.
- Gerry will utilize Ralinda Miller, TtNUS for the streamlined ROD. She is the lead expert in TtNUS for Proposed Plans and RODs.
- The dates for the Draft ROD have been moved up to 6/12/09; Draft-Final to 7/3/09, and Final 9/11/09.

OU19 – Site 44

- The Draft FS was submitted to the team Friday 3/13/09.
- OU19, OU20, and OU21 FSs and RODs will be submitted very close together. The plan is to have all three RODs completed next year.

OU20 – Site 45

- The Draft-Final FS will be submitted around May 11, 2009, likely sooner.
- Gerry said he has not received final approval for the Final RI as of this date.
- Gerry changed the due date for the Draft ROD to 6/15/10 and the Final ROD to 8/13/10.

Action Item A-060309: Gerry to update the entry for Site 45 ROD on the Gant chart to match the 8/13/10 SCAP date.

OU21 – Site 46

The Draft FS will be sent out for review around 4/1/09.

Action Item A-070309: Gerry to update the entry for Site 46 on the Gant chart to match the 9/16/10 SCAP date.

Since SCAP and Exit Strategy were reviewed together, all the dates match. Patty input the SCAP/Exit Strategy dates into NORM.

8. Break – 2:30 – 2:45

9. Facility Update – 2:45 – 3:00

Greg Campbell provided a brief facility update.

- The dredge permit has been approved for the Bayou Grande. The permit is good for a year.
- The Air Force is building a hangar on the station.
- The base sewage will be transferred over to ECUA (Emerald Coast Utility Authority) system by August 2009. There is a concern the lift station could overflow into the wetlands.
- Looking into placing a solar energy farm west of the fuel farm (wetlands area), it is in the planning/funding stage. Greg will have more information later.

- The landscape project is about to close out (Building 38). They planted thousands of trees, north and south of Bradford, east side of the officer's quarters. They repaired the nature trail bridge, marked all the trees, and built pavilions.

10. CNO Award Brain Storming – 3:00 – 3:15

Greg C. said the installation award and the CNO awards are annually. Gerry said that CH2M Hill could prepare one and Tetra Tech can prepare one.

Gerry asked the team if there is anything innovative that has been accomplished at the station.

- OU 2 ROD - Streamlined
- Bronson Field Recovery System part of Site 1159
- Landscaping project on CERCLA site (Site 38)
- Site 1 Wetland 3 decision remedial system shut down and revised compliance point
- Partnering and how much partnering saves (i.e., Gant, Exit Strategy, SCAP); overcoming funding restrictions via innovative methods (i.e., teleconference instead of travel), on Board Review
- Five-Year Review Process
- Closed petroleum sites NFA

Facility CNO Award

- WWTP shutdown.

11. UST Site 1107 Update – 3:15 – 4:00

- According to the WRS report, *Remedial and characterization activities for contaminated soil and groundwater containing Bunker C Oil at Site 1107 were performed in accordance with the guidance of Chapter 62-770, FAC, however Chapter 376.301(31), FAC specifically excludes Bunker C fuel oil from the definition of a petroleum product therefore is not regulated under 62-770.200(14).* And the site is recommended for NFA.
 - All site monitoring wells are free of free product.
 - The physical and chemical properties of weathered ??
 - No one is using the water within a ½ mile radius.
 - Nearest water body (Perdido Bay) 0.7 miles away
 - Future land use does not call for the change in property ownership
- FDEP responded that this statute excludes “*bunker C residual*” but not bunker C fuel and this report does not demonstrate this contaminant is bunker C residual. So this point is not valid.
- Mike S. said he did not interpret that the team could get this site out of the petroleum program, because there was a petroleum product or oil released on the site.
- Tetra Tech's only involvement was to determine why this site was hanging out there, and determine the status of the site. Tetra Tech conducted the contamination assessment for this site. There was residual contamination that has not been addressed.
- Greg C. said there was soil removed from the site.
- There was residual contamination that has not been addressed. There has been nothing detected in groundwater.

- LUCs would protect recreational users as long as there are dig restrictions. The Blue Angels use the area for paint ball and Frisbee golf.
- Tracie would like to see a report showing all the data.
- Mike S. said a Site Rehabilitation Closure Request needs to be funded and completed.

Action Item A-080309: Gerry to provide Mike Singletary with a copy of the WRS report for Site 1107.

Action Item A 090309: Patty and Greg C. to determine who has the funding to complete this Request for Site Rehabilitation Order under an RMO 2 to FDEP for Site 1107.

12. Partnering Training – 4:00 – 4:20

Discussion reviewing the Problem Solving exercise, team schedule not being met, and areas to work on (prioritizing goals, communication, on board review process). The question is how are these goals working and are any changes needed.

- Prioritizing goals – Gant Chart, document tracking, SCAP, and Exit Strategy. No changes to these. Greg F. said the process is working better; the team is reviewing the Gant chart quicker. Gerry updated the document tracking table to match the Gant Chart. Gerry is going to implement a system where the team receives an update email every two weeks to show how the team is performing with regard on the Gant Chart schedule.
- Communication – conference calls. Attendance is getting better; Gerry said Friday conference calls are working better. The calls are lasting ½ hour to an hour. The calls help bring everyone back into the loop to prepare for the upcoming partnering meeting.
- On Board Review process – the team likes it, helps facilitate approvals.
- There has been a slight improvement in meeting deadlines (monthly conference calls and on board review process contributes to this improvement). Shortening the review period. Possibly a 10 percent improvement in meeting their deadlines. The team is working more efficiently.

13. 1st Day Meeting Closeout – review Action Items/Consensus Items 4:25-4:30

The Partnering Team reviewed the new action items and adjourned for the day.

14. 2nd Day Check In – 8:00 – 8:15

The Partnering Team completed check in. New attendees: Peggy Churchill, Earl Bozeman, and John Schoolfield.

15. MRP Sites Update – 8:15 – 8:45

Gerry said the Navy has tasked Tetra Tech to proceed with an SI. Gerry needs to have the FDEP and EPA comments on the PA (Malcome Pirnie) before proceeding with the SI. Tracie said she could get her comments completed by the end of April.

Earl Bozeman added that Tier II is tasking all Navy bases to track their MRP sites in the exit strategy. Gerry added that it needs to be added to the Gant Chart. Since the document will be all sites in one, Earl said it would be sufficient to add one line in the exit strategy that includes all MRP sites being addressed under the current PA/SI.

Action Item A-100309: Gerry to add the MRP sites to the exit strategy and the Gant Chart by 4/17/09.

Gerry reviewed the schedule from the Plan of Action to the Navy. Gerry said the schedule needs to kick off immediately. Gerry will have an internal planning meeting next week at the Tetra Tech office in order to expedite the process and on board review of the PA will be completed at this time. He would like to have Tracie involved with this process, if she is available. Once this is completed, Gerry will schedule a DQO planning session with the entire team. Tracie said travel restrictions might be a problem with a site visit to Pensacola, but she will check.

Action Item A-110309: Tracie will check on viability of day trip to MRP sites at NAS Pensacola.

Gerry scheduled a meeting for April 8 & 9, 2009 to meet with the team for MRP sites DQO process at NAS Pensacola.

Greg Fraley suggested having a RAB meeting and give a presentation of the PA results to the public while in Pensacola for the DQO meetings. Gerry suggested having Susan Burtnett with Malcome Pirnie give the SI presentation to the RAB and the Team agreed.

Action Item A-120309: Once Tracie has obtained approval for travel for the RAB meeting and DQO meeting, John Schoolfield will contact Susan Burtnett regarding giving the PA results presentation to the RAB.

If Tracie doesn't obtain travel approval, the alternative is to drive Tracie to and from Pensacola each day.

John mentioned there are two documents, the PA and the recommendations binder. He said the recommendations are really the bottom line.

16. Site 43 Proposed Plan – 8:45 – 9:30

The Site 43 Proposed Plan has been sent to the Navy and comments have been received from the Navy attorney, Steve Beverly. It is close to being completed for distribution to the team.

The following is from memo dated December 17, 2008:

During a previous discussion with the team at the last meeting, discussions regarding the Site 43 Proposed Plan, NAVFAC suggested the possibility of modifying one of the groundwater alternatives (and selecting it as the preferred alternative) from **G-1, Land Use Controls (LUC) and Long Term monitoring to LUCs only**. It was suggested that the change could be made and still meet the Remedial Action Objectives.

The preferred alternative modification was presented to the NAS Pensacola Partnering Team during the Partnering Team meeting on December 3 & 4, 2008. Following an in-depth discussion over two days and review of 62-785 FAC, Table A; it was decided that iron and manganese were not a concern; however it would not be appropriate to have "groundwater LUCs only" at Site 43. Groundwater monitoring will be necessary because

only one round of groundwater sampling had been completed and that event reported an exceedance for lead. Both the USEPA and FDEP regulators were adamant that the groundwater exceedance would require at least two rounds of sampling because two quarterly monitoring events with lead below regulatory standards (MCLs and GCTLs) are needed for no action, even with LUCs on groundwater. The Team felt that waiting until after the soil removal operation to begin quarterly groundwater monitoring would improve the chances that the initial two sampling events would indicate lead below regulatory standards.

The Team voiced a consensus (during the previous meeting) on the subject including:

Consensus 02: The Team has agreed that modification of the FS is not required because LUCs alone are not adequate. The remedy will include both LUCs and monitoring for lead in groundwater.

And after further team discussion *The Team has agreed that modification of the FS is not required because LUCs alone are not adequate. The remedy will include both LUCs and monitoring for lead in groundwater.* Discussion, second consensus was taken that Alternative S-3 was selected for soil.

Consensus Item 03 – The preferred remedy for Site 43 will be Alternative G-1 for groundwater - LUCs and monitoring; Alternative S-3 will be used for soils -Limited excavation and offsite disposal and maintenance of pavement to meet FDEP Industrial SCTLs for soils.

Following the Partnering Team meeting additional discussions ensued between the Navy and TtNUS. It was noted that under FDEP's RMO IID for groundwater, NFA with Institutional Controls can be granted when Contaminants of Concern are greater than GCTLs provided the following are met:

1. Demonstration that contaminant concentrations in GW at property boundaries will not exceed applicable GCTLs,
2. Contamination is limited to less than 1/4 acre and is not migrating (as demonstrated through one year of monitoring), and
3. No impact or potential impact to surface water.

Based on the fact that we're talking about one monitoring well, this site would classify as less than 1/4 acre, and therefore, it makes sense to monitor the groundwater for one year to ensure that the plume is not migrating and to establish a seasonal trend. However, even if the lead concentration still exceeds the regulatory standards after one year, the groundwater monitoring could be discontinued based on the LUC and RMO IID criteria. Earl stated that the EPA attorney is going to have an issue with the statement NFA with monitoring for the contamination in place.

Mike S. said that there has to be something written in the ROD to state what is going to happen in each scenario once the one year of monitoring has been completed. Mike said it doesn't make sense to monitor one well for lead that will most likely hang around for years. Greg F. said the regulations state that if there is an exceedance of the GCTL some

action has to happen. Mike S. stated that the state of Florida is fine with the remedy they are suggesting.

Gerry needs to change the Proposed Plan wording from the remedy of FDEP's RMO II to - *monitoring for one year and reevaluate based on first years results*. Mike suggested coming up with a process that makes sense, even if it means not meeting the goal date for the ROD, it would set precedence for future sites. Mike would like to get these issues worked out for the benefit of future sites. Mike suggested the Team go forward and place additional wells and delineate the plume, Earl agreed. However the team discussed continuing to push forward

The team took a break from 9:45 to 10:00

Consensus 02: Move forward with the Proposed Plan with changes to remove the RMO II discussion and replace it with proposed monitoring for one year, followed by reevaluation of the monitoring plan.

If after one year 1) concentrations have gone below the standards, then propose NFA; 2) concentrations have remained stable or increased, continue long-term monitoring; or 3) concentrations remain the same or increase, alternative standards are proposed.

17. Break – 9:45 – 10:00

18. OU 16 Site 41 Wetlands Feasibility Study – 10:05 – 10:10

- Comments for the FS have been received and are being addressed.
- Dave Barcliff (ECO NAVFAC) comments are pretty extensive and are being addressed by Allison. FDEP is still using UF for their reviews. Patty has no comments and Greg Campbell stated that he has no comments for the FS.
- Allison said she is still in the process of her review of the FS.
- There is questions/concern regarding the placement of LUCs at this site.
- The date of the ROD is 2010.

19. Tier II Update – 10:15 – 11:00

Earl gave the Tier II update. Earl reported, there was a Navy Tier II meeting last week in Tampa. The next meeting date is June 16 & 17, 2009, which is the same date as the next NAS Pensacola Partnering meeting. The September 2 & 3, 2009 and December 2 & 3, 2009 are also on the schedule for Tier II to meet. These dates are conflicting with the NAS Pensacola team meetings.

Gerry asked if the team had a presentation coming up for the Tier II, Earl didn't have the team on the schedule. Jacksonville will be presenting in June.

Earl reported that the team covered and reviewed the exit strategies. The team wants the Tier I teams to start tracking their MMRP sites on the exit strategy.

Earl stated that here are two RIP dates in CERLIS that don't match the exit strategy, the exit strategy lists two RAs in 2009 and the exit strategy date is out to 2010. Greg F. said one is OU11, which is going to be changed on the SCAP.

Action Item A-130309: Earl B. will get with Greg F. and provide him with the second site that has a RIP date on the exit strategy of 2010 and reflects 2009 on the SCAP. Greg will report back to the team next meeting.

Additional items discussed by Tier II:

- The final remedy to be completed at Cecil Field should be the end of this month.
- Whiting Field Clear Creek ROD should be completed by 2010.
- All RODs should be improved RODs by 2010. Have all MMRP SIs completed by 2010.
- Mark Davidson is back as a BRAC coordinator.
- Improved RODs: The purpose is to cut out the amount of paper and redundancies. There was not set guidance in the past for how the ROD should look. Robbie Darby is developing guidance for the Improved ROD. The RODs will include a CD bookmarked. The CD should be included in the binder with a plastic sleeve, continue to use 1/2" binder, less than 75 pages, to be reviewed by a single point of contact within Tetra Tech for consistency. Robbie should be providing the teams with this guidance very soon.
- RIP guidance for petroleum sites: Robbie will provide Petroleum RIP guidance to the Tier I teams.
- Earl reported that there was a discussion regarding MOAs. It was decided, for petroleum sites the LUCAP MOAs will remain in place since the sites don't have RODs.
- Facilitator reports: Rich May had asked about sharing the facilitator reports with the Tier I team, Earl said that it has never been Tier II's policy to do that. Earl said in the time he has been on the Tier II team he has never seen anything negative within a facilitator report. They mostly discuss team dynamics, etc. Never has been an individual identified in the reports. Stephanie asked the team to come to her directly if there is any concerns that arise that might be from her report so she can clarify.

20. OU 11 Site 38 UFP SAP Update – 11:00 – 11:

- Draft Remedial Design is 80 percent complete and will be submitted once the UFP-SAP is approved. The investigation has to be completed before the remedial design can be completed.
- UFP-SAP has been submitted to the Navy Chemist and comments have been received.
- Briefly, the comments were mostly focused on the visual sampling plan software. The comment was the write up needed to be clearer.
- The other comments were general comments on worksheets.
- The SAP will go out for team review by 3/28/2009.

Action Item A-150209: OU11-Site 38: Greg Fraley will change the date on the SCAP and (and Gerry will change the Exit Strategy) to show a RIP projected date of 6/20/2010 and Greg F. will change the SCAP RA completion date to 3/30/2011.

21. OU 1 Update – 10:45 – 11:30

- Draft Community Relations Plan is updated as part of the 5-year review. It should be out to the team for review next week (March 23, 2009). Does not need regulatory approval.
- The draft-final monitoring plan for OU1 will be out to the team for review 3/17/2009.
- Draft Technical Memorandum for Reconnaissance Phase Flow Control Pilot Study is out to the team for review (2/12/2009) and Gerry is waiting on comments. Comments Due from team by 4/16/2009. Tracie said that her review will be completed after the RD review is completed.
- Tracie's only concern with the plan is the point of compliance sample locations with regard to the groundwater monitoring program. She said don't hold it up for her. She will discuss POC sample placement with Greg Fraley.

Action Item A-140309: Greg is going to call Tracie at 10:00, Friday, March 20, 2009 regarding the POC sample location for the OU1 wetland 4D monitoring and report back to the team by March 27, 2009.

- Gerry's plan for POC sample collection: try to stay clear of the outfall. Mike S. stated you can get the POC sample near to the shoreline he would be ok with that, so you wouldn't have to rent a boat for sampling each time. Gerry stated that he would use a pool extension to grab the sample. Tetra Tech will move the location of the sample closer to the shoreline.

22. 2nd Day Meeting Closeout – Review Action Items/Consensus Items/Meeting Schedule/Next Agenda/plus-delta/Facilitator Evaluation – 11:15 – 11:50

- Reviewed Action Items
- Reviewed Consensus Items
- Next Meeting Agenda:
- Patty Marajh-Whittemore will lead the next meeting.
- Gerry proposed not changing the agenda at this time.
- Team completed a meeting evaluation:

Plus

Location

Good discussion on Site 43, working through the hard parts

Good to have the guests, John, Mike, and Peggy for support.

Appreciated seeing facilitator report and resolving that issue

Despite Tracie's absence we made it work We made the best of a bad situation

Allison as the leader

Delta

Tracie not able to be at meeting, due to lack of funding (participation via telecon)

Facilitator Feedback –

The facilitator Stephanie Carroll reviewed a few items she plans on placing in her report.

23. On-board Review (OU 1 Groundwater Sampling Work Plan; UST Site 2 DQOs; Seawall Site) – 12:00 – 12:30

March 13, 2009, Peggy Churchill gave a presentation at the TtNUS Tallahassee office regarding the Site 2 DQOs. Tracie Bolanos was in attendance and is up to speed on this site.

Peggy Churchill gave a presentation of the overview of UST Site 15-Former Building 2662, NAS Pensacola (attached).

Due to records search it has been determined the site is no longer called UST Site 2, it is actually UST Site 15-Former Building 2662. All that was provided from FDEP was a letter, no other records. Tracie was able to track additional information down after a lot of correspondence back and forth.

See the attached presentation for the historical information and site information.

- Location – Building 2662 was an aircraft hangar located in the northwest corner of Chevalier Field.
- A contamination assessment was completed in four phases from 1992 – 1994.
- Interim removal was completed in 1994.
 - Removed soil, asphalt and concrete. Building 2662 and 3380 were demolished.
 - Excavated soil was >50 ppm total VOCs by headspace analysis.
 - Soil was excavated to a depth of 3 feet. The excavated soil was treated on site by low temperature thermal desorption.
 - Backfill included 3161 cubic yards of treated material and 6429 cubic yards of clean fill.
 - The source removal report included lead exceedances greater than the GCTL.
 - FDEP requested confirmatory sampling as a result of the total lead exceedances.
 - In November 1995, FDEP requested that nine monitoring wells be installed, sampled, and analyzed for lead and the results be submitted as a CAR Addendum.
 - In March 1998, FDEP requested additional well be installed and sampled NW of MW-6.
- For future investigations the recommendations are to identify any existing wells
 - Supplement existing wells for a total of 10 wells.
 - Determine groundwater flow direction and analyze for lead, if groundwater concentrations exceed the lead GCTL, sample surface and subsurface soil, perform SPLP.
 - Determine if surface and/or subsurface soil is a source of lead concentration.

The goal is to get to closure on the site. The question: Is there going to be a requirement for the UFP-SAP for this? Mike Singletary needs to be included in the discussion for this process to determine if a UFP-SAP is required by the Navy. Gerry will look for additional documents. Gerry said they will contact with Mike Singletary to determine if a UFP-SAP will be required.

The proposed work will include groundwater sampling and analysis for lead only and will be submitted to FDEP for approval.

Action Item A-160309: Gerry/Peggy will contact Mike Singletary and determine if closure is the plan for UST Site 2/UST Site 15, and will a UFP-SAP be required to conduct the investigation.

New Consensus Items from March 17 & 18, 2009	
1	<i>The December 2nd & 3rd, 2008 meeting minutes have been approved. A final copy of the approved minutes will be posted to the IR portal and archived.</i>
2	<i>Move forward with the Proposed Plan with changes to remove the RMO II discussion and replace it with proposed monitoring for one year, followed by reevaluation of the monitoring plan.</i>

Action Item No.	Responsible Party	Status	Due Date	Action Item
Ongoing Action Items				
A-050305	Team	Ongoing		Team needs to review the Document Tracking and Priority Table to hit document due dates.
A-070305	Gerry	Ongoing		Gerry will update the Document Tracking and Priority Table.
A-040208	Gerry	Ongoing	Next meeting	Gerry Walker will create a sorting function for the document priority table so that the team can identify the priority in the 3 month, six month, and 1 year time frame. – Created a Gant Chart instead – to be presented today. * continuing – sort function not working
A-050208	Gerry & Team	Ongoing		When documents are submitted to the team, the author will e-mail recipients and indicate the agreed comment or approval dates with the statement: “Roses are red, violets are blue – just wanted to remind you when your comments are due _____”
A- 050508	Greg C.	Ongoing		Patty & Greg Campbell to determine UST Site 015/site 1116 status.
A-061208	Gerry, G. Campbell, and Tracie	Ongoing		Gerry, Campbell, & Tracie will meet and research historic action at site 1107 and develop a plan forward in order to close this site out.

New Action Items from March 17 & 18, 2009 Meeting

A-010309	Mike S.	Completed	3/20/09	Mike Singletary is going to provide Gerry with OU10 presentation by 3/20/09
A-020309	Patty	Working		Patty to include funding for OU13 monitoring into the NORM.
A-030309	Gerry W.	Working		Gerry to update the Gant Chart for OU2 to include the groundwater to surface water investigation by next meeting.
A-040309	Greg C.	Working	3/27/09	Greg Campbell to check with the contractor regarding the surveyed excavation area map for OU11 Building 71 and give to Gerry by 3/27/09.
A-050309	Greg C.	Working	4/17/09	Greg is going to look into how often the boy scouts

Action Item No.	Responsible Party	Status	Due Date	Action Item
				are going to camp within OU16 and determine whether they need to relocate the camping area and report back to the team by 4/17/2009.
A-060309	Gerry W.	Working	4/17/09	Gerry to update the entry for OU20 Site 45 on the Gant chart to match the 8/13/2010 SCAP date.
A-070309	Gerry W.	Working	4/17/09	Gerry to update the entry for OU21 Site 46 on the Gant chart to match the 9/16/2010 SCAP date.
A-080309	Gerry	Working	4/17/09	Provide Mike Singletary with a copy of the WRS report for Site 1107.
A-090309	Patty & Greg C	Working	6/1/09	Patty and Greg C. to determine who has the funding to complete this Request for Site Rehabilitation Order under an RMO 2 to FDEP for Site 1107.
A-100309	Gerry W.	Working	4/17/09	Gerry to add the MRP sites to the exit strategy and the Gant Chart by 4/17/09.
A-110309	Tracie	Working	3/20/09	Tracie will check on viability of day trip to MRP sites at NAS Pensacola.
A-120309	John Schoolfield	Working	After travel approval	Once Tracie has obtained approval for travel for the RAB meeting and DQO meeting, John Schoolfield will contact Susan Burnett regarding giving the RAB presentation.
A-130309	Greg F. and Earl B	Working	By next meeting	Earl B. will get with Greg F. and show him the second site that has a RIP date on the exit strategy of 2010 and reflects 2009 on the SCAP. Greg will report back to the team next meeting.
A-140309	Greg F.	Working	3/27/2009	Greg is going to call Tracie at 10:00, Friday, March 20, 2009 regarding the POC sample location for the OU1 wetland 4D monitoring and report back to the team by March 27, 2009.
A-150309	Greg F and Gerry W	Working		OU11-Site 38: Greg Fraley will change the date on the SCAP and (and Gerry will change the Exit Strategy) to show a RIP projected date of 6/20/2010 and Greg F. will change the SCAP RA completion date to 3/30/2011.
A-160309	Gerry & Peggy	Working		Gerry/Peggy will contact Mike Singletary and determine if closure is the plan for UST Site 2/UST Site 15, will a UFP-SAP be required to conduct the investigation.

Parking Lot:

- Schedule conference call concerning DQOs.

Pensacola Partnering Meeting Agenda
June 16th & 17th, 2009
Tallahassee, Florida

Leader: Patty Marajh-Whittemore
Scribe: Jacqueline Strobl
Timekeeper: Allison Harris

Item	Description	Presenter	Time	Category
1	1 st Day Check-In/Opening Remarks/Resource Sharing/Head Count and Proxies/Guests/Review Ground Rules & Charter/Review Consensus Items, Action Items & Parking Lot/Approve Minutes/Schedule 2009 meetings	Patty	8:30 – 9:30	Info
2	Break	Team	9:30 – 9:45	Needed
3			09:45 – 10:30	
4	Gant Chart, Document Priority Table, SCAP, and Exit Strategy	Gerry	10:30 – 12:00	Info
5	Lunch	Team	12:00 – 1:30	Needed
6	Continue Gant Chart, Document Priority Table, SCAP, and Exit Strategy	Gerry/Greg W.	1:30 – 2:45	Info
7	Break	Team	2:45 – 3:00	Needed
8	CNO Award Update	Greg W./Greg C.	3:00 – 3:30	Info
9	Facility Update	Greg C.	3:30 – 3:45	Info
10	Tier II Update	Earl	3:45 – 4:00	Info
11	Partnering Training	Stephanie	4:00 – 5:00	Training
12	1 st Day Meeting Closeout – Review Action Items/Consensus Items	Patty	5:00 – 5:15	Info
13	2 nd Day Check In	Patty	8:00 – 8:15	Info
14	OU 1 Update	Gerry	8:15 – 8:45	Info
15	Site 43 Proposed Plan	Yarissa/Gerry	8:45 – 9:30	Info
16	Break	Team	9:30 – 9:45	Needed
17	OU 16 Site 41 Wetlands Feasibility Study	Gerry/Allison	9:45 – 10:15	Info
18	UST Site 1107 Update	Gerry/Greg C	10:15 – 10:30	Info
19	OU 11 Site 38 UFP SAP update	Yarissa	10:30 – 10:45	Info
20	On-Board Reviews		10:45 – 12:00	Decision
21	2 nd Day Meeting Closeout – Review Action Items/Consensus Items/Meeting Schedule/Next Agenda/plus - delta/Facilitator Evaluation	Patty	12:00 – 12:30	Info

Meeting Schedule:

- Monthly telecom on first Friday from 10:00 to 11:00 am
- September 1-2, 2009 - Pensacola, Florida
- November 17-19, 2009 - Jacksonville, Florida