

N00204.AR.004968
NAS PENSACOLA
5090.3a

THE MUNITIONS RESPONSE SITE PRIORITIZATION PROTOCOL DETERMINATION FOR
UNEXPLODED ORDNANCE (UXO) 3 SKEET RANGE AND PISTOL RANGE OUTLYING
LANDING FIELD BRONSON NAS PENSACOLA FL
01/01/2013
NAVFAC SOUTHERN

**The Munitions Response Site
Prioritization Protocol Determination**

**For
NAS Pensacola Site UXO-0003
Bronson Field Skeet Range
and
Bronson Field Pistol Range**

**Naval Air Station Pensacola
Pensacola, Florida**

January 2013

**Prepared by
US Navy
Facilities Engineering Command, Southeast
Building 135, Naval Air Station, Jacksonville
Jacksonville, Florida, 32212**



Executive Summary

This document describes an evaluation of two former small arms ranges located at Bronson Field. Bronson Field is a former outlying air field for Naval Air Station (NAS) Pensacola, Florida. The two old range sites are (1) the Bronson Field Skeet Range and (2) Bronson Field Pistol Range, and fall under the Navy site designation NAS Pensacola UXO-0003. The evaluation was performed in accordance with the Munitions Response Site Prioritization Protocol. This protocol is used by the Navy to inventory and rank former munitions ranges nationwide with respect to relative risk to human health and the environment. On a ranking scale from 1 to 8, with 1 representing the highest priority, the Bronson Field Skeet Range received a ranking of 5. The Bronson Field Pistol Range received a determination of "no known or suspected hazard" under the protocol.

Further information on the Munitions Response Site Prioritization Protocol is provided in this document. This document is being made available to the public as required under Section 10 U.S.C. § 2710(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act. A summary description of each site is provided below:

Bronson Field

Bronson Field includes a 950-acre airfield that operated from 1942 to 1950. When the airfield was built in 1942, it was called Tarkiln Field and was designed to train Navy dive bomber and fighter pilots, and general seaplane training. In 1944, Tarkiln Field was renamed Bronson Field in honor of Lt. Clarence Bronson, who lost his life in a premature bomb explosion at Indian Head, Maryland. The airfield was closed in late 1950 and buildings removed. Currently, Bronson Field is the location of the Blue Angel Recreation Park, and is predominantly made up of grass and forested areas, the four airstrips that are now inactive and abandoned.

The Bronson Field Skeet Range

The Bronson Field Skeet Range is located along the southeast portion of Bronson Field and can be seen on historical maps dated 1943 through 1949. A skeet range house and high/low skeet houses were denoted on these historical maps indicating that three firing points were used. Fragments of clay targets were identified in the northwestern and northeastern corners of the Skeet Range, as well as northwest of the range area. Munitions use was limited to small arms ammunition, typically 12-gauge, 16-gauge, and 20-gauge shotgun rounds and .410-caliber ammunition. The primary contaminants of concern for skeet ranges include lead from lead shot and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) from tar pitch in skeet target fragments.

NAS PENSACOLA UXO-0003 MRSPP

The results of a Site Inspection Report (Tetra Tech, 2010) detected areas where concentrations of lead and PAHs in surface soil exceed State of Florida residential and industrial standards. Additional study is currently underway to determine if cleanup is needed.

Bronson Field Pistol Range

The Bronson Field Pistol Range earthen berm is located approximately 200 feet south of the Bronson Field Skeet Range, and measures approximately 330 feet long, eight feet high, and 90 feet wide. The berm is depicted on historical maps dated 1943, 1944, 1946, 1948, and 1949. A 1945 historical document identified the Pistol Rang with 31 stations. The former range area is overgrown and not in use by the installation. Munitions use was limited to small arms ammunition, typically .22, .38, .45-caliber and 9-millimeter (mm) rounds. Military rounds used during this period were primary copper jacketed bullets with a lead core while none-military rounds tend to be non-jacketed lead bullets. The primary contaminant associated with pistol ranges is lead from the spent bullets at the pistol range berm. The results of a Site Inspection Report (Tetra Tech, 2010) did not detect any exceedences of State standards in soil or groundwater. A “No further Action” determination was granted by the state for this site in 2010.

MRSPP Background

As a result of years of training activity, unexploded ordnance (UXO), discarded munitions and munitions constituents are often present to some degree at most training facilities and sites. In 2001, DoD established the Munitions Response Program (MRP) to manage environmental, health and safety risks presented by UXO, discarded military munitions and munitions constituents at former ranges

Under the MRP program, requirements for the Munitions Response Site Prioritization Protocol (MRSPP) were developed and finalized on 05 October 2005 under the authority of Section 311(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act, codified at Section 10 U.S.C. § 2710(b). MRP sites are required to be assigned a priority based on the overall conditions at each location, taking into consideration various factors relating to safety and environmental hazard potential. Also required, under 10 U.S.C. § 2710(b)(1), the priority assigned to each munitions response site will be made publicly available. The assigned priority will be updated annually and/or when new information becomes available.

MRSPP Description

The DoD recognizes there are different hazards inherent to different types of materials. To address these differences, the MRSPP has three hazard evaluation modules, each of which is specific to each type of hazard:

NAS PENSACOLA UXO-0003 MRSPP

- Explosive hazards are evaluated using the Explosives Hazard Evaluation (EHE) module;
- CWM-related hazards are evaluated using the CWM Hazard Evaluation (CHE) module; and
- Health and environmental hazards posed by MC and other chemical contaminants are evaluated using the Health Hazard Evaluation (HHE) module.

DoD recognizes that sufficient data to apply all three of the hazard evaluation modules may not be immediately available for some munitions response sites. In such cases where data are available for only one or two of the modules, the priority will be assigned based on the modules for which sufficient data are available. This initial priority may change when additional data are collected and all three modules are evaluated. Modules for which there are insufficient data will be assigned a status of “evaluation pending.”

Upon completion of all necessary munitions responses at a munitions response site, the status “prioritization no longer required” will be assigned. The sequencing of munitions response sites for environmental restoration activities will be based primarily on the priority assigned using this Protocol, but may also reflect other relevant information, such as stakeholder concerns, economic issues, and program management considerations.

MRSPP Completion Instructions

The EHE site scores and rankings are calculated in Tables 1 through 10. The CHE site scores and rankings are calculated in Tables 11 through 20. The HHE site scores and rankings are calculated in Tables 21 through 28. The overall Site Priority, based on the three hazard evaluations (EHE, CHE, and HHE), is calculated in Table 29.

In addition to numerical rankings, the following alternative module ratings are also available: A site is rated “Evaluation Pending” when more information is needed to score one or more data elements. A site is rated “No Longer Required” when cleanup has been completed and/or contamination otherwise addressed. A site is rated “No Known or Suspected Hazard” when there is no reason to suspect the munitions hazard was ever present at the site.

NAS PENSACOLA UXO-0003 MRSPP

ENCLOSURES

- (1) Bronson Field Skeet Range Site Prioritization Protocol
- (2) Bronson Field Pistol Range Site Prioritization Protocol

NAS PENSACOLA UXO-0003 MRSPP

ENCLOSURE 1

BRONSON FIELD SKEET RANGE SITE PRIORITIZATION PROTOCOL

Installation:	<u>NAS Pensacola</u>	Overall Site	<u> 5 </u>
Site Name:	<u>UXO-0001/Bronson Field Skeet Range</u>	EHE Module Priority:	<u>No Known or Suspected EHE Hazard</u>
Date Completed:	<u>01/07/2013</u>	CHE Module Priority:	<u>No Known or Suspected CWM Hazard</u>
Completed By:	<u>John Schoolfield, PE NAVFAC</u>	HHE Module Priority:	<u> 5 </u>

NAS PENSACOLA UXO-0003 MRSPP

Table 1
EHE Module: Munitions Type Data Element Table

DIRECTIONS: Below are 11 classifications of munitions and their descriptions. Circle the scores that correspond with all the munitions types known or suspected to be present at the MRS.
Note: The terms *practice munitions*, *small arms ammunition*, *physical evidence*, and *historical evidence* are defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

Classification	Description	Score
Sensitive	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ UXO that are considered most likely to function upon any interaction with exposed persons (e.g., submunitions, 40mm high-explosive [HE] grenades, white phosphorus [WP] munitions, high explosive antitank [HEAT] munitions, and practice munitions with sensitive fuzes, but excluding all other practice munitions). ◆ Hand grenades containing energetic filler. ◆ Bulk primary explosives, or mixtures of these with environmental media, such that the mixture poses an explosive hazard. 	30
High explosive (used or damaged)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ UXO containing a high-explosive filler (e.g., RDX, Composition B), that are not considered "sensitive." ◆ DMM containing a high-explosive filler that have: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Been damaged by burning or detonation ▪ Deteriorated to the point of instability. 	25
Pyrotechnic (used or damaged)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ UXO containing a pyrotechnic filler other than white phosphorus (e.g., flares, signals, simulators, smoke grenades). ◆ DMM containing a pyrotechnic filler other than white phosphorus (e.g., flares, signals, simulators, smoke grenades) that have: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Been damaged by burning or detonation ▪ Deteriorated to the point of instability. 	20
High explosive (unused)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ DMM containing a high-explosive filler that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Have not been damaged by burning or detonation ▪ Are not deteriorated to the point of instability. 	15
Propellant	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ UXO containing mostly single-, double-, or triple-based propellant, or composite propellants (e.g., a rocket motor). ◆ DMM containing mostly single-, double-, or triple-based propellant, or composite propellants (e.g., a rocket motor) that are: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Damaged by burning or detonation ▪ Deteriorated to the point of instability. 	15
Bulk secondary high explosives, pyrotechnics, or propellant	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ DMM containing mostly single-, double-, or triple-based propellant, or composite propellants (e.g., a rocket motor). ◆ DMM that are bulk secondary high explosives, pyrotechnic compositions, or propellant (not contained in a munition), or mixtures of these with environmental media such that the mixture poses an explosive hazard. 	10
Pyrotechnic (not used or damaged)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ DMM containing a pyrotechnic filler (i.e., red phosphorus), other than white phosphorus filler, that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Have not been damaged by burning or detonation ▪ Are not deteriorated to the point of instability. 	10
Practice	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ UXO that are practice munitions that are not associated with a sensitive fuze. ◆ DMM that are practice munitions that are not associated with a sensitive fuze and that have not: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Been damaged by burning or detonation ▪ Deteriorated to the point of instability. 	5
Riot control	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ UXO or DMM containing a riot control agent filler (e.g., tear gas). 	3
Small arms	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Used munitions or DMM that are categorized as small arms ammunition. (Physical evidence or historical evidence that no other types of munitions [e.g., grenades, subcaliber training rockets, demolition charges] were used or are present on the MRS is required for selection of this category.) 	2
Evidence of no munitions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Following investigation of the MRS, there is physical evidence that there are no UXO or DMM present, or there is historical evidence indicating that no UXO or DMM are present. 	0
MUNITIONS TYPE	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single highest score</u> from above in the box to the right (maximum score = 30).	2

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS-specific data used in selecting the *Munitions Type* classifications in the space provided.
Basis: August 2010 SI Report

Table 2
EHE Module: Source of Hazard Data Element Table

DIRECTIONS: Below are 11 classifications describing sources of explosive hazards. Circle the scores that correspond with **all** the sources of explosive hazards known or suspected to be present at the MRS.

Note: The terms *former range*, *practice munitions*, *small arms range*, *physical evidence*, and *historical evidence* are defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

Classification	Description	Score
Former range	◆ The MRS is a former military range where munitions (including practice munitions with sensitive fuzes) have been used. Such areas include impact or target areas and associated buffer and safety zones.	10
Former munitions treatment (i.e., OB/OD) unit	◆ The MRS is a location where UXO or DMM (e.g., munitions, bulk explosives, bulk pyrotechnic, or bulk propellants) were burned or detonated for the purpose of treatment prior to disposal.	8
Former practice munitions range	◆ The MRS is a former military range on which only practice munitions without sensitive fuzes were used.	6
Former maneuver area	◆ The MRS is a former maneuver area where no munitions other than flares, simulators, smokes, and blanks were used. There must be evidence that no other munitions were used at the location to place an MRS into this category	5
Former burial pit or other disposal area	◆ The MRS is a location where DMM were buried or disposed of (e.g., disposed of into a water body) without prior thermal treatment.	5
Former industrial operating facilities	◆ The MRS is a location that is a former munitions maintenance, manufacturing, or demilitarization facility.	4
Former firing points	◆ The MRS is a firing point, where the firing point is delineated as an MRS separate from the rest of a former military range.	4
Former missile or air defense artillery emplacements	◆ The MRS is a former missile defense or air defense artillery (ADA) emplacement not associated with a military range.	2
Former storage or transfer points	◆ The MRS is a location where munitions were stored or handled for transfer between different modes of transportation (e.g., rail to truck, truck to weapon system).	2
Former small arms range	◆ The MRS is a former military range where only small arms ammunition was used. (There must be evidence that no other types of munitions [e.g., grenades] were used or are present to place an MRS into this category.)	1
Evidence of no munitions	◆ Following investigation of the MRS, there is physical evidence that no UXO or DMM are present, or there is historical evidence indicating that no UXO or DMM are present.	0
SOURCE OF HAZARD	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest score from above in the box to the right (maximum score = 10).	1

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS-specific data used in selecting the **Source of Hazard** classifications in the space provided.

Basis: August 2010 SI Report

Table 3
EHE Module: Location of Munitions Data Element Table

DIRECTIONS: Below are eight classifications of munitions locations and their descriptions. Circle the scores that correspond with **all** the locations where munitions are known or suspected to be present at the MRS.

Note: The terms *confirmed*, *surface*, *subsurface*, *small arms ammunition*, *physical evidence*, and *historical evidence* are defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

Classification	Description	Score
Confirmed surface	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Physical evidence indicates that there are UXO or DMM on the surface of the MRS. ◆ Historical evidence (i.e., a confirmed report such as an explosive ordnance disposal [EOD], police, or fire department report that an incident or accident that involved UXO or DMM occurred) indicates there are UXO or DMM on the surface of the MRS. 	25
Confirmed subsurface, active	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Physical evidence indicates the presence of UXO or DMM in the subsurface of the MRS, and the geological conditions at the MRS are likely to cause UXO or DMM to be exposed, in the future, by naturally occurring phenomena (e.g., drought, flooding, erosion, frost heave, tidal action), or intrusive activities (e.g., plowing, construction, dredging) at the MRS are likely to expose UXO or DMM. ◆ Historical evidence indicates that UXO or DMM are located in the subsurface of the MRS and the geological conditions at the MRS are likely to cause UXO or DMM to be exposed, in the future, by naturally occurring phenomena (e.g., drought, flooding, erosion, frost heave, tidal action), or intrusive activities (e.g., plowing, construction, dredging) at the MRS are likely to expose UXO or DMM. 	20
Confirmed subsurface, stable	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Physical evidence indicates the presence of UXO or DMM in the subsurface of the MRS and the geological conditions at the MRS are not likely to cause UXO or DMM to be exposed, in the future, by naturally occurring phenomena, or intrusive activities at the MRS are not likely to cause UXO or DMM to be exposed. ◆ Historical evidence indicates that UXO or DMM are located in the subsurface of the MRS and the geological conditions at the MRS are not likely to cause UXO or DMM to be exposed, in the future, by naturally occurring phenomena, or intrusive activities at the MRS are not likely to cause UXO or DMM to be exposed. 	15
Suspected (physical evidence)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ There is physical evidence (e.g., munitions debris such as fragments, penetrators, projectiles, shell casings, links, fins), other than the documented presence of UXO or DMM, indicating that UXO or DMM may be present at the MRS. 	10
Suspected (historical evidence)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ There is historical evidence indicating that UXO or DMM may be present at the MRS. 	5
Subsurface, physical constraint	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ There is physical or historical evidence indicating that UXO or DMM may be present in the subsurface, but there is a physical constraint (e.g., pavement, water depth over 120 feet) preventing direct access to the UXO or DMM. 	2
Small arms (regardless of location)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ The presence of small arms ammunition is confirmed or suspected, regardless of other factors such as geological stability. (There must be evidence that no other types of munitions [e.g., grenades] were used or are present at the MRS to place an MRS into this category.) 	1
Evidence of no munitions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Following investigation of the MRS, there is physical evidence that there are no UXO or DMM present, or there is historical evidence indicating that no UXO or DMM are present. 	0
LOCATION OF MUNITIONS	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest score from above in the box to the right (maximum score = 25).	1

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS-specific data used in selecting the *Location of Munitions* classifications in the space provided.

Basis: August 2010 SI Report

Table 4
EHE Module: Ease of Access Data Element Table

DIRECTIONS: Below are four classifications of barrier types that can surround an MRS and their descriptions. The barrier type is directly related to the ease of public access to the MRS. Circle the score that corresponds with the ease of access to the MRS.

Note: The term *barrier* is defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

Classification	Description	Score
No barrier	◆ There is no barrier preventing access to any part of the MRS (i.e., all parts of the MRS are accessible).	10
Barrier to MRS access is incomplete	◆ There is a barrier preventing access to parts of the MRS, but not the entire MRS.	8
Barrier to MRS access is complete but not monitored	◆ There is a barrier preventing access to all parts of the MRS, but there is no surveillance (e.g., by a guard) to ensure that the barrier is effectively preventing access to all parts of the MRS.	5
Barrier to MRS access is complete and monitored	◆ There is a barrier preventing access to all parts of the MRS, and there is active, continual surveillance (e.g., by a guard, video monitoring) to ensure that the barrier is effectively preventing access to all parts of the MRS.	0
EASE OF ACCESS	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest score from above in the box to the right (maximum score = 10).	10

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS-specific data used in selecting the *Ease of Access* classification in the space provided.

Basis: August 2010 SI Report. Guarded entrance gates limit access to NAS Pensacola; however, any Navy personnel or authorized visitor who has access through the main installation gates can access the MRP site once inside the facility. The former skeet range is located within the area of a former airstrip which is currently used by recreational model airplane groups. Access to the site is not limited.

Table 5
EHE Module: Status of Property Data Element Table

DIRECTIONS: Below are three classifications of the status of a property within the Department of Defense (DoD) and their descriptions. Circle the score that corresponds with the status of property at the MRS.

Classification	Description	Score
Non-DoD control	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ The MRS is at a location that is no longer owned by, leased to, or otherwise possessed or used by DoD. Examples are privately owned land or water bodies; land or water bodies owned or controlled by state, tribal, or local governments; and land or water bodies managed by other federal agencies. ◆ The MRS is at a location that is owned by DoD, but that DoD has leased to another entity and for which DoD does not control access 24 hours per day. 	5
Scheduled for transfer from DoD control	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ The MRS is on land or is a water body that is owned, leased, or otherwise possessed by DoD, and DoD plans to transfer that land or water body to the control of another entity (e.g., a state, tribal, or local government; a private party; another federal agency) within 3 years from the date the Protocol is applied. 	3
DoD control	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ The MRS is on land or is a water body that is owned, leased, or otherwise possessed by DoD. With respect to property that is leased or otherwise possessed, DoD must control access to the MRS 24 hours per day, every day of the calendar year. 	0
STATUS OF PROPERTY	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest score from above in the box to the right (maximum score = 5).	5

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS-specific data used in selecting the *Status of Property* classification in the space provided.

Basis: August 2010 SI Report. The former skeet range is located within the area of a former airstrip which is currently used by recreational model airplane groups.

Table 6
EHE Module: Population Density Data Element Table

DIRECTIONS: Below are three classifications for population density and their descriptions. Determine the population density per square mile that most closely corresponds with the population of the MRS, including the area within a two-mile radius of the MRS's perimeter. Circle the most appropriate score.

Note: Use the U.S. Census Bureau tract data available to capture the **highest** population density within a two-mile radius of the perimeter of the MRS.

Classification	Description	Score
> 500 persons per square mile	◆ There are more than 500 persons per square mile in the U.S. Census Bureau tract in which the MRS is located.	5
100–500 persons per square mile	◆ There are 100 to 500 persons per square mile in the U.S. Census Bureau tract in which the MRS is located.	3
< 100 persons per square mile	◆ There are fewer than 100 persons per square mile in the U.S. Census Bureau tract in which the MRS is located.	1
POPULATION DENSITY	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest score from above in the box to the right (maximum score = 5).	5

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS-specific data used in selecting the **Population Density** classification in the space provided. <http://www.americantowns.com/in/crane-information#data>

Basis: <http://quickfacts.census.gov/qfd/states/12/1255925.html> for Pensacola, Florida. Based on the census of 2000, the population density of Pensacola was approximately 2,478 persons per square mile.

Table 7

EHE Module: Population Near Hazard Data Element Table

DIRECTIONS: Below are six classifications describing the number of inhabited structures near the MRS. The number of inhabited buildings relates to the potential population near the MRS. Determine the number of inhabited structures within two miles of the MRS boundary and circle the score that corresponds with the number of inhabited structures.

Note: The term *inhabited structures* is defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

Classification	Description	Score
26 or more inhabited structures	◆ There are 26 or more inhabited structures located up to 2 miles from the boundary of the MRS, within the boundary of the MRS, or both.	5
16 to 25 inhabited structures	◆ There are 16 to 25 inhabited structures located up to 2 miles from the boundary of the MRS, within the boundary of the MRS, or both.	4
11 to 15 inhabited structures	◆ There are 11 to 15 inhabited structures located up to 2 miles from the boundary of the MRS, within the boundary of the MRS, or both.	3
6 to 10 inhabited structures	◆ There are 6 to 10 inhabited structures located up to 2 miles from the boundary of the MRS, within the boundary of the MRS, or both.	2
1 to 5 inhabited structures	◆ There are 1 to 5 inhabited structures located up to 2 miles from the boundary of the MRS, within the boundary of the MRS, or both.	1
0 inhabited structures	◆ There are no inhabited structures located up to 2 miles from the boundary of the MRS, within the boundary of the MRS, or both.	0
POPULATION NEAR HAZARD	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest score from above in the box to the right (maximum score = 5).	5

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS-specific data used in selecting the *Population Near Hazard* classification in the space provided.

Basis: Google Earth and first-hand knowledge of the site.

Table 8

EHE Module: Types of Activities/Structures Data Element Table

DIRECTIONS: Below are five classifications of activities and/or inhabited structures and their descriptions. Review the types of activities that occur and/or structures that are present within two miles of the MRS and circle the scores that correspond with **all** the activities/structure classifications at the MRS.

Note: The term *inhabited structure* is defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

Classification	Description	Score
Residential, educational, commercial, or subsistence	◆ Activities are conducted, or inhabited structures are located up to two miles from the MRS's boundary or within the MRS's boundary, that are associated with any of the following purposes: residential, educational, child care, critical assets (e.g., hospitals, fire and rescue, police stations, dams), hotels, commercial, shopping centers, playgrounds, community gathering areas, religious sites, or sites used for subsistence hunting, fishing, and gathering.	5
Parks and recreational areas	◆ Activities are conducted, or inhabited structures are located up to two miles from the MRS's boundary or within the MRS's boundary, that are associated with parks, nature preserves, or other recreational uses.	4
Agricultural, forestry	◆ Activities are conducted, or inhabited structures are located up to two miles from the MRS's boundary or within the MRS's boundary, that are associated with agriculture or forestry.	3
Industrial or warehousing	◆ Activities are conducted, or inhabited structures are located up to two miles from the MRS's boundary or within the MRS's boundary, that are associated with industrial activities or warehousing.	2
No known or recurring activities	◆ There are no known or recurring activities occurring up to two miles from the MRS's boundary or within the MRS's boundary.	1
TYPES OF ACTIVITIES/STRUCTURES	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single highest score</u> from above in the box to the right (maximum score = 5).	5

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS-specific data used in selecting the *Types of Activities/Structures* classification in the space provided.

Basis: Google Earth and first-hand knowledge of the site.

Table 9

EHE Module: Ecological and/or Cultural Resources Data Element Table

DIRECTIONS: Below are four classifications of ecological and/or cultural resources and their descriptions. Review the types of resources present and circle the score that corresponds with the ecological and/or cultural resources present on the MRS.

Note: The terms *ecological resources* and *cultural resources* are defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

Classification	Description	Score
Ecological and cultural resources present	♦ There are both ecological and cultural resources present on the MRS.	5
Ecological resources present	♦ There are ecological resources present on the MRS.	3
Cultural resources present	♦ There are cultural resources present on the MRS.	3
No ecological or cultural resources present	♦ There are no ecological resources or cultural resources present on the MRS.	0
ECOLOGICAL AND/OR CULTURAL RESOURCES	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single highest score</u> from above in the box to the right (maximum score = 5).	3

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS-specific data used in selecting the *Ecological and/or Cultural Resources* classification in the space provided.

Basis: September 2010 SI Report. Wetlands are located in the central portion of the MRS. State and federal endangered or protected species observed on-site include the carnivorous White Top Pitcher Plant and the Eastern Black Bear.

Table 10
Determining the EHE Module Rating

Source Score Value

DIRECTIONS:

1. From Tables 1–9, record the data element scores in the **Score** boxes to the right.
2. Add the **Score** boxes for each of the three factors and record this number in the **Value** boxes to the right.
3. Add the three **Value** boxes and record this number in the **EHE Module Total** box below.
4. Circle the appropriate range for the **EHE Module Total** below.
5. Circle the **EHE Module Rating** that corresponds to the range selected and record this value in the **EHE Module Rating** box found at the bottom of the table.

Note:

An alternative module rating may be assigned when a module letter rating is inappropriate. An alternative module rating is used when more information is needed to score one or more data elements, contamination at an MRS was previously addressed, or there is no reason to suspect contamination was ever present at an MRS.

Explosive Hazard Factor Data Element

Munitions Type	Table 1	2	3
Source of Hazard	Table 2	1	

Accessibility Factor Data Element

Location of Munitions	Table 3	1	11
Ease of Access	Table 4	10	
Status of Property	Table 5	0	

Receptor Factor Data Element

Population Density	Table 6	5	18
Population Near Hazard	Table 7	5	
Types of Activities/Structures	Table 8	5	
Ecological and/or Cultural Resources	Table 9	3	

EHE MODULE TOTAL 32

EHE Module Total	EHE Module Rating
-------------------------	--------------------------

92 to 100	A
82 to 91	B
71 to 81	C
60 to 70	D
48 to 59	E
38 to 47	F
less than 38	G

Alternative Module Ratings

Evaluation Pending

No Longer Required

No Known or Suspected Explosive Hazard

EHE MODULE RATING

No Known or Suspected Explosive Hazard

Table 11
CHE Module: CWM Configuration Data Element Table

DIRECTIONS: Below are seven classifications of CWM configuration and their descriptions. Circle the scores that correspond with **all** the CWM configurations known or suspected to be present at the MRS.

Note: The terms *CWM/UXO*, *CWM/DMM*, *physical evidence*, and *historical evidence* are defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

Classification	Description	Score
CWM, that are either UXO, or explosively configured damaged DMM	The CWM known or suspected of being present at the MRS are: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ CWM that are UXO (i.e., CWM/UXO) ◆ Explosively configured CWM that are DMM (i.e., CWM/DMM) that have been damaged. 	30
CWM mixed with UXO	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ The CWM known or suspected of being present at the MRS are undamaged CWM/DMM or CWM not configured as a munitions that are commingled with conventional munitions that are UXO. 	25
CWM, explosive configuration that are undamaged DMM	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ The CWM known or suspected of being present at the MRS are explosively configured CWM/DMM that have not been damaged. 	20
CWM/DMM, not explosively configured or CWM, bulk container	The CWM known or suspected of being present at the MRS are: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Nonexplosively configured CWM/DMM either damaged or undamaged ◆ Bulk CWM (e.g., ton container). 	15
CAIS K941 and CAIS K942	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ The CWM/DMM known or suspected of being present at the MRS are CAIS K941-toxic gas set M-1 or CAIS K942-toxic gas set M-2/E11. 	12
CAIS (chemical agent identification sets)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ CAIS, other than CAIS K941 and K942, are known or suspected of being present at the MRS. 	10
Evidence of no CWM	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Following investigation, the physical evidence indicates that CWM are not present at the MRS, or the historical evidence indicates that CWM are not present at the MRS. 	0
CWM CONFIGURATION	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest score from above in the box to the right (maximum score = 30).	N/A*

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS-specific data used in selecting the **CWM Configuration** classifications in the space provided.

***Not Scored. No Known or Suspected CWM Hazard**

See Table 20 (Determining the CHE Module Rating) for Alternative Module Rating

Table 12
CHE Module: Sources of CWM Data Element Table

DIRECTIONS: Below are 11 sources of CWM hazards and their descriptions. Review these classifications and circle the scores that correspond with **all** the sources of CWM hazards known or suspected to be present at the MRS.

Note: The terms *CWM/UXO*, *CWM/DMM*, *CAIS/DMM*, *surface*, *subsurface*, *physical evidence*, and *historical evidence* are defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

Classification	Description	Score
Live-fire involving CWM	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ The MRS is a former military range that supported live-fire of explosively configured CWM and the CWM/UXO are known or suspected of being present on the surface or in the subsurface. ◆ The MRS is a former military range that supported live-fire with conventional munitions, and CWM/DMM are on the surface or in the subsurface commingled with conventional munitions that are UXO. 	10
Damaged CWM/DMM surface or subsurface	◆ There are damaged CWM/DMM on the surface or in the subsurface at the MRS.	10
Undamaged CWM/DMM surface	◆ There are undamaged CWM/DMM on the surface at the MRS.	10
CAIS/DMM surface	◆ There are CAIS/DMM on the surface.	10
Undamaged CWM/DMM, Subsurface	◆ There are undamaged CWM/DMM in the subsurface at the MRS.	5
CAIS/DMM subsurface	◆ There are CAIS/DMM in the subsurface at the MRS.	5
Former CA or CWM Production Facilities	◆ The MRS is a facility that formerly engaged in production of CA or CWM, and CWM/DMM is suspected of being present on the surface or in the subsurface.	3
Former Research, Development, Testing, and Evaluation (RDT&E) facility using CWM	◆ The MRS is at a facility that formerly was involved in non-live-fire RDT&E activities (including static testing) involving CWM, and there are CWM/DMM suspected of being present on the surface or in the subsurface.	3
Former Training Facility using CWM or CAIS	◆ The MRS is a location that formerly was involved in training activities involving CWM and/or CAIS (e.g., training in recognition of CWM, decontamination training) and WM/DMM or CAIS/DMM are suspected of being present on the surface or in the subsurface.	2
Former Storage or Transfer points of CWM	◆ The MRS is a former storage facility or transfer point (e.g., intermodal transfer) for CWM.	1
Evidence of no CWM	◆ Following investigation, the physical evidence indicates that CWM are not present at the MRS, or the historical evidence indicates that CWM are not present at the MRS.	0
SOURCES OF CWM	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest score from above in the box to the right (maximum score = 10).	N/A*

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS-specific data used in selecting the **Source of CWM** classifications in the space provided.

***Not Scored. No Known or Suspected CWM Hazard**

See Table 20 (Determining the CHE Module Rating) for Alternative Module Rating

Table 13
CHE Module: Location of CWM Data Element Table

DIRECTIONS: Below are seven classifications of CWM locations and their descriptions. Review these locations and circle the scores that correspond with **all** the locations where CWM are known or suspected of being found at the MRS.

Note: The terms *confirmed*, *surface*, *subsurface*, *physical evidence*, and *historical evidence* are defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

Classification	Description	Score
Confirmed surface	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Physical evidence indicates that there are CWM on the surface of the MRS. ◆ Historical evidence (i.e., a confirmed report such as an explosive ordnance disposal [EOD], police, or fire department report, that an incident or accident that involved CWM, regardless of configuration, occurred) indicates there are CWM on the surface of the MRS. 	25
Confirmed subsurface, active	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Physical evidence indicates the presence of CWM in the subsurface of the MRS and the geological conditions at the MRS are likely to cause CWM to be exposed, in the future, by naturally occurring phenomena (e.g., drought, flooding, erosion, frost heave, tidal action), or intrusive activities (e.g., plowing, construction, dredging) at the MRS are likely to expose CWM. ◆ Historical evidence indicates that CWM are located in the subsurface of the MRS and the geological conditions at the MRS are likely to cause CWM to be exposed, in the future, by naturally occurring phenomena (e.g., drought, flooding, erosion, frost heave, tidal action), or intrusive activities (e.g., plowing, construction, dredging) at the MRS are likely to expose CWM. 	20
Confirmed subsurface, stable	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Physical evidence indicates the presence of CWM in the subsurface of the MRS and the geological conditions at the MRS are not likely to cause CWM to be exposed, in the future, by naturally occurring phenomena, or intrusive activities at the MRS are not likely to cause CWM to be exposed. ◆ Historical evidence indicates that CWM are located in the subsurface of the MRS and the geological conditions at the MRS are not likely to cause CWM to be exposed, in the future, by naturally occurring phenomena, or intrusive activities at the MRS are not likely to cause CWM to be exposed. 	15
Suspected (physical evidence)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ There is physical evidence, other than the documented presence of CWM, indicating that CWM may be present at the MRS. 	10
Suspected (historical evidence)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ There is historical evidence indicating that CWM may be present at the MRS. 	5
Subsurface, physical constraint	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ There is physical or historical evidence indicating that CWM may be present in the subsurface, but there is a physical constraint (e.g., pavement, water depth over 120 feet) preventing direct access to the CWM. 	2
Evidence of no CWM	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Following investigation of the MRS, there is physical evidence that there is no CWM present or there is historical evidence indicating that no CWM are present. 	0
LOCATION OF CWM	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest score from above in the box to the right (maximum score = 25).	N/A*

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS-specific data used in selecting the **Location of CWM** classifications in the space provided.

***Not Scored. No Known or Suspected CWM Hazard**

See Table 20 (Determining the CHE Module Rating) for Alternative Module Rating

Table 18

CHE Module: Types of Activities/Structures Data Element Table

DIRECTIONS: Below are five classifications of activities and/or inhabited structures and their descriptions. Review the types of activities that occur and/or structures that are present within two miles of the MRS and circle the scores that correspond with **all** the activities/structures classifications at the MRS.

Note: The term *inhabited structures* is defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

Classification	Description	Score
Residential, educational, commercial, or subsistence	◆ Activities are conducted, or inhabited structures are located up to two miles from the MRS's boundary or within the MRS's boundary, that are associated with any of the following purposes: residential, educational, child care, critical assets (e.g., hospitals, fire and rescue, police stations, dams), hotels, commercial, shopping centers, playgrounds, community gathering areas, religious sites, or sites used for subsistence hunting, fishing, and gathering.	5
Parks and recreational areas	◆ Activities are conducted, or inhabited structures are located up to two miles from the MRS's boundary or within the MRS's boundary, that are associated with parks, nature preserves, or other recreational uses.	4
Agricultural, forestry	◆ Activities are conducted, or inhabited structures are located up to two miles from the MRS's boundary or within the MRS's boundary, that are associated with agriculture or forestry.	3
Industrial or warehousing	◆ Activities are conducted, or inhabited structures are located up to two miles from the MRS's boundary or within the MRS's boundary, that are associated with industrial activities or warehousing.	2
No known or recurring activities	◆ There are no known of recurring activities occurring up to two miles from the MRS's boundary or within the MRS's boundary.	1
TYPES OF ACTIVITIES/STRUCTURES	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single highest score</u> from above in the box to the right (maximum score = 5).	N/A*

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS-specific data used in selecting the *Types of Activities/Structures* classification in the space provided.

***Not Scored. No Known or Suspected CWM Hazard**

See Table 20 (Determining the CHE Module Rating) for Alternative Module Rating

Table 19

CHE Module: Ecological and/or Cultural Resources Data Element Table

DIRECTIONS: Below are four classifications of ecological and/or cultural resources and their descriptions. Review the types of resources present and circle the score that corresponds with the ecological and/or cultural resources present on the MRS.

Note: The terms *ecological resources* and *cultural resources* are defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

Classification	Description	Score
Ecological and cultural resources present	◆ There are both ecological and cultural resources present on the MRS.	5
Ecological resources present	◆ There are ecological resources present on the MRS.	3
Cultural resources present	◆ There are cultural resources present on the MRS.	3
No ecological or cultural resources present	◆ There are no ecological resources or cultural resources present on the MRS.	0
ECOLOGICAL AND/OR CULTURAL RESOURCES	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single highest score</u> from above in the box to the right (maximum score = 5).	N/A*

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS-specific data used in selecting the *Ecological and/or Cultural Resources* classification in the space provided.

***Not Scored. No Known or Suspected CWM Hazard**

See Table 20 (Determining the CHE Module Rating) for Alternative Module Rating

Table 20
Determining the CHE Module Rating

	Source	Score	Value
DIRECTIONS:	CWM Hazard Factor Data Elements		
	CWM Configuration	Table 11	
	Sources of CWM	Table 12	
	Accessibility Factor Data Element		
	Location of CWM	Table 13	
	Ease of Access	Table 14	
	Status of Property	Table 15	
	Receptor Factor Data Element		
	Population Density	Table 16	
	Population Near Hazard	Table 17	
Types of Activities/Structures	Table 18		
Ecological and/or Cultural Resources	Table 19		
CHE MODULE TOTAL			
	CHE Module Total	CHE Module Rating	
	92 to 100	A	
	82 to 91	B	
	71 to 81	C	
	60 to 70	D	
	48 to 59	E	
	38 to 47	F	
	less than 38	G	
Note: An alternative module rating may be assigned when a module letter rating is inappropriate. An alternative module rating is used when more information is needed to score one or more data elements, contamination at an MRS was previously addressed, or there is no reason to suspect contamination was ever present at an MRS.	Alternative Module Ratings	Evaluation Pending	
		No Longer Required	
		No Known or Suspected CWM Hazard	
	CHE MODULE RATING	No Known or Suspected CWM Hazard	

Table 21

HHE Module: Groundwater Data Element Table Contaminant Hazard Factor (CHF)

DIRECTIONS: Record the **maximum concentrations** of all contaminants in the MRS's groundwater and their **comparison values** (from Appendix B of the Primer) in the table below. Additional contaminants can be recorded on Table 27. Calculate and record the ratios for each contaminant by dividing the **maximum concentration** by the **comparison value**. Determine the **CHF** by adding the contaminant **ratios** together, including any additional groundwater contaminants recorded on Table 27. Based on the **CHF**, use the **CHF Scale** to determine and record the **CHF Value**. If there is no known or suspected MC hazard present in the groundwater, select the box at the bottom of the table.

Contaminant	Maximum Concentration (µg/L)	Comparison Value (µg/L)	Ratios
copper	2.32	1500	0.0015
lead	1	15	0.0667
zinc	9.71	11000	0.00088
NA	NA	NA	0
NA	NA	NA	0
CHF Scale	CHF Value	Sum The Ratios	0.069
CHF > 100	H (High)	$CHF = \sum \frac{[\text{Maximum Concentration of Contaminant}]}{[\text{Comparison Value for Contaminant}]}$	
100 > CHF > 2	M (Medium)		
2 > CHF	L (Low)		
CONTAMINANT HAZARD FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the CHF Value from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).		L

Migratory Pathway Factor

DIRECTIONS: Circle the value that corresponds most closely to the groundwater migratory pathway at the MRS.

Classification	Description	Value
Evident	Analytical data or observable evidence indicates that contamination in the groundwater is present at, moving toward, or has moved to a point of exposure.	H
Potential	Contamination in groundwater has moved only slightly beyond the source (i.e., tens of feet), could move but is not moving appreciably, or information is not sufficient to make a determination of Evident or Confined.	M
Confined	Information indicates a low potential for contaminant migration from the source via the groundwater to a potential point of exposure (possibly due to the presence of geological structures or physical controls).	L
MIGRATORY PATHWAY FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest value from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).	L

Receptor Factor

DIRECTIONS: Circle the value that corresponds most closely to the groundwater receptors at the MRS.

Classification	Description	Value
Identified	Identified receptors have access to groundwater to which contamination has moved or can move.	H
Potential	Potential for receptors to have access to groundwater to which contamination has moved or can move.	M
Limited	Little or no potential for receptors to have access to groundwater to which contamination has moved or can move.	L
RECEPTOR FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest value from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).	L

No Known or Suspected Groundwater MC Hazard



Table 22

HHE Module: Surface Water – Human Endpoint Data Element Table

Contaminant Hazard Factor (CHF)

DIRECTIONS: Record the **maximum concentrations** of all contaminants in the MRS's surface water and their **comparison values** (from Appendix B of the Primer) in the table below. Additional contaminants can be recorded on Table 27. Calculate and record the ratios for each contaminant by dividing the **maximum concentration** by the **comparison value**. Determine the **CHF** by adding the contaminant **ratios** together, including any additional surface water contaminants recorded on Table 27. Based on the **CHF**, use the **CHF Scale** to determine and record the **CHF Value**. If there is no known or suspected MC hazard with human endpoints present in the surface water, select the box at the bottom of the table.

Contaminant	Maximum Concentration (µg/L)	Comparison Value (µg/L)	Ratios
NA	NA	NA	0
NA	1	NA	0
NA	NA	NA	0
NA	NA	NA	0
NA	NA	NA	0
CHF Scale	CHF Value	Sum The Ratios	0
CHF > 100	H (High)	$CHF = \sum \frac{[\text{Maximum Concentration of Contaminant}]}{[\text{Comparison Value for Contaminant}]}$	
100 > CHF > 2	M (Medium)		
2 > CHF	L (Low)		

CONTAMINANT HAZARD FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the CHF Value from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).	NA
----------------------------------	--	-----------

Migratory Pathway Factor

DIRECTIONS: Circle the value that corresponds most closely to the surface water migratory pathway at the MRS.

Classification	Description	Value
Evident	Analytical data or observable evidence indicates that contamination in the surface water is present at, moving toward, or has moved to a point of exposure.	H
Potential	Contamination in surface water has moved only slightly beyond the source (i.e., tens of feet), could move but is not moving appreciably, or information is not sufficient to make a determination of Evident or Confined.	M
Confined	Information indicates a low potential for contaminant migration from the source via the surface water to a potential point of exposure (possibly due to the presence of geological structures or physical controls).	L
MIGRATORY PATHWAY FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest value from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).	L

Receptor Factor

DIRECTIONS: Circle the value that corresponds most closely to the surface water receptors at the MRS.

Classification	Description	Value
Identified	Identified receptors have access to surface water to which contamination has moved or can move.	H
Potential	Potential for receptors to have access to surface water to which contamination has moved or can move.	M
Limited	Little or no potential for receptors to have access to surface water to which contamination has moved or can move.	L
RECEPTOR FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest value from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).	L

No Known or Suspected Surface Water MC Hazard



Table 23

HHE Module: Sediment – Human Endpoint Data Element Table Contaminant Hazard Factor (CHF)

DIRECTIONS: Record the **maximum concentrations** of all contaminants in the MRS's sediment and their **comparison values** (from Appendix B of the Primer) in the table below. Additional contaminants can be recorded on Table 27. Calculate and record the ratios for each contaminant by dividing the **maximum concentration** by the **comparison value**. Determine the **CHF** by adding the contaminant **ratios** together, including any additional sediment contaminants recorded on Table 27. Based on the **CHF**, use the **CHF Scale** to determine and record the **CHF Value**. If there is no known or suspected MC hazard with human endpoints present in the sediment, select the box at the bottom of the table.

Contaminant	Maximum Concentration (mg/kg)	Comparison Value (mg/kg)	Ratios
NA	NA	NA	0
NA	1	NA	0
NA	NA	NA	0
NA	NA	NA	0
NA	NA	NA	0
CHF Scale	CHF Value	Sum The Ratios	0
CHF > 100	H (High)	$CHF = \sum \frac{\text{[Maximum Concentration of Contaminant]}}{\text{[Comparison Value for Contaminant]}}$	
100 > CHF > 2	M (Medium)		
2 > CHF	L (Low)		
CONTAMINANT HAZARD FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the CHF Value from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).		NA

Migratory Pathway Factor

DIRECTIONS: Circle the value that corresponds most closely to the sediment migratory pathway at the MRS.

Classification	Description	Value
Evident	Analytical data or observable evidence indicates that contamination in the sediment is present at, moving toward, or has moved to a point of exposure.	H
Potential	Contamination in sediment has moved only slightly beyond the source (i.e., tens of feet), could move but is not moving appreciably, or information is not sufficient to make a determination of Evident or Confined.	M
Confined	Information indicates a low potential for contaminant migration from the source via the sediment to a potential point of exposure (possibly due to the presence of geological structures or physical controls).	L
MIGRATORY PATHWAY FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest value from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).	L

Receptor Factor

DIRECTIONS: Circle the value that corresponds most closely to the sediment receptors at the MRS.

Classification	Description	Value
Identified	Identified receptors have access to sediment to which contamination has moved or can move.	H
Potential	Potential for receptors to have access to sediment to which contamination has moved or can move.	M
Limited	Little or no potential for receptors to have access to sediment to which contamination has moved or can move.	L
RECEPTOR FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest value from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).	L

No Known or Suspected Sediment (Human Endpoint) MC Hazard



Table 24

HHE Module: Surface Water – Ecological Endpoint Data Element Table

Contaminant Hazard Factor (CHF)

DIRECTIONS: Record the **maximum concentrations** of all contaminants in the MRS's surface water and their **comparison values** (from Appendix B of the Primer) in the table below. Additional contaminants can be recorded on Table 27. Calculate and record the ratios for each contaminant by dividing the **maximum concentration** by the **comparison value**. Determine the **CHF** by adding the contaminant **ratios** together, including any additional surface water contaminants recorded on Table 27. Based on the **CHF**, use the **CHF Scale** to determine and record the **CHF Value**. If there is no known or suspected MC hazard with ecological endpoints present in the surface water, select the box at the bottom of the table.

Contaminant	Maximum Concentration (µg/L)	Comparison Value (µg/L)	Ratios
NA	NA	NA	0
NA	1	NA	0
NA	NA	NA	0
NA	NA	NA	0
NA	NA	NA	0
CHF Scale	CHF Value	Sum The Ratios	0
CHF > 100	H (High)	$CHF = \sum \frac{[\text{Maximum Concentration of Contaminant}]}{[\text{Comparison Value for Contaminant}]}$	
100 > CHF > 2	M (Medium)		
2 > CHF	L (Low)		

CONTAMINANT HAZARD FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the CHF Value from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).	NA
----------------------------------	--	-----------

Migratory Pathway Factor

DIRECTIONS: Circle the value that corresponds most closely to the surface water migratory pathway at the MRS.

Classification	Description	Value
Evident	Analytical data or observable evidence indicates that contamination in the surface water is present at, moving toward, or has moved to a point of exposure.	H
Potential	Contamination in surface water has moved only slightly beyond the source (i.e., tens of feet), could move but is not moving appreciably, or information is not sufficient to make a determination of Evident or Confined.	M
Confined	Information indicates a low potential for contaminant migration from the source via the surface water to a potential point of exposure (possibly due to the presence of geological structures or physical controls).	L
MIGRATORY PATHWAY FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest value from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).	L

Receptor Factor

DIRECTIONS: Circle the value that corresponds most closely to the surface water receptors at the MRS.

Classification	Description	Value
Identified	Identified receptors have access to surface water to which contamination has moved or can move.	H
Potential	Potential for receptors to have access to surface water to which contamination has moved or can move.	M
Limited	Little or no potential for receptors to have access to surface water to which contamination has moved or can move.	L
RECEPTOR FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest value from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).	L

No Known or Suspected Surface Water (Ecological Endpoint) MC Hazard



Table 25

HHE Module: Sediment – Ecological Endpoint Data Element Table Contaminant Hazard Factor (CHF)

DIRECTIONS: Record the **maximum concentrations** of all contaminants in the MRS's sediment and their **comparison values** (from Appendix B of the Primer) in the table below. Additional contaminants can be recorded on Table 27. Calculate and record the ratios for each contaminant by dividing the **maximum concentration** by the **comparison value**. Determine the **CHF** by adding the contaminant **ratios** together, including any additional sediment contaminants recorded on Table 27. Based on the **CHF**, use the **CHF Scale** to determine and record the **CHF Value**. If there is no known or suspected MC hazard with ecological endpoints present in the sediment, select the box at the bottom of the table.

Contaminant	Maximum Concentration (mg/kg)	Comparison Value (mg/kg)	Ratios
NA	NA	NA	0
NA	1	NA	0
NA	NA	NA	0
NA	NA	NA	0
NA	NA	NA	0
CHF Scale	CHF Value	Sum The Ratios	0
CHF > 100	H (High)	$CHF = \sum \frac{\text{[Maximum Concentration of Contaminant]}}{\text{[Comparison Value for Contaminant]}}$	
100 > CHF > 2	M (Medium)		
2 > CHF	L (Low)		
CONTAMINANT HAZARD FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the CHF Value from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).		NA

Migratory Pathway Factor

DIRECTIONS: Circle the value that corresponds most closely to the sediment migratory pathway at the MRS.

Classification	Description	Value
Evident	Analytical data or observable evidence indicates that contamination in the sediment is present at, moving toward, or has moved to a point of exposure.	H
Potential	Contamination in sediment has moved only slightly beyond the source (i.e., tens of feet), could move but is not moving appreciably, or information is not sufficient to make a determination of Evident or Confined.	M
Confined	Information indicates a low potential for contaminant migration from the source via the sediment to a potential point of exposure (possibly due to the presence of geological structures or physical controls).	L
MIGRATORY PATHWAY FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest value from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).	L

Receptor Factor

DIRECTIONS: Circle the value that corresponds most closely to the sediment receptors at the MRS.

Classification	Description	Value
Identified	Identified receptors have access to sediment to which contamination has moved or can move.	H
Potential	Potential for receptors to have access to sediment to which contamination has moved or can move.	M
Limited	Little or no potential for receptors to have access to sediment to which contamination has moved or can move.	L
RECEPTOR FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest value from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).	L

No Known or Suspected Sediment (Ecological Endpoint) MC Hazard

X

Table 26

HHE Module: Surface Soil Data Element Table

Contaminant Hazard Factor (CHF)

DIRECTIONS: Record the **maximum concentrations** of all contaminants in the MRS's surface soil and their **comparison values** (from Appendix B of the Primer) in the table below. Additional contaminants can be recorded on Table 27. Calculate and record the ratios for each contaminant by dividing the **maximum concentration** by the **comparison value**. Determine the **CHF** by adding the contaminant **ratios** together, including any additional surface soil contaminants recorded on Table 27. Based on the **CHF**, use the **CHF Scale** to determine and record the **CHF Value**. If there is no known or suspected MC hazard with ecological endpoints present in the surface soil, select the box at the bottom of the table.

Contaminant	Maximum Concentration (mg/kg)	Comparison Value (mg/kg)	Ratios
antimony	2.77	31	0.089
arsenic	1	22	0.045
copper	12.1	3100	0.004
lead	3709.67	400	9.27
CHF Scale	CHF Value	Sum The Ratios	
CHF > 100	H (High)	$CHF = \sum \frac{[\text{Maximum Concentration of Contaminant}]}{[\text{Comparison Value for Contaminant}]}$	
100 > CHF > 2	M (Medium)		
2 > CHF	L (Low)		
CONTAMINANT HAZARD FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the CHF Value from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).		M
<u>Migratory Pathway Factor</u>			
DIRECTIONS: Circle the value that corresponds most closely to the surface soil migratory pathway at the MRS.			
Classification	Description		Value
Evident	Analytical data or observable evidence indicates that contamination in the surface soil is present at, moving toward, or has moved to a point of exposure.		H
Potential	Contamination in surface soil has moved only slightly beyond the source (i.e., tens of feet), could move but is not moving appreciably, or information is not sufficient to make a determination of Evident or Confined.		M
Confined	Information indicates a low potential for contaminant migration from the source via the surface soil to a potential point of exposure (possibly due to the presence of geological structures or physical controls).		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> L
MIGRATORY PATHWAY FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest value from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).		L
<u>Receptor Factor</u>			
DIRECTIONS: Circle the value that corresponds most closely to the surface soil receptors at the MRS.			
Classification	Description		Value
Identified	Identified receptors have access to surface soil to which contamination has moved or can move.		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> H
Potential	Potential for receptors to have access to surface soil to which contamination has moved or can move.		M
Limited	Little or no potential for receptors to have access to surface soil to which contamination has moved or can move.		L
RECEPTOR FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest value from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).		H
No Known or Suspected Surface Soil MC Hazard			<input type="checkbox"/>

Table 28

Determining the HHE Rating

DIRECTIONS:

1. Record the letter values (H, M, L) for the **Contaminant Hazard, Migration Pathway, and Receptor Factors** for the media (from Tables 21–26) in the corresponding boxes below.
2. Record the media's three-letter combinations in the **Three-Letter Combination** boxes below (three-letter combinations are arranged from Hs to Ms to Ls).
3. Using the **HHE Ratings** provided below, determine each media's rating (A–G) and record the letter in the corresponding **Media Rating** box below.

Media (Source)	Contaminant Hazard Factor Value	Migratory Pathway Factor Value	Receptor Factor Value	Three-Letter Combination (Hs-Ms-Ls)	Media Rating (A-G)	
Groundwater (Table 21)	L	L	L	LLL	G	
Surface Water/Human Endpoint (Table 22)	0	M	M	N/A	EP	
Sediment/Human Endpoint (Table 23)	0	M	M	N/A	EP	
Surface Water/Ecological Endpoint (Table 24)	0	M	M	N/A	EP	
Sediment/Ecological Endpoint (Table 25)	0	M	M	N/A	EP	
Surface Soil (Table 26)	M	L	H	HML	D	
DIRECTIONS (cont.):					HHE MODULE RATING	D
4. Select the single highest Media Rating (A is highest; G is lowest) and enter the letter in the HHE Module Rating box.					HHE Ratings (for reference only)	
Note: An alternative module rating may be assigned when a module letter rating is inappropriate. An alternative module rating is used when more information is needed to score one or more media, contamination at an MRS was previously addressed, or there is no reason to suspect contamination was ever present at an MRS.					Combination	Rating
					HHH	A
					HHM	B
					HHL	C
					HMM	
					HML	D
					MMM	
					HLL	E
					MML	
					MLL	F
					LLL	G
					Alternative Module Ratings	Evaluation Pending
						No longer Required
						No Known or Suspected MC Hazard

Table 29
MRS Priority

DIRECTIONS: In the chart below, circle the letter **rating** for each module recorded in Table 10 (EHE), Table 20 (CHE), and Table 28 (HHE). Circle the corresponding numerical **priority** for each module. If information to determine the module rating is not available, choose the appropriate alternative module rating. The MRS Priority is the single highest priority; record this relative priority in the **MRS Priority or Alternative MRS Rating** at the bottom of the table.

Note: An MRS assigned Priority 1 has the highest relative priority; an MRS assigned Priority 8 has the lowest relative priority. Only an MRS with CWM known or suspected to be present can be assigned Priority 1; an MRS that has CWM known or suspected to be present cannot be assigned Priority 8.

EHE Rating	Priority	CHE Rating	Priority	HHE Rating	Priority
		A	1		
A	2	B	2	A	2
B	3	C	3	B	3
C	4	D	4	C	4
D	5	E	5	D	5
E	6	F	6	E	6
F	7	G	7	F	7
G	8			G	8
Evaluation Pending		Evaluation Pending		Evaluation Pending	
No Longer Required		No Longer Required		No Longer Required	
No Known or Suspected Explosive Hazard		No Known or Suspected CWM Hazard		No Known or Suspected MC Hazard	
MRS PRIORITY or ALTERNATIVE MRS RATING				5	

Table A MRS Background Information

DIRECTIONS: Record the background information below for the MRS to be evaluated. Much of this information is available from Service and DoD databases. If the MRS is located on a FUDS property, the suitable FUDS property information should be substituted. In the **MRS Summary**, briefly describe the UXO, DMM, or MC that are known or suspected to be present, the exposure setting (the MRS's physical environment), any other incidental nonmunitions-related contaminants (e.g., benzene, trichloroethylene) found at the MRS, and any potentially exposed human and ecological receptors. If possible, include a map of the MRS.

Munitions Response Site Name: Bronson Field Skeet Range

Component: MC

Installation/Property Name: Naval Air Station Pensacola (NAS Pensacola) / Outlying Landing Field Bronson

Location (City, County, State): Pensacola, Escambia County, Florida

Site Name/Project Name (Project No.): Bronson Field Skeet Range

Date Information Entered/Updated: August 7, 2012

Point of Contact (Name/Phone): John Schoolfield/904-542-6418

Project Phase (check only one): _____

<input type="checkbox"/> PA/WAMS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SI	<input type="checkbox"/> RI	<input type="checkbox"/> FS	<input type="checkbox"/> RD
<input type="checkbox"/> RA-C	<input type="checkbox"/> RIP	<input type="checkbox"/> RA-O	<input type="checkbox"/> RC	<input type="checkbox"/> LTM

Media Evaluated (check all that apply): _____

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Groundwater	<input type="checkbox"/> Sediment (human receptor)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil	<input type="checkbox"/> Surface water (ecological receptor)
<input type="checkbox"/> Sediment (ecological receptor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Surface water (human receptor)

MRS Summary:

MRS Description: Describe the munitions-related activities that occurred at the installation, the dates of operation, and the UXO, DMM, or MC known or suspected to be present. When possible, identify munitions, CWM, and MC by type:
The Bronson Field Skeet Range is identified in historical documents and maps from 1943 through 1949. Munitions use was limited to small arms ammunition, typically 12-, 16-, and 20-gauge shotgun rounds and .410-caliber ammunition. The MC known to be present include antimony, arsenic, copper, lead, zinc, and PAHs.

Description of Pathways for Human and Ecological Receptors:
Groundwater was sampled and no exedances were detected. Pathways of exposure would be near-surface soil human exposure.

Description of Receptors (Human and Ecological):
Human receptors: Navy personnel, contractors, visitors/trespassers, and future residents.
Ecological Receptors: None

NAS PENSACOLA UXO-0003 MRSPP

ENCLOSURE 2

BRONSON FIELD PISTOL RANGE SITE PRIORITIZATION PROTOCOL

Installation Name:	<u>NAS Pensacola</u>	EHE Module Priority:	<u>No Known or Suspected EHE Hazard</u>
Site Name:	<u>UXO-0003/Bronson Field Skeet Range</u>	CHE Module Priority:	<u>No Known or Suspected CWM Hazard</u>
Date Completed:	<u>01/07/2013</u>	HHE Module Priority:	<u>No Known or Suspected HHE Hazard</u>
Completed By:	<u>John Schoolfield, PE NAVFAC</u>	Overall Site Priority:	<u>No Known or Suspected Hazard</u>

Table 1
EHE Module: Munitions Type Data Element Table

DIRECTIONS: Below are 11 classifications of munitions and their descriptions. Circle the scores that correspond with all the munitions types known or suspected to be present at the MRS.

Note: The terms *practice munitions*, *small arms ammunition*, *physical evidence*, and *historical evidence* are defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

Classification	Description	Score
Sensitive	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ UXO that are considered most likely to function upon any interaction with exposed persons (e.g., submunitions, 40mm high-explosive [HE] grenades, white phosphorus [WP] munitions, high explosive antitank [HEAT] munitions, and practice munitions with sensitive fuzes, but excluding all other practice munitions). ◆ Hand grenades containing energetic filler. ◆ Bulk primary explosives, or mixtures of these with environmental media, such that the mixture poses an explosive hazard. 	30
High explosive (used or damaged)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ UXO containing a high-explosive filler (e.g., RDX, Composition B), that are not considered "sensitive." ◆ DMM containing a high-explosive filler that have: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Been damaged by burning or detonation ▪ Deteriorated to the point of instability. 	25
Pyrotechnic (used or damaged)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ UXO containing a pyrotechnic filler other than white phosphorus (e.g., flares, signals, simulators, smoke grenades). ◆ DMM containing a pyrotechnic filler other than white phosphorus (e.g., flares, signals, simulators, smoke grenades) that have: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Been damaged by burning or detonation ▪ Deteriorated to the point of instability. 	20
High explosive (unused)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ DMM containing a high-explosive filler that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Have not been damaged by burning or detonation ▪ Are not deteriorated to the point of instability. 	15
Propellant	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ UXO containing mostly single-, double-, or triple-based propellant, or composite propellants (e.g., a rocket motor). ◆ DMM containing mostly single-, double-, or triple-based propellant, or composite propellants (e.g., a rocket motor) that are: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Damaged by burning or detonation ▪ Deteriorated to the point of instability. 	15
Bulk secondary high explosives, pyrotechnics, or propellant	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ DMM containing mostly single-, double-, or triple-based propellant, or composite propellants (e.g., a rocket motor). ◆ DMM that are bulk secondary high explosives, pyrotechnic compositions, or propellant (not contained in a munition), or mixtures of these with environmental media such that the mixture poses an explosive hazard. 	10
Pyrotechnic (not used or damaged)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ DMM containing a pyrotechnic filler (i.e., red phosphorus), other than white phosphorus filler, that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Have not been damaged by burning or detonation ▪ Are not deteriorated to the point of instability. 	10
Practice	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ UXO that are practice munitions that are not associated with a sensitive fuze. ◆ DMM that are practice munitions that are not associated with a sensitive fuze and that have not: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Been damaged by burning or detonation ▪ Deteriorated to the point of instability. 	5
Riot control	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ UXO or DMM containing a riot control agent filler (e.g., tear gas). 	3
Small arms	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Used munitions or DMM that are categorized as small arms ammunition. (Physical evidence or historical evidence that no other types of munitions [e.g., grenades, subcaliber training rockets, demolition charges] were used or are present on the MRS is required for selection of this category.) 	2
Evidence of no munitions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Following investigation of the MRS, there is physical evidence that there are no UXO or DMM present, or there is historical evidence indicating that no UXO or DMM are present. 	0
MUNITIONS TYPE	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single highest score</u> from above in the box to the right (maximum score = 30).	2

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS-specific data used in selecting the *Munitions Type* classifications in the space provided.

Basis: September 2010 SI Report

Table 2
EHE Module: Source of Hazard Data Element Table

DIRECTIONS: Below are 11 classifications describing sources of explosive hazards. Circle the scores that correspond with **all** the sources of explosive hazards known or suspected to be present at the MRS.

Note: The terms *former range*, *practice munitions*, *small arms range*, *physical evidence*, and *historical evidence* are defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

Classification	Description	Score
Former range	◆ The MRS is a former military range where munitions (including practice munitions with sensitive fuzes) have been used. Such areas include impact or target areas and associated buffer and safety zones.	10
Former munitions treatment (i.e., OB/OD) unit	◆ The MRS is a location where UXO or DMM (e.g., munitions, bulk explosives, bulk pyrotechnic, or bulk propellants) were burned or detonated for the purpose of treatment prior to disposal.	8
Former practice munitions range	◆ The MRS is a former military range on which only practice munitions without sensitive fuzes were used.	6
Former maneuver area	◆ The MRS is a former maneuver area where no munitions other than flares, simulators, smokes, and blanks were used. There must be evidence that no other munitions were used at the location to place an MRS into this category	5
Former burial pit or other disposal area	◆ The MRS is a location where DMM were buried or disposed of (e.g., disposed of into a water body) without prior thermal treatment.	5
Former industrial operating facilities	◆ The MRS is a location that is a former munitions maintenance, manufacturing, or demilitarization facility.	4
Former firing points	◆ The MRS is a firing point, where the firing point is delineated as an MRS separate from the rest of a former military range.	4
Former missile or air defense artillery emplacements	◆ The MRS is a former missile defense or air defense artillery (ADA) emplacement not associated with a military range.	2
Former storage or transfer points	◆ The MRS is a location where munitions were stored or handled for transfer between different modes of transportation (e.g., rail to truck, truck to weapon system).	2
Former small arms range	◆ The MRS is a former military range where only small arms ammunition was used. (There must be evidence that no other types of munitions [e.g., grenades] were used or are present to place an MRS into this category.)	<input type="text" value="1"/>
Evidence of no munitions	◆ Following investigation of the MRS, there is physical evidence that no UXO or DMM are present, or there is historical evidence indicating that no UXO or DMM are present.	0
SOURCE OF HAZARD	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest score from above in the box to the right (maximum score = 10).	1

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS-specific data used in selecting the **Source of Hazard** classifications in the space provided.

Basis: September 2010 SI Report

Table 3
EHE Module: Location of Munitions Data Element Table

DIRECTIONS: Below are eight classifications of munitions locations and their descriptions. Circle the scores that correspond with **all** the locations where munitions are known or suspected to be present at the MRS.

Note: The terms *confirmed*, *surface*, *subsurface*, *small arms ammunition*, *physical evidence*, and *historical evidence* are defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

Classification	Description	Score
Confirmed surface	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Physical evidence indicates that there are UXO or DMM on the surface of the MRS. ◆ Historical evidence (i.e., a confirmed report such as an explosive ordnance disposal [EOD], police, or fire department report that an incident or accident that involved UXO or DMM occurred) indicates there are UXO or DMM on the surface of the MRS. 	25
Confirmed subsurface, active	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Physical evidence indicates the presence of UXO or DMM in the subsurface of the MRS, and the geological conditions at the MRS are likely to cause UXO or DMM to be exposed, in the future, by naturally occurring phenomena (e.g., drought, flooding, erosion, frost heave, tidal action), or intrusive activities (e.g., plowing, construction, dredging) at the MRS are likely to expose UXO or DMM. ◆ Historical evidence indicates that UXO or DMM are located in the subsurface of the MRS and the geological conditions at the MRS are likely to cause UXO or DMM to be exposed, in the future, by naturally occurring phenomena (e.g., drought, flooding, erosion, frost heave, tidal action), or intrusive activities (e.g., plowing, construction, dredging) at the MRS are likely to expose UXO or DMM. 	20
Confirmed subsurface, stable	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Physical evidence indicates the presence of UXO or DMM in the subsurface of the MRS and the geological conditions at the MRS are not likely to cause UXO or DMM to be exposed, in the future, by naturally occurring phenomena, or intrusive activities at the MRS are not likely to cause UXO or DMM to be exposed. ◆ Historical evidence indicates that UXO or DMM are located in the subsurface of the MRS and the geological conditions at the MRS are not likely to cause UXO or DMM to be exposed, in the future, by naturally occurring phenomena, or intrusive activities at the MRS are not likely to cause UXO or DMM to be exposed. 	15
Suspected (physical evidence)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ There is physical evidence (e.g., munitions debris such as fragments, penetrators, projectiles, shell casings, links, fins), other than the documented presence of UXO or DMM, indicating that UXO or DMM may be present at the MRS. 	10
Suspected (historical evidence)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ There is historical evidence indicating that UXO or DMM may be present at the MRS. 	5
Subsurface, physical constraint	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ There is physical or historical evidence indicating that UXO or DMM may be present in the subsurface, but there is a physical constraint (e.g., pavement, water depth over 120 feet) preventing direct access to the UXO or DMM. 	2
Small arms (regardless of location)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ The presence of small arms ammunition is confirmed or suspected, regardless of other factors such as geological stability. (There must be evidence that no other types of munitions [e.g., grenades] were used or are present at the MRS to place an MRS into this category.) 	1
Evidence of no munitions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Following investigation of the MRS, there is physical evidence that there are no UXO or DMM present, or there is historical evidence indicating that no UXO or DMM are present. 	0
LOCATION OF MUNITIONS	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest score from above in the box to the right (maximum score = 25).	1

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS-specific data used in selecting the *Location of Munitions* classifications in the space provided.

Basis: September 2010 SI Report

Table 4
EHE Module: Ease of Access Data Element Table

DIRECTIONS: Below are four classifications of barrier types that can surround an MRS and their descriptions. The barrier type is directly related to the ease of public access to the MRS. Circle the score that corresponds with the ease of access to the MRS.

Note: The term *barrier* is defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

Classification	Description	Score
No barrier	◆ There is no barrier preventing access to any part of the MRS (i.e., all parts of the MRS are accessible).	10
Barrier to MRS access is incomplete	◆ There is a barrier preventing access to parts of the MRS, but not the entire MRS.	8
Barrier to MRS access is complete but not monitored	◆ There is a barrier preventing access to all parts of the MRS, but there is no surveillance (e.g., by a guard) to ensure that the barrier is effectively preventing access to all parts of the MRS.	5
Barrier to MRS access is complete and monitored	◆ There is a barrier preventing access to all parts of the MRS, and there is active, continual surveillance (e.g., by a guard, video monitoring) to ensure that the barrier is effectively preventing access to all parts of the MRS.	0
EASE OF ACCESS	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest score from above in the box to the right (maximum score = 10).	10

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS-specific data used in selecting the **Ease of Access** classification in the space provided.

Basis: August 2010 SI Report. Guarded entrance gates limit access to NAS Pensacola; however, any Navy personnel or authorized visitor who has access through the main installation gates can access the MRP site once inside the facility.

Table 5
EHE Module: Status of Property Data Element Table

DIRECTIONS: Below are three classifications of the status of a property within the Department of Defense (DoD) and their descriptions. Circle the score that corresponds with the status of property at the MRS.

Classification	Description	Score
Non-DoD control	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ The MRS is at a location that is no longer owned by, leased to, or otherwise possessed or used by DoD. Examples are privately owned land or water bodies; land or water bodies owned or controlled by state, tribal, or local governments; and land or water bodies managed by other federal agencies. ◆ The MRS is at a location that is owned by DoD, but that DoD has leased to another entity and for which DoD does not control access 24 hours per day. 	5
Scheduled for transfer from DoD control	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ The MRS is on land or is a water body that is owned, leased, or otherwise possessed by DoD, and DoD plans to transfer that land or water body to the control of another entity (e.g., a state, tribal, or local government; a private party; another federal agency) within 3 years from the date the Protocol is applied. 	3
DoD control	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ The MRS is on land or is a water body that is owned, leased, or otherwise possessed by DoD. With respect to property that is leased or otherwise possessed, DoD must control access to the MRS 24 hours per day, every day of the calendar year. 	<div style="border: 1px solid black; border-radius: 10px; width: 30px; height: 20px; margin: 0 auto; text-align: center; line-height: 20px;">0</div>
STATUS OF PROPERTY	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest score from above in the box to the right (maximum score = 5).	0

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS-specific data used in selecting the *Status of Property* classification in the space provided.

Basis: August 2010 SI Report. The former pistol range is located on DoD property, within the area of a former airstrip which is currently used by recreational model airplane groups.

Table 6
EHE Module: Population Density Data Element Table

DIRECTIONS: Below are three classifications for population density and their descriptions. Determine the population density per square mile that most closely corresponds with the population of the MRS, including the area within a two-mile radius of the MRS's perimeter. Circle the most appropriate score.

Note: Use the U.S. Census Bureau tract data available to capture the **highest** population density within a two-mile radius of the perimeter of the MRS.

Classification	Description	Score
> 500 persons per square mile	◆ There are more than 500 persons per square mile in the U.S. Census Bureau tract in which the MRS is located.	5
100–500 persons per square mile	◆ There are 100 to 500 persons per square mile in the U.S. Census Bureau tract in which the MRS is located.	3
< 100 persons per square mile	◆ There are fewer than 100 persons per square mile in the U.S. Census Bureau tract in which the MRS is located.	1
POPULATION DENSITY	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest score from above in the box to the right (maximum score = 5).	5

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS-specific data used in selecting the **Population Density** classification in the space provided. <http://www.americantowns.com/in/crane-information#data>
 Basis: <http://quickfacts.census.gov/qfd/states/12/1255925.html> for Pensacola, Florida. Based on the census of 2000, the population density of Pensacola was approximately 2,478 persons per square mile.

Table 7
EHE Module: Population Near Hazard Data Element Table

DIRECTIONS: Below are six classifications describing the number of inhabited structures near the MRS. The number of inhabited buildings relates to the potential population near the MRS. Determine the number of inhabited structures within two miles of the MRS boundary and circle the score that corresponds with the number of inhabited structures.

Note: The term *inhabited structures* is defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

Classification	Description	Score
26 or more inhabited structures	◆ There are 26 or more inhabited structures located up to 2 miles from the boundary of the MRS, within the boundary of the MRS, or both.	5
16 to 25 inhabited structures	◆ There are 16 to 25 inhabited structures located up to 2 miles from the boundary of the MRS, within the boundary of the MRS, or both.	4
11 to 15 inhabited structures	◆ There are 11 to 15 inhabited structures located up to 2 miles from the boundary of the MRS, within the boundary of the MRS, or both.	3
6 to 10 inhabited structures	◆ There are 6 to 10 inhabited structures located up to 2 miles from the boundary of the MRS, within the boundary of the MRS, or both.	2
1 to 5 inhabited structures	◆ There are 1 to 5 inhabited structures located up to 2 miles from the boundary of the MRS, within the boundary of the MRS, or both.	1
0 inhabited structures	◆ There are no inhabited structures located up to 2 miles from the boundary of the MRS, within the boundary of the MRS, or both.	0
POPULATION NEAR HAZARD	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest score from above in the box to the right (maximum score = 5).	5

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS-specific data used in selecting the *Population Near Hazard* classification in the space provided.

Basis: Google Earth and first-hand knowledge of the site.

Table 8

EHE Module: Types of Activities/Structures Data Element Table

DIRECTIONS: Below are five classifications of activities and/or inhabited structures and their descriptions. Review the types of activities that occur and/or structures that are present within two miles of the MRS and circle the scores that correspond with **all** the activities/structure classifications at the MRS.

Note: The term *inhabited structure* is defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

Classification	Description	Score
Residential, educational, commercial, or subsistence	◆ Activities are conducted, or inhabited structures are located up to two miles from the MRS's boundary or within the MRS's boundary, that are associated with any of the following purposes: residential, educational, child care, critical assets (e.g., hospitals, fire and rescue, police stations, dams), hotels, commercial, shopping centers, playgrounds, community gathering areas, religious sites, or sites used for subsistence hunting, fishing, and gathering.	5
Parks and recreational areas	◆ Activities are conducted, or inhabited structures are located up to two miles from the MRS's boundary or within the MRS's boundary, that are associated with parks, nature preserves, or other recreational uses.	4
Agricultural, forestry	◆ Activities are conducted, or inhabited structures are located up to two miles from the MRS's boundary or within the MRS's boundary, that are associated with agriculture or forestry.	3
Industrial or warehousing	◆ Activities are conducted, or inhabited structures are located up to two miles from the MRS's boundary or within the MRS's boundary, that are associated with industrial activities or warehousing.	2
No known or recurring activities	◆ There are no known or recurring activities occurring up to two miles from the MRS's boundary or within the MRS's boundary.	1
TYPES OF ACTIVITIES/STRUCTURES	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest score from above in the box to the right (maximum score = 5).	5

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS-specific data used in selecting the *Types of Activities/Structures* classification in the space provided.

Basis: Google Earth and first-hand knowledge of the site.

Table 9

EHE Module: Ecological and/or Cultural Resources Data Element Table

DIRECTIONS: Below are four classifications of ecological and/or cultural resources and their descriptions. Review the types of resources present and circle the score that corresponds with the ecological and/or cultural resources present on the MRS.

Note: The terms *ecological resources* and *cultural resources* are defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

Classification	Description	Score
Ecological and cultural resources present	◆ There are both ecological and cultural resources present on the MRS.	5
Ecological resources present	◆ There are ecological resources present on the MRS.	3
Cultural resources present	◆ There are cultural resources present on the MRS.	3
No ecological or cultural resources present	◆ There are no ecological resources or cultural resources present on the MRS.	0
ECOLOGICAL AND/OR CULTURAL RESOURCES	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single highest score</u> from above in the box to the right (maximum score = 5).	3

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS-specific data used in selecting the *Ecological and/or Cultural Resources* classification in the space provided.

Basis: August 2010 SI Report. Wetlands are located in the southern portion of the MRS.

Table 10
Determining the EHE Module Rating

		Source	Score	Value	
<p>DIRECTIONS:</p> <p>11. From Tables 1–9, record the data element scores in the Score boxes to the right.</p> <p>12. Add the Score boxes for each of the three factors and record this number in the Value boxes to the right.</p> <p>13. Add the three Value boxes and record this number in the EHE Module Total box below.</p> <p>14. Circle the appropriate range for the EHE Module Total below.</p> <p>15. Circle the EHE Module Rating that corresponds to the range selected and record this value in the EHE Module Rating box found at the bottom of the table.</p> <p>Note: An alternative module rating may be assigned when a module letter rating is inappropriate. An alternative module rating is used when more information is needed to score one or more data elements, contamination at an MRS was previously addressed, or there is no reason to suspect contamination was ever present at an MRS.</p>	Explosive Hazard Factor Data Element				
	Munitions Type	Table 1	2	3	
	Source of Hazard	Table 2	1		
	Accessibility Factor Data Element				
	Location of Munitions	Table 3	1	11	
	Ease of Access	Table 4	10		
	Status of Property	Table 5	0		
	Receptor Factor Data Element				
	Population Density	Table 6	5	18	
	Population Near Hazard	Table 7	5		
	Types of Activities/Structures	Table 8	5		
	Ecological and/or Cultural Resources	Table 9	3		
	EHE MODULE TOTAL			32	
	EHE Module Total		EHE Module Rating		
	92 to 100		A		
82 to 91		B			
71 to 81		C			
60 to 70		D			
48 to 59		E			
38 to 47		F			
less than 38		G			
Alternative Module Ratings		Evaluation Pending			
		No Longer Required			
		No Known or Suspected Explosive Hazard			
EHE MODULE RATING		No Known or Suspected Explosive Hazard			

Table 11
CHE Module: CWM Configuration Data Element Table

DIRECTIONS: Below are seven classifications of CWM configuration and their descriptions. Circle the scores that correspond with **all** the CWM configurations known or suspected to be present at the MRS.

Note: The terms *CWM/UXO*, *CWM/DMM*, *physical evidence*, and *historical evidence* are defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

Classification	Description	Score
CWM, that are either UXO, or explosively configured damaged DMM	The CWM known or suspected of being present at the MRS are: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ CWM that are UXO (i.e., CWM/UXO) ◆ Explosively configured CWM that are DMM (i.e., CWM/DMM) that have been damaged. 	30
CWM mixed with UXO	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ The CWM known or suspected of being present at the MRS are undamaged CWM/DMM or CWM not configured as a munitions that are commingled with conventional munitions that are UXO. 	25
CWM, explosive configuration that are undamaged DMM	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ The CWM known or suspected of being present at the MRS are explosively configured CWM/DMM that have not been damaged. 	20
CWM/DMM, not explosively configured or CWM, bulk container	The CWM known or suspected of being present at the MRS are: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Nonexplosively configured CWM/DMM either damaged or undamaged ◆ Bulk CWM (e.g., ton container). 	15
CAIS K941 and CAIS K942	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ The CWM/DMM known or suspected of being present at the MRS are CAIS K941-toxic gas set M-1 or CAIS K942-toxic gas set M-2/E11. 	12
CAIS (chemical agent identification sets)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ CAIS, other than CAIS K941 and K942, are known or suspected of being present at the MRS. 	10
Evidence of no CWM	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Following investigation, the physical evidence indicates that CWM are not present at the MRS, or the historical evidence indicates that CWM are not present at the MRS. 	0
CWM CONFIGURATION	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest score from above in the box to the right (maximum score = 30).	N/A*

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS-specific data used in selecting the **CWM Configuration** classifications in the space provided.

***Not Scored. No Known or Suspected CWM Hazard**

See Table 20 (Determining the CHE Module Rating) for Alternative Module Rating

Table 12
CHE Module: Sources of CWM Data Element Table

DIRECTIONS: Below are 11 sources of CWM hazards and their descriptions. Review these classifications and circle the scores that correspond with **all** the sources of CWM hazards known or suspected to be present at the MRS.

Note: The terms *CWM/UXO*, *CWM/DMM*, *CAIS/DMM*, *surface*, *subsurface*, *physical evidence*, and *historical evidence* are defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

Classification	Description	Score
Live-fire involving CWM	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ The MRS is a former military range that supported live-fire of explosively configured CWM and the CWM/UXO are known or suspected of being present on the surface or in the subsurface. ◆ The MRS is a former military range that supported live-fire with conventional munitions, and CWM/DMM are on the surface or in the subsurface commingled with conventional munitions that are UXO. 	10
Damaged CWM/DMM surface or subsurface	◆ There are damaged CWM/DMM on the surface or in the subsurface at the MRS.	10
Undamaged CWM/DMM surface	◆ There are undamaged CWM/DMM on the surface at the MRS.	10
CAIS/DMM surface	◆ There are CAIS/DMM on the surface.	10
Undamaged CWM/DMM, Subsurface	◆ There are undamaged CWM/DMM in the subsurface at the MRS.	5
CAIS/DMM subsurface	◆ There are CAIS/DMM in the subsurface at the MRS.	5
Former CA or CWM Production Facilities	◆ The MRS is a facility that formerly engaged in production of CA or CWM, and CWM/DMM is suspected of being present on the surface or in the subsurface.	3
Former Research, Development, Testing, and Evaluation (RDT&E) facility using CWM	◆ The MRS is at a facility that formerly was involved in non-live-fire RDT&E activities (including static testing) involving CWM, and there are CWM/DMM suspected of being present on the surface or in the subsurface.	3
Former Training Facility using CWM or CAIS	◆ The MRS is a location that formerly was involved in training activities involving CWM and/or CAIS (e.g., training in recognition of CWM, decontamination training) and WM/DMM or CAIS/DMM are suspected of being present on the surface or in the subsurface.	2
Former Storage or Transfer points of CWM	◆ The MRS is a former storage facility or transfer point (e.g., intermodal transfer) for CWM.	1
Evidence of no CWM	◆ Following investigation, the physical evidence indicates that CWM are not present at the MRS, or the historical evidence indicates that CWM are not present at the MRS.	0
SOURCES OF CWM	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest score from above in the box to the right (maximum score = 10).	N/A*

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS-specific data used in selecting the **Source of CWM** classifications in the space provided.

***Not Scored. No Known or Suspected CWM Hazard**

See Table 20 (Determining the CHE Module Rating) for Alternative Module Rating

Table 13
CHE Module: Location of CWM Data Element Table

DIRECTIONS: Below are seven classifications of CWM locations and their descriptions. Review these locations and circle the scores that correspond with **all** the locations where CWM are known or suspected of being found at the MRS.

Note: The terms *confirmed*, *surface*, *subsurface*, *physical evidence*, and *historical evidence* are defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

Classification	Description	Score
Confirmed surface	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Physical evidence indicates that there are CWM on the surface of the MRS. ◆ Historical evidence (i.e., a confirmed report such as an explosive ordnance disposal [EOD], police, or fire department report, that an incident or accident that involved CWM, regardless of configuration, occurred) indicates there are CWM on the surface of the MRS. 	25
Confirmed subsurface, active	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Physical evidence indicates the presence of CWM in the subsurface of the MRS and the geological conditions at the MRS are likely to cause CWM to be exposed, in the future, by naturally occurring phenomena (e.g., drought, flooding, erosion, frost heave, tidal action), or intrusive activities (e.g., plowing, construction, dredging) at the MRS are likely to expose CWM. ◆ Historical evidence indicates that CWM are located in the subsurface of the MRS and the geological conditions at the MRS are likely to cause CWM to be exposed, in the future, by naturally occurring phenomena (e.g., drought, flooding, erosion, frost heave, tidal action), or intrusive activities (e.g., plowing, construction, dredging) at the MRS are likely to expose CWM. 	20
Confirmed subsurface, stable	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Physical evidence indicates the presence of CWM in the subsurface of the MRS and the geological conditions at the MRS are not likely to cause CWM to be exposed, in the future, by naturally occurring phenomena, or intrusive activities at the MRS are not likely to cause CWM to be exposed. ◆ Historical evidence indicates that CWM are located in the subsurface of the MRS and the geological conditions at the MRS are not likely to cause CWM to be exposed, in the future, by naturally occurring phenomena, or intrusive activities at the MRS are not likely to cause CWM to be exposed. 	15
Suspected (physical evidence)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ There is physical evidence, other than the documented presence of CWM, indicating that CWM may be present at the MRS. 	10
Suspected (historical evidence)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ There is historical evidence indicating that CWM may be present at the MRS. 	5
Subsurface, physical constraint	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ There is physical or historical evidence indicating that CWM may be present in the subsurface, but there is a physical constraint (e.g., pavement, water depth over 120 feet) preventing direct access to the CWM. 	2
Evidence of no CWM	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Following investigation of the MRS, there is physical evidence that there is no CWM present or there is historical evidence indicating that no CWM are present. 	0
LOCATION OF CWM	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest score from above in the box to the right (maximum score = 25).	N/A*

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS-specific data used in selecting the **Location of CWM** classifications in the space provided.

***Not Scored. No Known or Suspected CWM Hazard**

See Table 20 (Determining the CHE Module Rating) for Alternative Module Rating

Table 14
CHE Module: Ease of Access Data Element Table

DIRECTIONS: Below are four classifications of barrier types that can surround an MRS and their descriptions. The barrier type is directly related to the ease of public access to the MRS. Circle the score that corresponds with the ease of access to the MRS.

Note: The term *barrier* is defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

Classification	Description	Score
No barrier	◆ There is no barrier preventing access to any part of the MRS (i.e., all parts of the MRS are accessible).	10
Barrier to MRS access is incomplete	◆ There is a barrier preventing access to parts of the MRS, but not the entire MRS.	8
Barrier to MRS access is complete but not monitored	◆ There is a barrier preventing access to all parts of the MRS, but there is no surveillance (e.g., by a guard) to ensure that the barrier is effectively preventing access to all parts of the MRS.	5
Barrier to MRS access is complete and monitored	◆ There is a barrier preventing access to all parts of the MRS, and there is active continual surveillance (e.g., by a guard, video monitoring) to ensure that the barrier is effectively preventing access to all parts of the MRS.	0
EASE OF ACCESS	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single highest score</u> from above in the box to the right (maximum score = 10).	N/A*

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS-specific data used in selecting the **Ease of Access** classification in the space provided.

***Not Scored. No Known or Suspected CWM Hazard**

See Table 20 (Determining the CHE Module Rating) for Alternative Module Rating

Table 15
CHE Module: Status of Property Data Element Table

DIRECTIONS: Below are three classifications of the status of a property within the Department of Defense (DoD) and their descriptions. Circle the score that corresponds with the status of property at the MRS.

Classification	Description	Score
Non-DoD control	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ The MRS is at a location that is no longer owned by, leased to, or otherwise possessed or used by DoD. Examples are privately owned land or water bodies; land or water bodies owned or controlled by state, tribal or local governments; and land or water bodies managed by other federal agencies. ◆ The MRS is at a location that is owned by DoD, but that DoD has leased to another entity and for which DoD does not control access 24 hours per day. 	5
Scheduled for transfer from DoD control	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ The MRS is on land or is a water body that is owned, leased, or otherwise possessed by DoD, and DoD plans to transfer that land or water body to control of another entity (e.g., a state, tribal, or local government; a private party; another federal agency) within 3 years from the date the Protocol is applied. 	3
DoD control	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ The MRS is on land or is a water body that is owned, leased, or otherwise possessed by DoD. With respect to property that is leased or otherwise possessed, DoD controls access to the MRS 24 hours per day, every day of the calendar year. 	0
STATUS OF PROPERTY	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single highest score</u> from above in the box to the right (maximum score = 5).	N/A*

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS-specific data used in selecting the *Status of Property* classification in the space provided.

***Not Scored. No Known or Suspected CWM Hazard**

See Table 20 (Determining the CHE Module Rating) for Alternative Module Rating

Table 16
CHE Module: Population Density Data Element Table

DIRECTIONS: Below are three classifications for population density and their descriptions. Determine the population density per square mile that most closely corresponds with the population of the MRS, including the area within a two-mile radius of the MRS's perimeter. Circle the most appropriate score.

Note: Use the U.S. Census Bureau tract data available to capture the **highest** population density within a two-mile radius of the perimeter of the MRS.

Classification	Description	Score
> 500 persons per square mile	◆ There are more than 500 persons per square mile in the U.S. Census Bureau tract in which the MRS is located.	5
100–500 persons per square Mile	◆ There are 100 to 500 persons per square mile in the U.S. Census Bureau tract in which the MRS is located.	3
< 100 persons per square mile	◆ There are fewer than 100 persons per square mile in the U.S. Census Bureau tract in which the MRS is located.	1
POPULATION DENSITY	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single highest score</u> from above in the box to the right (maximum score = 5).	N/A*

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS-specific data used in selecting the *Population Density* classification in the space provided.

***Not Scored. No Known or Suspected CWM Hazard**

See Table 20 (Determining the CHE Module Rating) for Alternative Module Rating

Table 17
CHE Module: Population Near Hazard Data Element Table

DIRECTIONS: Below are six classifications describing the number of inhabited structures near the MRS. The number of inhabited buildings relates to the potential population near the MRS. Determine the number of inhabited structures within two miles of the MRS boundary and circle the score that corresponds with the number of inhabited structures.

Note: The term *inhabited structures* is defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

Classification	Description	Score
26 or more inhabited structures	◆ There are 26 or more inhabited structures located up to 2 miles from the boundary of the MRS, within the boundary of the MRS, or both.	5
16 to 25 inhabited structures	◆ There are 16 to 25 inhabited structures located up to 2 miles from the boundary of the MRS, within the boundary of the MRS, or both.	4
11 to 15 inhabited structures	◆ There are 11 to 15 inhabited structures located up to 2 miles from the boundary of the MRS, within the boundary of the MRS, or both.	3
6 to 10 inhabited structures	◆ There are 6 to 10 inhabited structures located up to 2 miles from the boundary of the MRS, within the boundary of the MRS, or both.	2
1 to 5 inhabited structures	◆ There are 1 to 5 inhabited structures located up to 2 miles from the boundary of the MRS, within the boundary of the MRS, or both.	1
0 inhabited structures	◆ There are no inhabited structures located up to 2 miles from the boundary of the MRS, within the boundary of the MRS, or both.	0
POPULATION NEAR HAZARD	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single highest score</u> from above in the box to the right (maximum score = 5).	N/A*

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS-specific data used in selecting the *Population Near Hazard* classification in the space provided.

***Not Scored. No Known or Suspected CWM Hazard**

See Table 20 (Determining the CHE Module Rating) for Alternative Module Rating

Table 18
CHE Module: Types of Activities/Structures Data Element Table

DIRECTIONS: Below are five classifications of activities and/or inhabited structures and their descriptions. Review the types of activities that occur and/or structures that are present within two miles of the MRS and circle the scores that correspond with **all** the activities/structures classifications at the MRS.

Note: The term *inhabited structures* is defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

Classification	Description	Score
Residential, educational, commercial, or subsistence	◆ Activities are conducted, or inhabited structures are located up to two miles from the MRS's boundary or within the MRS's boundary, that are associated with any of the following purposes: residential, educational, child care, critical assets (e.g., hospitals, fire and rescue, police stations, dams), hotels, commercial, shopping centers, playgrounds, community gathering areas, religious sites, or sites used for subsistence hunting, fishing, and gathering.	5
Parks and recreational areas	◆ Activities are conducted, or inhabited structures are located up to two miles from the MRS's boundary or within the MRS's boundary, that are associated with parks, nature preserves, or other recreational uses.	4
Agricultural, forestry	◆ Activities are conducted, or inhabited structures are located up to two miles from the MRS's boundary or within the MRS's boundary, that are associated with agriculture or forestry.	3
Industrial or warehousing	◆ Activities are conducted, or inhabited structures are located up to two miles from the MRS's boundary or within the MRS's boundary, that are associated with industrial activities or warehousing.	2
No known or recurring activities	◆ There are no known of recurring activities occurring up to two miles from the MRS's boundary or within the MRS's boundary.	1
TYPES OF ACTIVITIES/STRUCTURES	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest score from above in the box to the right (maximum score = 5).	N/A*

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS-specific data used in selecting the *Types of Activities/Structures* classification in the space provided.

***Not Scored. No Known or Suspected CWM Hazard**

See Table 20 (Determining the CHE Module Rating) for Alternative Module Rating

Table 19

CHE Module: Ecological and/or Cultural Resources Data Element Table

DIRECTIONS: Below are four classifications of ecological and/or cultural resources and their descriptions. Review the types of resources present and circle the score that corresponds with the ecological and/or cultural resources present on the MRS.

Note: The terms *ecological resources* and *cultural resources* are defined in Appendix C of the Primer.

Classification	Description	Score
Ecological and cultural resources present	♦ There are both ecological and cultural resources present on the MRS.	5
Ecological resources present	♦ There are ecological resources present on the MRS.	3
Cultural resources present	♦ There are cultural resources present on the MRS.	3
No ecological or cultural resources present	♦ There are no ecological resources or cultural resources present on the MRS.	1
ECOLOGICAL AND/OR CULTURAL RESOURCES	DIRECTIONS: Record <u>the single highest score</u> from above in the box to the right (maximum score = 5).	N/A*

DIRECTIONS: Document any MRS-specific data used in selecting the *Ecological and/or Cultural Resources* classification in the space provided.

***Not Scored. No Known or Suspected CWM Hazard**

See Table 20 (Determining the CHE Module Rating) for Alternative Module Rating

Table 20
Determining the CHE Module Rating

	Source	Score	Value	
<p>DIRECTIONS:</p> <p>16. From Tables 11–19, record the data element scores in the Score boxes to the right.</p> <p>17. Add the Score boxes for each of the three factors and record this number in the Value boxes to the right.</p> <p>18. Add the three Value boxes and record this number in the CHE Module Total box below.</p> <p>19. Circle the appropriate range for the CHE Module Total below.</p> <p>20. Circle the CHE Module Rating that corresponds to the range selected and record this value in the CHE Module Rating box found at the bottom of the table.</p> <p>Note: An alternative module rating may be assigned when a module letter rating is inappropriate. An alternative module rating is used when more information is needed to score one or more data elements, contamination at an MRS was previously addressed, or there is no reason to suspect contamination was ever present at an MRS.</p>	CWM Hazard Factor Data Elements			
	CWM Configuration	Table 11		
	Sources of CWM	Table 12		
	Accessibility Factor Data Element			
	Location of CWM	Table 13		
	Ease of Access	Table 14		
	Status of Property	Table 15		
	Receptor Factor Data Element			
	Population Density	Table 16		
	Population Near Hazard	Table 17		
	Types of Activities/Structures	Table 18		
	Ecological and/or Cultural Resources	Table 19		
	CHE MODULE TOTAL			
	CHE Module Total	CHE Module Rating		
	92 to 100	A		
	82 to 91	B		
	71 to 81	C		
	60 to 70	D		
	48 to 59	E		
	38 to 47	F		
less than 38	G			
Alternative Module Ratings	Evaluation Pending			
	No Longer Required			
	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; display: inline-block;"> No Known or Suspected CWM Hazard </div>			
CHE MODULE RATING	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; display: inline-block;"> No Known or Suspected CWM Hazard </div>			

Table 21

HHE Module: Groundwater Data Element Table

Contaminant Hazard Factor (CHF)

DIRECTIONS: Record the **maximum concentrations** of all contaminants in the MRS's groundwater and their **comparison values** (from Appendix B of the Primer) in the table below. Additional contaminants can be recorded on Table 27. Calculate and record the ratios for each contaminant by dividing the **maximum concentration** by the **comparison value**. Determine the **CHF** by adding the contaminant **ratios** together, including any additional groundwater contaminants recorded on Table 27. Based on the **CHF**, use the **CHF Scale** to determine and record the **CHF Value**. If there is no known or suspected MC hazard present in the groundwater, select the box at the bottom of the table.

Contaminant	Maximum Concentration (µg/L)	Comparison Value (µg/L)	Ratios
lead	1.66	15	0.11
NA	NA	NA	0
NA	NA	NA	0
NA	NA	NA	0
NA	NA	NA	0
CHF Scale	CHF Value	Sum The Ratios	0.11
CHF > 100	H (High)	$CHF = \sum \frac{[\text{Maximum Concentration of Contaminant}]}{[\text{Comparison Value for Contaminant}]}$	
100 > CHF > 2	M (Medium)		
2 > CHF	L (Low)		
CONTAMINANT HAZARD FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the CHF Value from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).		L

Migratory Pathway Factor

DIRECTIONS: Circle the value that corresponds most closely to the groundwater migratory pathway at the MRS.

Classification	Description	Value
Evident	Analytical data or observable evidence indicates that contamination in the groundwater is present at, moving toward, or has moved to a point of exposure.	H
Potential	Contamination in groundwater has moved only slightly beyond the source (i.e., tens of feet), could move but is not moving appreciably, or information is not sufficient to make a determination of Evident or Confined.	M
Confined	Information indicates a low potential for contaminant migration from the source via the groundwater to a potential point of exposure (possibly due to the presence of geological structures or physical controls).	<input type="checkbox"/> L
MIGRATORY PATHWAY FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest value from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).	L

Receptor Factor

DIRECTIONS: Circle the value that corresponds most closely to the groundwater receptors at the MRS.

Classification	Description	Value
Identified	Identified receptors have access to groundwater to which contamination has moved or can move.	H
Potential	Potential for receptors to have access to groundwater to which contamination has moved or can move.	M
Limited	Little or no potential for receptors to have access to groundwater to which contamination has moved or can move.	<input type="checkbox"/> L
RECEPTOR FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest value from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).	L

No Known or Suspected Groundwater MC Hazard



Table 22

HHE Module: Surface Water – Human Endpoint Data Element Table

Contaminant Hazard Factor (CHF)

DIRECTIONS: Record the **maximum concentrations** of all contaminants in the MRS's surface water and their **comparison values** (from Appendix B of the Primer) in the table below. Additional contaminants can be recorded on Table 27. Calculate and record the ratios for each contaminant by dividing the **maximum concentration** by the **comparison value**. Determine the **CHF** by adding the contaminant **ratios** together, including any additional surface water contaminants recorded on Table 27. Based on the **CHF**, use the **CHF Scale** to determine and record the **CHF Value**. If there is no known or suspected MC hazard with human endpoints present in the surface water, select the box at the bottom of the table.

Contaminant	Maximum Concentration (µg/L)	Comparison Value (µg/L)	Ratios
NA	NA	NA	0
NA	NA	NA	0
NA	NA	NA	0
NA	NA	NA	0
NA	NA	NA	0
CHF Scale	CHF Value	Sum The Ratios	0
CHF > 100	H (High)	$CHF = \sum \frac{[\text{Maximum Concentration of Contaminant}]}{[\text{Comparison Value for Contaminant}]}$	
100 > CHF > 2	M (Medium)		
2 > CHF	L (Low)		
CONTAMINANT HAZARD FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the CHF Value from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).		NA

Migratory Pathway Factor

DIRECTIONS: Circle the value that corresponds most closely to the surface water migratory pathway at the MRS.

Classification	Description	Value
Evident	Analytical data or observable evidence indicates that contamination in the surface water is present at, moving toward, or has moved to a point of exposure.	H
Potential	Contamination in surface water has moved only slightly beyond the source (i.e., tens of feet), could move but is not moving appreciably, or information is not sufficient to make a determination of Evident or Confined.	M
Confined	Information indicates a low potential for contaminant migration from the source via the surface water to a potential point of exposure (possibly due to the presence of geological structures or physical controls).	L
MIGRATORY PATHWAY FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest value from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).	NA

Receptor Factor

DIRECTIONS: Circle the value that corresponds most closely to the surface water receptors at the MRS.

Classification	Description	Value
Identified	Identified receptors have access to surface water to which contamination has moved or can move.	H
Potential	Potential for receptors to have access to surface water to which contamination has moved or can move.	M
Limited	Little or no potential for receptors to have access to surface water to which contamination has moved or can move.	L
RECEPTOR FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest value from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).	NA

No Known or Suspected Surface Water MC Hazard



Table 23

HHE Module: Sediment – Human Endpoint Data Element Table Contaminant Hazard Factor (CHF)

DIRECTIONS: Record the **maximum concentrations** of all contaminants in the MRS's sediment and their **comparison values** (from Appendix B of the Primer) in the table below. Additional contaminants can be recorded on Table 27. Calculate and record the ratios for each contaminant by dividing the **maximum concentration** by the **comparison value**. Determine the **CHF** by adding the contaminant **ratios** together, including any additional sediment contaminants recorded on Table 27. Based on the **CHF**, use the **CHF Scale** to determine and record the **CHF Value**. If there is no known or suspected MC hazard with human endpoints present in the sediment, select the box at the bottom of the table.

Contaminant	Maximum Concentration (mg/kg)	Comparison Value (mg/kg)	Ratios
NA	NA	NA	0
NA	NA	NA	0
NA	NA	NA	0
NA	NA	NA	0
NA	NA	NA	0
CHF Scale	CHF Value	Sum The Ratios	0
CHF > 100	H (High)	$CHF = \sum \frac{\text{[Maximum Concentration of Contaminant]}}{\text{[Comparison Value for Contaminant]}}$	
100 > CHF > 2	M (Medium)		
2 > CHF	L (Low)		
CONTAMINANT HAZARD FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the CHF Value from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).		NA

Migratory Pathway Factor

DIRECTIONS: Circle the value that corresponds most closely to the sediment migratory pathway at the MRS.

Classification	Description	Value
Evident	Analytical data or observable evidence indicates that contamination in the sediment is present at, moving toward, or has moved to a point of exposure.	H
Potential	Contamination in sediment has moved only slightly beyond the source (i.e., tens of feet), could move but is not moving appreciably, or information is not sufficient to make a determination of Evident or Confined.	M
Confined	Information indicates a low potential for contaminant migration from the source via the sediment to a potential point of exposure (possibly due to the presence of geological structures or physical controls).	L
MIGRATORY PATHWAY FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest value from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).	NA

Receptor Factor

DIRECTIONS: Circle the value that corresponds most closely to the sediment receptors at the MRS.

Classification	Description	Value
Identified	Identified receptors have access to sediment to which contamination has moved or can move.	H
Potential	Potential for receptors to have access to sediment to which contamination has moved or can move.	M
Limited	Little or no potential for receptors to have access to sediment to which contamination has moved or can move.	L
RECEPTOR FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest value from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).	NA

No Known or Suspected Sediment (Human Endpoint) MC Hazard



Table 24

HHE Module: Surface Water – Ecological Endpoint Data Element Table

Contaminant Hazard Factor (CHF)

DIRECTIONS: Record the **maximum concentrations** of all contaminants in the MRS's surface water and their **comparison values** (from Appendix B of the Primer) in the table below. Additional contaminants can be recorded on Table 27. Calculate and record the ratios for each contaminant by dividing the **maximum concentration** by the **comparison value**. Determine the **CHF** by adding the contaminant **ratios** together, including any additional surface water contaminants recorded on Table 27. Based on the **CHF**, use the **CHF Scale** to determine and record the **CHF Value**. If there is no known or suspected MC hazard with ecological endpoints present in the surface water, select the box at the bottom of the table.

Contaminant	Maximum Concentration (µg/L)	Comparison Value (µg/L)	Ratios
NA	NA	NA	0
NA	NA	NA	0
NA	NA	NA	0
NA	NA	NA	0
NA	NA	NA	0
CHF Scale	CHF Value	Sum The Ratios	0
CHF > 100	H (High)	$CHF = \sum \frac{[\text{Maximum Concentration of Contaminant}]}{[\text{Comparison Value for Contaminant}]}$	
100 > CHF > 2	M (Medium)		
2 > CHF	L (Low)		

CONTAMINANT HAZARD FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the CHF Value from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).	NA
----------------------------------	--	-----------

Migratory Pathway Factor

DIRECTIONS: Circle the value that corresponds most closely to the surface water migratory pathway at the MRS.

Classification	Description	Value
Evident	Analytical data or observable evidence indicates that contamination in the surface water is present at, moving toward, or has moved to a point of exposure.	H
Potential	Contamination in surface water has moved only slightly beyond the source (i.e., tens of feet), could move but is not moving appreciably, or information is not sufficient to make a determination of Evident or Confined.	M
Confined	Information indicates a low potential for contaminant migration from the source via the surface water to a potential point of exposure (possibly due to the presence of geological structures or physical controls).	L
MIGRATORY PATHWAY FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest value from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).	NA

Receptor Factor

DIRECTIONS: Circle the value that corresponds most closely to the surface water receptors at the MRS.

Classification	Description	Value
Identified	Identified receptors have access to surface water to which contamination has moved or can move.	H
Potential	Potential for receptors to have access to surface water to which contamination has moved or can move.	M
Limited	Little or no potential for receptors to have access to surface water to which contamination has moved or can move.	L
RECEPTOR FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest value from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).	NA

No Known or Suspected Surface Water (Ecological Endpoint) MC Hazard



Table 25

HHE Module: Sediment – Ecological Endpoint Data Element Table Contaminant Hazard Factor (CHF)

DIRECTIONS: Record the **maximum concentrations** of all contaminants in the MRS's sediment and their **comparison values** (from Appendix B of the Primer) in the table below. Additional contaminants can be recorded on Table 27. Calculate and record the ratios for each contaminant by dividing the **maximum concentration** by the **comparison value**. Determine the **CHF** by adding the contaminant **ratios** together, including any additional sediment contaminants recorded on Table 27. Based on the **CHF**, use the **CHF Scale** to determine and record the **CHF Value**. If there is no known or suspected MC hazard with ecological endpoints present in the sediment, select the box at the bottom of the table.

Contaminant	Maximum Concentration (mg/kg)	Comparison Value (mg/kg)	Ratios
NA	NA	NA	0
NA	NA	NA	0
NA	NA	NA	0
NA	NA	NA	0
NA	NA	NA	0
CHF Scale	CHF Value	Sum The Ratios	0
CHF > 100	H (High)	$CHF = \sum \frac{\text{[Maximum Concentration of Contaminant]}}{\text{[Comparison Value for Contaminant]}}$	
100 > CHF > 2	M (Medium)		
2 > CHF	L (Low)		
CONTAMINANT HAZARD FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the CHF Value from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).		NA

Migratory Pathway Factor

DIRECTIONS: Circle the value that corresponds most closely to the sediment migratory pathway at the MRS.

Classification	Description	Value
Evident	Analytical data or observable evidence indicates that contamination in the sediment is present at, moving toward, or has moved to a point of exposure.	H
Potential	Contamination in sediment has moved only slightly beyond the source (i.e., tens of feet), could move but is not moving appreciably, or information is not sufficient to make a determination of Evident or Confined.	M
Confined	Information indicates a low potential for contaminant migration from the source via the sediment to a potential point of exposure (possibly due to the presence of geological structures or physical controls).	L
MIGRATORY PATHWAY FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest value from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).	NA

Receptor Factor

DIRECTIONS: Circle the value that corresponds most closely to the sediment receptors at the MRS.

Classification	Description	Value
Identified	Identified receptors have access to sediment to which contamination has moved or can move.	H
Potential	Potential for receptors to have access to sediment to which contamination has moved or can move.	M
Limited	Little or no potential for receptors to have access to sediment to which contamination has moved or can move.	L
RECEPTOR FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the single highest value from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).	NA

No Known or Suspected Sediment (Ecological Endpoint) MC Hazard

Table 26

HHE Module: Surface Soil Data Element Table
Contaminant Hazard Factor (CHF)

DIRECTIONS: Record the **maximum concentrations** of all contaminants in the MRS's surface soil and their **comparison values** (from Appendix B of the Primer) in the table below. Additional contaminants can be recorded on Table 27. Calculate and record the ratios for each contaminant by dividing the **maximum concentration** by the **comparison value**. Determine the **CHF** by adding the contaminant **ratios** together, including any additional surface soil contaminants recorded on Table 27. Based on the **CHF**, use the **CHF Scale** to determine and record the **CHF Value**. If there is no known or suspected MC hazard with ecological endpoints present in the surface soil, select the box at the bottom of the table.

Contaminant	Maximum Concentration (mg/kg)	Comparison Value (mg/kg)	Ratios
arsenic	1.7	22	0.08
copper	14.8	3100	0.0048
lead	53.9	400	0.13
zinc	5.57	23000	0.00024
CHF Scale	CHF Value	Sum The Ratios	0.22
CHF > 100	H (High)	$CHF = \sum \frac{[\text{Maximum Concentration of Contaminant}]}{[\text{Comparison Value for Contaminant}]}$	
100 > CHF > 2	M (Medium)		
2 > CHF	L (Low)		
CONTAMINANT HAZARD FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the CHF Value from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).		L

Migratory Pathway Factor

DIRECTIONS: Circle the value that corresponds most closely to the surface soil migratory pathway at the MRS.

Classification	Description	Value
Evident	Analytical data or observable evidence indicates that contamination in the surface soil is present at, moving toward, or has moved to a point of exposure.	H
Potential	Contamination in surface soil has moved only slightly beyond the source (i.e., tens of feet), could move but is not moving appreciably, or information is not sufficient to make a determination of Evident or Confined.	M
Confined	Information indicates a low potential for contaminant migration from the source via the surface soil to a potential point of exposure (possibly due to the presence of geological structures or physical controls).	<input type="checkbox"/> L
MIGRATORY PATHWAY FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the <u>single highest value</u> from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).	L

Receptor Factor

DIRECTIONS: Circle the value that corresponds most closely to the surface soil receptors at the MRS.

Classification	Description	Value
Identified	Identified receptors have access to surface soil to which contamination has moved or can move.	H
Potential	Potential for receptors to have access to surface soil to which contamination has moved or can move.	M
Limited	Little or no potential for receptors to have access to surface soil to which contamination has moved or can move.	<input type="checkbox"/> L
RECEPTOR FACTOR	DIRECTIONS: Record the <u>single highest value</u> from above in the box to the right (maximum value = H).	L

No Known or Suspected Surface Soil MC Hazard

Table 28

Determining the HHE Rating

DIRECTIONS:

5. Record the letter values (H, M, L) for the **Contaminant Hazard, Migration Pathway, and Receptor Factors** for the media (from Tables 21–26) in the corresponding boxes below.
6. Record the media's three-letter combinations in the **Three-Letter Combination** boxes below (three-letter combinations are arranged from Hs to Ms to Ls).
7. Using the **HHE Ratings** provided below, determine each media's rating (A–G) and record the letter in the corresponding **Media Rating** box below.

Media (Source)	Contaminant Hazard Factor Value	Migratory Pathway Factor Value	Receptor Factor Value	Three-Letter Combination (Hs-Ms-Ls)	Media Rating (A-G)	
Groundwater (Table 21)	L	L	L	LLL	G	
Surface Water/Human Endpoint (Table 22)	NA	NA	NA	--	--	
Sediment/Human Endpoint (Table 23)	NA	NA	NA	--	--	
Surface Water/Ecological Endpoint (Table 24)	NA	NA	NA	--	--	
Sediment/Ecological Endpoint (Table 25)	NA	NA	NA	--	--	
Surface Soil (Table 26)	L	L	L	LLL	G	
DIRECTIONS (cont.):					HHE MODULE RATING	G
8. Select the single highest Media Rating (A is highest; G is lowest) and enter the letter in the HHE Module Rating box.					HHE Ratings (for reference only)	
Note: An alternative module rating may be assigned when a module letter rating is inappropriate. An alternative module rating is used when more information is needed to score one or more media, contamination at an MRS was previously addressed, or there is no reason to suspect contamination was ever present at an MRS.					Combination	Rating
					HHH	A
					HHM	B
					HHL	C
					HMM	
					HML	D
					MMM	
					HLL	E
					MML	
					MLL	F
LLL	G					
Alternative Module Ratings					Evaluation Pending	
					No longer Required	
					No Known or Suspected MC Hazard	

Table 29

MRS Priority

DIRECTIONS: In the chart below, circle the letter **rating** for each module recorded in Table 10 (EHE), Table 20 (CHE), and Table 28 (HHE). Circle the corresponding numerical **priority** for each module. If information to determine the module rating is not available, choose the appropriate alternative module rating. The MRS Priority is the single highest priority; record this relative priority in the **MRS Priority or Alternative MRS Rating** at the bottom of the table.

Note: An MRS assigned Priority 1 has the highest relative priority; an MRS assigned Priority 8 has the lowest relative priority. Only an MRS with CWM known or suspected to be present can be assigned Priority 1; an MRS that has CWM known or suspected to be present cannot be assigned Priority 8.

EHE Rating	Priority	CHE Rating	Priority	HHE Rating	Priority
		A	1		
A	2	B	2	A	2
B	3	C	3	B	3
C	4	D	4	C	4
D	5	E	5	D	5
E	6	F	6	E	6
F	7	G	7	F	7
G	8			G	8
Evaluation Pending		Evaluation Pending		Evaluation Pending	
No Longer Required		No Longer Required		No Longer Required	
No Known or Suspected Explosive Hazard		No Known or Suspected CWM Hazard		No Known or Suspected MC Hazard	
MRS PRIORITY or ALTERNATIVE MRS RATING				<u>No Known or Suspected Hazard</u>	

Table A MRS Background Information

DIRECTIONS: Record the background information below for the MRS to be evaluated. Much of this information is available from Service and DoD databases. If the MRS is located on a FUDS property, the suitable FUDS property information should be substituted. In the **MRS Summary**, briefly describe the UXO, DMM, or MC that are known or suspected to be present, the exposure setting (the MRS's physical environment), any other incidental nonmunitions-related contaminants (e.g., benzene, trichloroethylene) found at the MRS, and any potentially exposed human and ecological receptors. If possible, include a map of the MRS.

Munitions Response Site Name: Bronson Field Pistol Range

Component: MC

Installation/Property Name: Naval Air Station Pensacola (NAS Pensacola) / Outlying Landing Field Bronson

Location (City, County, State): Pensacola, Escambia County, Florida

Site Name/Project Name (Project No.): Bronson Field Pistol Range

Date Information Entered/Updated: August 7, 2012

Point of Contact (Name/Phone): John Schoolfield/904-542-6418

Project Phase (check only one): _____

<input type="checkbox"/> PA/WAMS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SI	<input type="checkbox"/> RI	<input type="checkbox"/> FS	<input type="checkbox"/> RD
<input type="checkbox"/> RA-C	<input type="checkbox"/> RIP	<input type="checkbox"/> RA-O	<input type="checkbox"/> RC	<input type="checkbox"/> LTM

Media Evaluated (check all that apply): _____

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Groundwater	<input type="checkbox"/> Sediment (human receptor)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil	<input type="checkbox"/> Surface water (ecological receptor)
<input type="checkbox"/> Sediment (ecological receptor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Surface water (human receptor)

MRS Summary:

MRS Description: Describe the munitions-related activities that occurred at the installation, the dates of operation, and the UXO, DMM, or MC known or suspected to be present. When possible, identify munitions, CWM, and MC by type:
The Pistol Range is depicted on historical maps dated 1943 through 1949. Munitions use was probably limited to small arms ammunition, typically .22-, .38-, and .45-caliber, and 9 mm rounds. There is no MC concern for soil or groundwater. There is no further action for soil or groundwater based upon the SI Report.

Description of Pathways for Human and Ecological Receptors:
Not applicable

Description of Receptors (Human and Ecological):
Human receptors: None
Ecological Receptors: None