N60508.AR.000139
NAS WHITING FIELD
5090.3a

LETTER REGARDING U S EPA REGION IV COMMENTS ON SITE 29 FEASIBILITY STUDY
NAS WHITING FIELD FL
8/23/2005
U S EPA REGION IV

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
REGION 4
ATLANTA FEDERAL CENTER
61 FORSYTH STREET
ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30303-8960

August 23, 2005

4WD-FFB

Commanding Officer
ATTN Code ES31 **Linda Martin**
Naval Facilities Engineering Command Southern Division
P.O. Box 190010
North Charleston SC 29419-9010

SUBJECT: NAS Whiting Field, Florida
EPA ID# FL2170023244

Dear Ms. Martin:

The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has received (electronically) and reviewed the following document:

- **Feasibility Study for Site 29, Surface and Subsurface Soil, Rev. 0, June 2005, NAS Whiting Field, Milton, FL** (Tetra Tech NUS, Inc.).

Enclosed are EPA's review comments. If you should have any questions, please contact me at (404) 562-8555.

Sincerely,

Craig A. Benedikt
Senior Remedial Project Manager
Federal Facilities Branch

Enclosure

cc: James Cason, FDEP

EPA Review Comments
Feasibility Study
Site 29, Surface and Subsurface Soil
Rev. 0
NAS Whiting Field, Milton, FL
June 2005

1. **Page ES-2, Executive Summary:** In the second sentence of the second paragraph on this page, change "Region IV RAGS" to "Region IX PRGs". In addition, the third sentence should be revised since it was stated in the second sentence that vanadium was detected above the PRGs.
2. **Page 1-2, Section 1.0:** In the second bulleted item on this page, change "contaminants" to "constituents".
3. **Page 1-8, Section 1.4:** Change "NCP" to "CERCLA" in the last sentence of the first paragraph on this page.
4. **Page 2-1, Section 2.0:** Add "to conduct" between "personnel" and "vehicle" in the first sentence of the second paragraph.
5. **Page 2-1, Section 2.1.1:** In the second sentence of the second paragraph, change "RAGS" to "Region IX PRGs" and insert "Region IX" in between "USEPA" and "Residential".
6. **Page 2-3, Section 2.1.2.2:** Subsurface soil samples were collected during the tank removals. The data from these samples should be included in the evaluation.
7. **Page 2-5, Section 2.2.1.1:** In the fifth sentence, add "Region IV" in between "USEPA" and "to".
8. **Page 2-9, Table 2-2 and Table 2-3:** These tables only provide the USEPA Region IX Industrial PRGs for chromium; however, in order to evaluate a no action remedy allowing for unrestricted use, unlimited exposure, the residential PRGs should be used for screening purposes and included in the tables.
9. **Page 2-11, Table 2-4:** In the description of the No Action remedy, the table states that 5-year reviews would be required. However, 5-year reviews are not required or necessary for No Action remedies. Please delete this statement.
10. **Page 2-17, Section 2.4.1.2.1:** A brief description of the LUCs to be implemented should be provided in this section.
11. **Page 2-18, Section 2.4.1.2.2:** The last sentence of the first paragraph in the "Balancing Criteria" subsection should be revised as follows: "A 5-year review would be required to assess the effectiveness of the LUC remedy". In the last sentence on this page, insert "at least" in between "last" and "30".
12. **Page 2-22, Section 2.5:** Revise the last sentence of the second paragraph as follows: "These criteria will be addressed after the public review and comment period has been completed in the form of a responsiveness summary in the Record of Decision".
13. **Page R-1, References:** Please state the subject of the April 30, 2004, letter from James Cason to Linda Martin, listed as the third reference.