

N00204.AR.004639
NAS PENSACOLA
5090.3a

LETTER REGARDING REVIEW AND COMMENTS FROM FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION OF DRAFT FINAL REVISED PROPOSED PLAN
OPERABLE UNIT 2 SITES 11, 12, 25, 26, 27 AND 30 NAS PENSACOLA FL
8/21/2014
FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION



**FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION**

BOB MARTINEZ CENTER
2600 BLAIRSTONE ROAD
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-2400

RICK SCOTT
GOVERNOR

CARLOS LOPEZ-CANTERA
LT. GOVERNOR

HERSCHEL T. VINYARD JR.
SECRETARY

August 21, 2014

Ms. Patty Marajh-Whittemore
Remedial Project Manager
ITP Gulf Coast
Naval Facilities Engineering Command Southeast
Attn: AJAX Street, Building 135N
P.O. Box 30A
Jacksonville, FL 32212-0030

RE: Draft Final Revised Proposed Plan, Operable Unit 2 (Sites 11, 12, 25, 26, 27, and 30),
Naval Air Station Pensacola, Pensacola, Florida

Dear Patty:

The Department has completed its review of the Draft Final Revised Proposed Plan, Operable Unit 2 (Sites 11, 12, 25, 26, 27, and 30), Naval Air Station Pensacola dated August 2014 (received by e-mail August 13, 2014). I have the following comments on the Revised Draft Proposed Plan:

- (1) On page 3, left column, in the section on Site Background, it states that NAS Pensacola is located approximately 5 miles west of the Pensacola city limits. Please verify that distance.
- (2) On page 5, left column, third paragraph, it says that data trends from quarterly groundwater monitoring at Site 12 beginning in March 2013 indicate that the majority of the groundwater COCs remain below GCTLs. The Department has not received groundwater monitoring reports with this information and cannot confirm or deny this statement. Regardless, the statement is irrelevant to remedy selection at Site 11 or the radiological remediation efforts at Sites 12 and 27.
- (3) On page 15, right column, third paragraph, second sentence, please remove “within a wetlands area” from the sentence. Should proposed capping affect wildlife habitat within upland and wetland areas, the action would be required to comply with Endangered Species Act requirements.
- (4) On page 17, the present net worth costs for operation and maintenance for maintaining native soil cover or the single-barrier GCL cap is identical. I have previously commented that the cost of maintenance of the single-barrier GCL cap should be substantially greater.

DOD_11_1829/1830/1840

Ms. Marajh-Whittemore
Draft Final Revised Proposed Plan
Operable Unit 2 (Sites 11, 12, 25, 26, 27, and 30)
Page 2 of 2
August 21, 2014

If you have any questions regarding this letter, please contact me at (850) 245-8997.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "David P. Grabka". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

David P. Grabka, P.G.
Remedial Project Manager
DoD and Brownfields Partnerships
Waste Cleanup Program

CC: Greg Campbell, NAS Pensacola
Tim Woolheater, EPA Region 4
Gerry Walker, Tetra Tech, Tallahassee
Allison Harris, Ensafe, Memphis, TN

KAW

Handwritten initials "KAW" in blue ink, written in a cursive style.