

From: Ocampo, Luciano A CIV NAVFAC SW [luciano.ocampo@navy.mil]
Sent: Wednesday, February 22, 2006 3:58 PM
To: Dot Lofstrom (E-mail)
Subject: Documentation-Unresolved issues SWMUs IR 26

N00236.002329
ALAMEDA POINT
SSIC NO. 5090.3

Hi Dot,

I thought I sent the below email to you last Friday 2/17/06 but just found today, 2/22 that it was not. Anyway, I FEDEX the information last Friday. Let me know.

Lou
-x-x-x-x-x-x-

Hi Dot,

Per our phone conversation of today, forwarding you via FEDEX the following:

a) Additional Navy Response to DTSC comments - One sheet tabulation I prepared on January 31, 2006. Although you already have a copy, it is included for handy reference.

b) Navy Response to Comments on the Draft Final Proposed Plan for IR 26, Alameda Point mailed to USEPA (Anna Marie Cook) from Navy (Thomas Macchiarella) of November 18, 2005. Marcia Liao and Judy Huang are in the distribution.

c) - Review Status of RCRA for EBS at Alameda Point, DTSC letter (Mary Rose Cassa) to Navy (Warren Yip) of November 4, 1999.

Please call me if you need more information.

Lou Ocampo, PE
BRAC PMO/NAVFAC SW
Remedial Project Manager
tel. # (619) 532 -0969
fax # (619) 532-0995

**NAVY RESPONSE TO COMMENTS FROM DTSC ON THE DRAFT FINAL PROPOSED PLAN IR SITE 26, WESTERN HANGER ZONE,
ALAMEDA POINT, ALAMEDA, CALIFORNIA**

SWMU DESIGNATION	DTSC COMMENTS DATE 03 OCT 2005 ON DRAFT FINAL PROPOSED PLAN IR26	NAVY RESPONSE TO COMMENTS (RTCs) of 08 Nov 2005	ADDITIONAL NAVY INFORMATION
AST 024A, AST 024B, AST 024C, AST 024D and AST 024E	Concurs with Navy's NFA recommendation. Small tanks ceiling mounted above concrete floor	No response. Navy and DTSC concurs	None required
AST 021B and AST 540	Contact RWQCB for closure of these petroleum tanks	Will coordinate with RWQCB on the tanks	None required
OWS 020 and WD 020	Concurs with Navy recommendation for further action	No response. Navy and DTSC concurs	None required
NAS GAP 19, 20, 21 & 22, WD 023	Data presented in the RI report IR26 was questionable. Requests hydro punch sampling	Disagrees. See pages 2 thru 3 of Navy RTCs.	See Note #1
Area immediately outside the hangar doors, 20,21,22 and 23	AOCs. Requests hydro punch sampling to evaluate potential infiltration of contaminants from wash down of floors	Disagrees. See pages 2 thru 3 of Navy RTCs.	See Note #1
Floor Drains and Associated Piping	AOCs. Requests for as-built drawings for location and groundwater sampling adjacent to floor drains.	Disagrees. See pages 2 thru 3 of Navy RTCs.	See Note #1

NOTES:

- 1) DTSC letter of 04 November 1999 (Mary Rose Cassa) to Navy (Warren Yip) concurred with no further action (NFA) on NAS GAP 19 thru 22, see page 3 of 16 of the letter attachment. This concurrence of NFAs by DTSC was further documented in the final RI, IR 26 volume I of III, page 1-17, section 1.3.4.6. Also, Figure 3-1 of the same report shows multitude of groundwater and soil sampling that resulted to the current characterization of the site. The CERCLA process continued from the RI to the FS, PP and draft ROD. Furthermore, the selected CERCLA remedy under the FS and PP, and corrective action under the petroleum program include groundwater monitoring until the cleanup goals are attained.