

N60508.AR.000747  
NAS WHITING FIELD  
5090.3a

LETTER REGARDING CONCURRENCE ON DRAFT FINAL TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM 2  
GEOLOGIC ASSESSMENT NAS WHITING FIELD FL  
8/15/1994  
FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION



Lawton Chiles  
Governor

# Department of Environmental Protec

09.01.00.0052

00360

Twin Towers Building  
2600 Blair Stone Road  
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400

Virginia B. Wetherell  
Secretary

August 15, 1994

Mr. Jeff Adams  
Remedial Activities Branch  
Department of the Navy  
Southern Division  
Naval Facilities Engineering Command  
Post Office Box 190010  
North Charleston, SC 29419-9010

Dear Mr. Adams:

Department personnel have completed the technical review of the Draft Final Technical Memorandum No. 2, Geologic Assessment, RI and FS Phase II-A, NAS Whiting Field. I have enclosed a memorandum addressed to me from Mr. Bruce A. Arnett. The concerns detailed in Mr. Arnett's memorandum need to be adequately addressed before we can concur with the referenced document.

If I can be of any further assistance with this matter, please contact me at 904/488-3935.

Sincerely,

Eric S. Nuzie  
Federal Facilities Coordinator

ESN/st

Enclosure

cc: Bruce Arnett  
Tom Moody  
John Mitchell  
James Holland  
Craig Benedikt

*"Protect, Conserve and Manage Florida's Environment and Natural Resources"*

*Printed on recycled paper.*

Florida Department of  
**Environmental Protection**

Memorandum

TO: Eric S. Nuzie, Federal Facilities Coordinator  
Bureau of Waste Cleanup

THROUGH: Dr. James J. Crane, P.G. Administrator  
Technical Review Section *B for JC*

Tim Bahr, P.G. Supervisor  
Technical Review Section *B*

FROM: Bruce A. Arnett, Environmental Specialist II *BAA*  
Technical Review Section

DATE: August 9, 1994

SUBJECT: Draft Final Technical Memorandum No.2, Geologic  
Assessment, RI and FS Phase IIA, NAS Whiting  
Field, Milton, Florida.

---

I have reviewed the subject document dated July 1994 (received July 27, 1994) and submit the following comments:

1. The comments originally made (June 24, 1994) regarding the Draft version of this document have not been addressed. At the least a discussion/meeting should be held to discuss the comments.
2. There seems to be a lack of communication between personnel involved in the UST investigation and personnel involved in the RI investigation. Prior to submitting this document or any other document where there have been adjacent investigations, personnel from the other activity should verify the locations of monitor wells, soil borings and analytical results.
3. Figures 3-12 and 3-19 do not present the same basic data (well locations and similar designation). These and other figures should be proofed to ensure that basic data is the same and accurate.

/baa