

N00204.AR.005379  
NAS PENSACOLA  
5090.3a

LETTER REGARDING FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION  
REVIEW AND COMMENTS ON DRAFT FEDERAL FACILITIES AGREEMENT SITE  
MANAGEMENT PLAN CALENDAR YEAR 2015 NAS PENSACOLA FL  
11/13/2014  
FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION



**FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF  
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION**  
BOB MARTINEZ CENTER  
2600 BLAIRSTONE ROAD  
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-2400

RICK SCOTT  
GOVERNOR

CARLOS LOPEZ-CANTERA  
LT. GOVERNOR

HERSCHEL T. VINYARD JR.  
SECRETARY

November 13, 2014

Ms. Patty Marajh-Whitemore  
Remedial Project Manager  
ITP Gulf Coast  
Naval Facilities Engineering Command Southeast  
Attn: AJAX Street, Building 135N  
P.O. Box 30A  
Jacksonville, FL 32212-0030

RE: Draft Federal Facilities Agreement, Site Management Plan, Calendar Year 2015, Naval Air Station Pensacola, Pensacola, Florida

Dear Patty:

I have completed my review of the Draft Federal Facilities Agreement Site Management Plan (SMP), Calendar Year 2015, Naval Air Station Pensacola, dated August 2014 (received September 2, 2014). I have the following comments on the Draft SMP:

- (1) On page 2-3, second paragraph, third sentence, please replace "petroleum related constituent contaminated sites" with "sites contaminated with petroleum or petroleum products".
- (2) On page 2-3, fifth paragraph, fourth sentence, please remove the "and" from "and or".
- (3) On pages 2-3 and 2-4, last sentence at the bottom of page 2-3 extending to page 2-4, please revise the sentence to read correctly.
- (4) On page 2-5, Section 2.4, first paragraph, first sentence, a report (ESI) is identified as a site. Please correct.
- (5) On page 2.5, Section 2.4, third paragraph, first sentence, it says that the operation of the groundwater interception trench was decommissioned because the system was not effective in reducing iron concentrations in the wetland. Please revise the sentence to more accurately state that groundwater extraction from the groundwater interception trench was discontinued because the system was not attaining groundwater or surface water remedial action objectives.
- (6) The Draft SMP uses the terms Potential Sources of Contamination (PSCs) and Sites to designate the same sites in different parts of the document. Please explain how PSCs are related to Sites or if they are equivalent. In Sections 2.0 through 2.3, the term PSCs is mostly used. In Section 2.4, the term Sites is prevalent.

Ms. Marajh-Whittemore  
Draft Site Management Plan  
Calendar Year 2015  
Page 2 of 2  
November 13, 2014

- (7) On page 2-7, second paragraph, last sentence, the word "proposed" is misspelled.
- (8) On page 2-8, in the discussion of OU 11, the paragraph ends with the word I-RACR by itself. Please either complete a sentence with the word or remove it.
- (9) In Table A-1, there are no primary or secondary documents scheduled for OU 18, Site 43. I believe this is in error.
- (10) In Table A-1, there are no secondary documents scheduled for OU 19, Site 44; OU 20, Site 45; and OU 21, Site 46. I believe this is in error. All three sites are expected to undergo continuing groundwater monitoring and there are sampling programs for all three sites that have been approved or are in the process of finalization.

If you have any questions regarding this letter, please contact me at (850) 245-8997.

Sincerely,



David P. Grabka, P.G., Remedial Project Manager  
DoD and Brownfields Partnerships  
Waste Cleanup Program

CC: Greg Campbell, NAS Pensacola  
Tim Woolheater, EPA Region 4  
Gerry Walker, Tetra Tech, Tallahassee  
Allison Harris, Ensafe, Memphis, TN

KAW

