

**MONTHLY PROGRESS REVIEW MEETING MINUTES  
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION/FEASIBILITY STUDY  
NAVAL AIR STATION, ALAMEDA  
(Held at the DTSC Office in Berkeley)**

**May 12, 1993**

Attendees:

<u>NAME</u>	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>	<u>PHONE</u>
Tom Lanphar	Dept. Toxic Substances Control (DTSC)	(510) 540-3809
Joseph Chou	DTSC	(510) 540-3775
James Nusrala	Regional Water Quality Control Board	(510) 286-0301
Scott Weber	Montgomery Watson	(510) 975-3511
Mike Petouhoff	Naval Air Station (NAS) Alameda	(510) 263-3726
Randy Cate	NAS Alameda	(510) 263-3716
Amelia Duque	NAS Alameda	(510) 263-3715
Roger Caswell	Naval Aviation Depot	(510) 263-6241
Duane Balch	PRC Environmental Management, Inc.	(916) 852-8300
Marcelo Pascua	U.S. Navy, Western Div. (WESTDIV)	(415) 244-2522
Gary Munekawa	WESTDIV	(415) 244-2524
George Kikugawa	WESTDIV	(415) 244-2559

**AGENDA ITEMS:**

**I. IMF Site Removal Action**

- The Navy discussed its progress towards implementing an interim removal action at the IMF site. The DTSC indicated that it preferred Alternatives No. 4 (excavation with recycling), or Alternative No. 5 (excavation with disposal to a Class I facility) if lead/acid levels would not allow recycling.
- The Navy discussed how it intended to use Navy Public Works Center personnel under supervision of the PRC team to perform the excavation work. Also discussed were issues related to confirmatory soil sampling and DTSC comments to the draft IMF Site Interim Removal Action Disposal/Treatment Alternatives Report. Mr. Tom Lanphar of the DTSC indicated that he would check with DTSC management concerning collection of composite soil samples versus bottom and sidewall samples from the excavation pit. Other discussion topics included possibly using some type of accelerated field screening techniques for lead, and monitoring well abandonment protocols/permits.
- The Navy indicated that it would be issuing the request for proposal/statement of work (RFP/SOW) for implementing the removal action to the PRC team by the end of May. Due to possible funding constraints, the Navy stated that it would make every effort to mobilize to the field (begin excavation) by mid-August.

## **II. Federal Facilities Site Remediation Agreement (FFSRA)**

- Work continues on drafting a Federal Facilities Site Remediation Agreement (FFSRA) for NAS Alameda. The Navy is putting together the facility-specific information required for the draft, and a listing of the chemicals of concern for the facility was discussed with the DTSC.
- Based on current work loads, the Navy felt it should try to finish the draft by June/July for DTSC review and comment.

## **III. RI/FS Schedule**

- A draft copy of a 12-page RI/FS schedule was shown to the DTSC and RWQCB. The schedule was put together as requested by the DTSC in their March 29, 1993, letter to the Navy, and included start-end dates and deliverables for all anticipated RI/FS and Remedial Action Plan activities. As a result of discussions of the timing of some DTSC reviews of secondary documents (e.g. the follow-on field sampling plans [FSP]), it was agreed that some of the scheduled reviews would be shifted and that the RI/FS schedule would be regenerated.

## **IV. Status of Ecological Assessment**

- The Navy indicated that field sampling activities and bioassay work on previously collected samples were continuing, but that this week no field sampling was occurring so that the bioassay work would not get too backlogged. Mr. Lanphar and Mr. James Nusrala of the RWQCB said they had been out in the field in mid-April to witness the ecological assessment field work (in some instances actually assisting with sample gathering), and found the process to be very thorough and interesting.

## **V. RI/FS Work Plan Revision**

- The RI/FS Work Plan Revision is nearing completion, and Navy expects to complete its internal review of the revisions by the end of June.

## **VI. Status of Phases 2B and 3, and Phases 5 and 6 Follow-on Field Sampling Plans**

- Draft copies of the Field Sampling Plans for Follow-on Work, Phases 2B and 3; and for Phases 5 and 6, Landfill Investigations; were hand-delivered to the DTSC and RWQCB on April 2, 1993. Mr. Lanphar indicated that his review of both FSPs would be completed by June 1, 1993.
- Mr. Lanphar inquired as to the rationale for sampling in the Runway areas. Mr. Weber of Montgomery Watson, explained how this area was used for background reference data to Sites 1 and 2, and as a tie-in to the upgradient RI sites east of the Runway Area.

**VII. CTO 0121 Modification No. 02 for the Phase 2A Follow-on Field Sampling Plan**

- The Navy discussed its continuing contractual activities to start preparation of the Phase 2A FSP (CTO 0121 Mod 02). The PRC team submitted its response to the Navy's RFP/SOW on April 16, 1993, and the Navy expects to negotiate the contract on June 1, 1993.
- The Navy told the DTSC and RWQCB that the revised target date for getting a draft Phase 2A FSP to them was now August 17, 1993.

**IX. CTO 0252 for the Building 5 Plating Shop Site Investigation**

- Also discussed was contractual activity for funding the remaining site investigative work required at the Site 5 plating shop (CTO 0252). The PRC team submitted its response to the Navy's RFP/SOW on May 7, 1993. The Navy indicated that they expected to negotiate this CTO by mid-June.

**X. Interim Removal Actions (IRA) at Sites 7A and 15**

- The Navy told the DTSC and RWQCB that it had drafted up separate SOWs for removal action activities at Sites 7A and 15. It expects that these SOWs will be distributed to its contractor before the end of May.

**XI. IMF Floating Product Removal**

- Lt. Mike Petouhoff provided copies of the IT Corporation progress reports (dated April 30 and May 11, 1993) on the status of the floating product recovery activities occurring at the IMF site. After installation and testing, a floating product recovery system installed in well MW-IMF-01 was activated by March 26, 1993. The system has recovered about 6.4 gallons of "black oil" in 26 days. According to IT Corp., as of May 11, 1993, the system was recovering about 50 milliliters per week (about three cubic inches for perspective). It was noted that during soil excavation for the removal action at the IMF site it is possible that this well will be abandoned and destroyed.

**XII. Other Issues**

- The Navy informed DTSC and RWQCB that due to concerns at other Navy facilities (e.g. Hunters Point), that it had provided the Navy's Radiological Affairs Support Office (RASO) with copies of past reports dealing with the usage, handling or disposal of radioactive waste materials. Following any comments from RASO, the Navy stated that they would keep the agencies informed if any new information became available. Current information indicates that a radium dial painting shop near Site 10A (Building 400) was decontaminated in 1979, and separately, that radium-bearing wastes (scrapings, rags, etc.) were reportedly disposed (quantities unknown) at the landfills at Sites 1 and 2. It was suggested that a Geiger-Mueller meter be used for radiation

screening during the subsequent field work at these sites.

- Mr. Roger Caswell of NADEP asked for copies of the Volume 2 (of 2) document that was part of the August 1992 draft final CTO 0121 Modification No. 01 data summary report.
- No future meeting date was set for the next progress review meeting, though it is expected one will be scheduled after the participants meet for the next Technical Review Committee meeting at the DTSC office in Berkeley at 0900 on June 2, 1993.



TRANSMITTAL/DELIVERABLE RECEIPT

Contract No. N68711-00-D-0005

Document Control No. TC . A021 . 10075

TO: Mr. Ron Fuller, Code 02R1.RF
Contracting Officer
Naval Facilities Engineering Command
Southwest Division
1230 Columbia Street, Suite 1100
San Diego, CA 92101-8517

DATE: 04/03/03
DO: 021
LOCATION: Alameda Point, Alameda, California

FROM: [Signature]
Michael Wanta, Contract Manager

DOCUMENT TITLE AND DATE:

BRAC Cleanup Team After Action Reports from 1990 through 2000 and 2001 through 2002

April 2, 2003

TYPE: [ ] Contractual Deliverable [ ] Technical Deliverable (DS) [X] Other (TC)

VERSION: NA REVISION #: NA
(e.g., Draft, Draft Final, Final)

ADMIN RECORD: Yes [X] No [ ] CATEGORY: Confidential [ ]

SCHEDULED DELIVERY DATE: NA ACTUAL DELIVERY DATE: 04/03/03

NUMBER OF COPIES SUBMITTED TO NAVY: 0/3C/4E
O = original transmittal form
C = copy of transmittal form
E = enclosure

COPIES TO: (Include Name, Navy Mail Code, and Number of Copies)

Table with 3 columns: NAVY, TETRA TECH, OTHER. Rows include M. McClelland (06Camm), Diane Silva (05G.DS)\*, and empty rows for additional recipients.

Date/Time Received