

N60508.AR.000793
NAS WHITING FIELD
5090.3a

REMEDIAL PROJECT MANAGERS MEETING MINUTES 26 APRIL 1995 WITH
TRANSMITTAL NAS WHITING FIELD FL
5/2/1995
ABB ENVIRONMENTAL



03.01.00.0076

1D-00/02

May 2, 1995

Jeff Adams, Code 1859
Southern Division
Naval Facilities Engineering Command
P.O. Box 190010
North Charleston, SC 29419-9010

Dear Jeff:

Attached are the meeting minutes from the April 26, 1995 RPM meeting held at NAS Whiting Field.

Sincerely,
ABB Environmental Services Inc.

Terry J. Hansen, P.G.
Task Order Manager

Attachment

cc: Ray Butka, SDIV
Jim Cason, FDEP
Craig Benedickt, USEPA
Jim Holland, NAS Whiting Field
Gerry Walker, ABB-ES

**MEETING MINUTES
APRIL 26, 1995 RPM MEETING
NAS WHITING FIELD**

Attendees

Jim Cason	FDEP
Craig Benedickt	USEPA
Jeff Adams	SDIV
Ray Butka	SDIV
Jim Holland	WHF
Robin Futch	ABB-ES
Gerry Walker	ABB-ES
Terry Hansen	ABB-ES

The evening prior to this RPM meeting the initial RAB set up meeting was held. Eleven interested community members came to the RAB meeting.

Items discussed at the RPM meeting concerned Tech Memo 7 and the upcoming field program.

Specific Discussion Items

BACKGROUND SAMPLES

Additional surface soil samples will be collected from recently burned areas (not sites) to compare to sample data from sites that have been burned.

Three additional surface soil samples will be collected.

SURFACE SOIL SAMPLE INTERVAL

ABB proposes using the interval of 0 to 1 foot bls.

Jim Cason will check on FDEP's position.

BACKGROUND SUBSURFACE SOIL SAMPLES

None have been collected to date and none are proposed in Phase IIB.

Craig Benedickt is to check with EPA risk folks to determine their position (quantity, depth, analysis, etc.).

GROUNDWATER SAMPLING

Filtered versus unfiltered sampling for metals - what to do?

Craig suggested a memo for Bill Bokey at EPA Athens detailing procedure and previous problems. Gerry Walker is to follow up on this issue.

NFA SAMPLING

The sites that are potentially NFAs were discussed and possible data gaps identified.

The idea of grouping Sites 1 & 2 into a separate OU was discussed. This would allow a PRE to be done versus a full Risk Assessment and hopefully expedite getting an IROD.

DATA GAPS IDENTIFIED

Site 1 Downgradient monitoring well, MW, is needed.

Site 2 Two downgradient MWs along southern boundary are needed.

- Site 9 Additional data needs to be collected before determining that the site is ready for NFA. The site may be somewhere else - Site 10? or 11? A soil gas survey will be conducted at Site 9 during the upcoming Phase IIB field work. b
- Site 12 One downgradient MW is needed and soil samples from subsurface.
- Site 21 Sludges/metals are concern; will need to evaluate sampling plan to ensure that what is needed to determine NFA is possible.

COLD WATER CREEK

Surface water and sediment samples should be collected from the drainage ditches where they enter tributaries and before they converge with the creek. This will be done during the Phase IIB field work.

ACTION ITEMS

1. Surface soils - Background depth 0'- 1' vs. 0'- 2.
Jim Cason to check w/ FDEP for their position.
2. Subsurface soils - Background How many? What depth?
Craig Benedickt to check with Risk Group at EPA on this.
3. Filtered vs. unfiltered groundwater samples.
Gerry to send memo to Jeff for proposal to EPA for methodology approval.
4. NFA - What is required for NFA if groundwater is not a pathway?
Jim Cason will check with FDEP and Craig Benedickt will check with EPA.
5. Everybody think about the possibility of an OU for Sites 1 & 2 to be able to get IROD.
6. Cold Water Creek drainage ditches are to be sampled prior to converging with the creek.
7. What is required to get IRODs?
Terry Hansen and Gerry Walker will check for data requirements.
Craig Benedickt will check with EPA.
8. A soil gas survey will be done at Site 9 to try and define it.
9. The availability of SCAPs for site use (9 & 10) will be checked by Jeff Adams.
10. A response to the ASTDR letter/report will be coordinated by Jeff Adams.