

N60508.AR.000637
NAS WHITING FIELD
5090.3a

LETTER WITH FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION COMMENTS
ON TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM ONE THROUGH SIX REGARDING PHASE 1 REMEDIAL
INVESTIGATION FEASIBILITY STUDY NAS WHITING FIELD FL
12/7/1992
FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATION



09.01.00.0026

00334

Florida Department of Envi

Twin Towers Office Bldg. • 2600 Blair Stone Road • Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400

Lawton Chiles, Governor

Carol M. Browner, Secretary

December 7, 1992

**CERTIFIED MAIL
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED**


Ms. Kimberly Queen
Remedial Activities Branch
Southern Division
Naval Facilities Engineering Command
2155 Eagle Drive
Post Office Box 10068
Charleston, South Carolina 29411-0068

Dear Ms. Queen:

Department personnel have completed the review of the Navy's responses to our comments on Technical Memoranda 1 through 6, RI/FS Phase I. I have enclosed a memorandum addressed to me from Mr. Jorge Caspary. It documents our comments on the referenced report.

If I can be of any further assistance with this matter, please contact me at 904/488-0190.

Sincerely,


Eric S. Nuzie
Federal Facilities Coordinator

ESN/bb

Enclosure

cc: Jorge Caspary
Robert Pope
Jerry Young
Lynn Griffin
John Mitchell
David Clowes



State of Florida
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATION

For Routing To Other Than The Addressee	
To: _____	Location: _____
To: _____	Location: _____
To: _____	Location: _____
From: _____	Date: _____

Interoffice Memorandum

TO: Eric S. Nuzie, Federal Facilities Coordinator
Bureau of Waste Cleanup

THROUGH: Dr. James J. Crane, PGIII/Administrator *JJC*
Technical Review Section

FROM: Jorge R. Caspary, P.G. Base Coordinator *J.R.C.*
Technical Review Section

DATE: November 23, 1992

SUBJECT: Review of Navy's responses to Technical Memorandums 1
through 6. Phase I. RI/FS Comments. Whiting Field
Naval Air Station

The above referenced responses have been reviewed; except for those comments discussed during the November 13th meeting, which need to be addressed to pursue a more effective Round II assessment, the remainder are satisfactory and seem to adequately address departmental concerns.