



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
SOUTHWEST DIVISION
NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND
1220 PACIFIC HIGHWAY
SAN DIEGO, CA 92132 - 5190

M60050.003206
MCAS EL TORO
SSIC NO. 5090.3

5090
Ser 09C.RC/0977
July 2, 2003

Mr. John E. Scandura, Chief
Southern California Branch
Office of Military Facilities
Department of Toxic Substances Control
California Environmental Protection Agency
5796 Corporate Avenue
Cypress, CA 90603

Dear Mr. Scandura:

This letter is a follow-up to our discussions at the June 12, 2003 meeting between Southwest Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command (SWDIV) managers, Mr. Rick Moss, Mr. Tony Landis, and yourself. As we discussed, the public sale of Marine Corps Air Station (MCAS) El Toro is a national priority for the senior leadership of the Department of Navy (DoN). We appreciate the support the regulatory team has been dedicating to this project and believe that resolution of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) permit Corrective Action Completion determination at El Toro is pivotal.

DoN is intensively preparing for the public sale of a large portion of the MCAS El Toro facility in March of 2004. The SWDIV environmental and real estate staff is heavily involved in the effort. The Draft Final Environmental Baseline Survey (EBS), Draft Finding of Suitability for Transfer (FOST), and Draft Finding of Suitability to Lease (FOSL) are currently under review by USEPA and the State of California. DoN plans to issue those documents in final form on or about August 13, 2003. The property that is identified as being suitable for transfer in the FOST will be included in the public sale.

The General Services Administration (GSA) is assisting DoN in the preparation for and conduct of the sale. GSA plans to initiate an Internet public auction through publication of an Invitation for Bid (IFB) on the Internet in August of this year. DoN plans to use the proceeds from the sale to fund remediation at El Toro and other DoN BRAC installations.

There has been discussion between our organizations as to whether a RCRA permit modification will be required at MCAS El Toro to document the completion of RCRA corrective action. DTSC has invoked USEPA's "Final Guidance on Completion of Corrective Action Activities at RCRA Facilities" published at 68 Federal Register 8757 on February 25, 2003 as requiring a modification. As we explained at the June 12 meeting, DoN believes that there are unique factors at MCAS El Toro that render such action unnecessary. Mr. Moss expressed support for streamlining the process as effectively as possible and invited SWDIV to present those factors. This letter serves that purpose.

We have discussed the February 25, 2003 policy with USEPA staff. They have assured us that the policy does not mandate that the State require permit modifications at MCAS El Toro and that the State has flexibility and discretion in implementing the policy.

5090
Ser 09C.RC/0977
July 2, 2003

Although the policy indicates that permit modification procedures are generally appropriate, it also states "Of course, if a facility's permit or order provides otherwise, these procedures would not be appropriate at a facility." See Footnote 16 on Page 8763 of the policy. The policy also acknowledges that Federal Facilities such as MCAS El Toro present unique issues. See Page 8760 of the policy.

In summary, DoN believes that the specific language of MCAS El Toro Federal Facility Agreement (FFA) and RCRA Part B permit provisions that integrate RCRA corrective action and CERCLA requirements "provide otherwise" as provided by Footnote 16 of USEPA's policy. Furthermore, implementation of those integrated provisions over the past decade, the current draft EBS and FOST documentation and determinations, and completed and ongoing CERCLA and BRAC public participation efforts are additional unique factors that support the conclusions that USEPA's February 25, 2003 policy has been satisfied and that there is no need to engage in a permit modification process at MCAS El Toro. The Attachment to this letter sets forth a specific discussion of these unique factors. DoN believes that they support an exercise of the State's discretion not to pursue a permit modification.

DoN is quite concerned that the as-yet undefined permit modification process may create unnecessary redundancy with FFA and FOST work in progress, confuse the public, and disrupt the impending public sale, especially if a permit modification public comment period is open and the issues are not resolved with finality at the time of the auction. We would like to meet with you as soon as possible to resolve this issue.

Please contact Mr. Walter Sandza at (619) 532-1234 to make arrangements for the meeting or raise technical questions concerning this letter. Please contact Mr. Rex Callaway at (619) 532-0988, if you have any legal questions. We appreciate the continued support of you and your team to facilitate efficient and timely transfer of BRAC property.

Sincerely,


LAURA DUCHNAK
BRAC Operations Officer
Base Realignment and Closure

Attachment (with enclosures)

- Encl: (1) MCAS El Toro Federal Facility Agreement (September 1990)
(2) MCAS El Toro RCRA Part B permit (June 1993)
(3) Part B permit termination letter from Mr. Mohindur S. Sandhu (DTSC) to Lt. Col. Dennis M. Bevis (MCAS El Toro) of March 8, 1996
(4) FFA IRP Site 1 letter from Mr. John E. Scandura (DTSC) to Mr. Dean Gould (MCAS El Toro) of February 27, 2002

5090
Ser 09C.RC/0977
July 2, 2003

Copy to:
Mr. Frederick Moss
Division Chief
Office of Military Facilities
California Department of Toxic Substances Control
P.O. Box 806
1001 J Street, 11th Floor
Sacramento, CA 95812-0806

Ms. Debbie Jordan
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Mail Code STD-8-2, Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Ms. Thelma Estrada
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Mail Code STD-8-2, Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Commandant of the Marine Corps
Attn: LCDR Tricia Samora, USN
2 Navy Annex, Room 3109 (LFL)
Washington, D.C. 20380-1775

COMMANDER
ATTN (AC/S ENVIRN MGT)
MCAB MIRAMAR
P.O. Box 452001
SAN DIEGO CA 92145-2001

Mr. Jim Kikta
Marine Corps BRAC Project Manager
MCAS El Toro
7040 Trabuco Road
Irvine, CA 92618

Ms. Nicole Moutoux
Remedial Project Manager
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Mail Code STD-8-2, Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Mr. Rafat Abbasi
Remedial Project Manager
California Department of Toxic Substances Control
5796 Corporate Avenue

**MCAS El Toro:
Unique Factors Relevant to Completion of RCRA Corrective Action Determinations**

The unique factors presented at MCAS El Toro are as follows:

1. The MCAS El Toro FFA and RCRA Part B permit provide that the FFA shall address corrective action requirements.

Marine Corps Air Station (MCAS) El Toro is a Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) National Priorities List (NPL) site and has been the subject of a Federal Facility Agreement (FFA) among DoN, USEPA, and the State of California since 1990 (enclosure 1). MCAS El Toro is also subject to a RCRA Part B permit issued in June 1993 that addresses one regulated unit (Building 673-T3) as well as RCRA corrective action requirements for Solid Waste Management Units (SWMUs) (Enclosure 2). DoN has acknowledged the applicability of RCRA corrective action requirements at MCAS El Toro in the FFA and the RCRA Part B permit and still does so.

The FFA and RCRA permit both clearly provide that the FFA governs RCRA corrective action for SWMUs as well as CERCLA remedy selection and remedial action and institute a single integrated process to coordinate the integration of overlapping RCRA corrective action and CERCLA requirements. The FFA explicitly states that it serves as a RCRA corrective action order while it is in effect (Paragraph 3.1 of FFA). See also Paragraphs 1.1(b) and 17.1 of the FFA. The MCAS El Toro Part B permit incorporates the FFA by reference and specifically provides that: "The activities required by the Agreement [FFA] are intended to satisfy the corrective action requirements of RCRA section 3004(u) and (v), 42 U.S.C. Section 6924(u) and (v)." See Paragraph V.A.1 of the Part B permit.

The FFA and Part B permit exempt RCRA corrective action decisions from permit modification requirements. The RCRA Part B permit only specifically requires permit modifications for corrective action decisions, schedules, and modifications following termination of the governing FFA. See Paragraph V.B.1.c. and V.B.1.d of the Part B permit.

Clearly, these provisions of the MCAS El Toro FFA and RCRA Part permit "provide otherwise" at MCAS El Toro within the meaning of Footnote 16 on Page 8763 of USEPA's February 25, 2003 policy.

2. The FFA process has addressed RCRA corrective action requirements over the past decade as required by the FFA and RCRA Part B permit.

The implementation of the FFA and RCRA Part B permit over the past decade has been consistent with the legal framework. Investigation and cleanup of the regulated unit (Building 673-T3) has been completed. DTSC approved DoN's Closure Certification Report and purported to terminate the Part B permit in a letter from Mr. Mohindur S. Sandhu to Lt. Col. Dennis M. Bevis of March 8, 1996 (enclosure 3). Investigation and cleanup of actual and potential SWMUs is continuing under the FFA.

The FFA specifically identified several SWMUs that were initially classified as Installation Restoration Program (IRP) Sites at the time that the FFA was signed. See Appendix A of FFA. Investigations of and several important cleanup decisions addressing these initial IRP SWMUs have been made pursuant to the FFA over the past several years. DTSC verified that the FFA would address such sites in a letter of February 27, 2002 confirming that DoN and DTSC would address IRP Site 1 and substantive RCRA closure and post-closure requirements under the FFA (enclosure 4).

The RCRA permit defers to the FFA to address the process for identifying and managing potential and actual "new" SWMUs so long as the FFA remains in effect. The permit process for identification of new SWMUs set forth in Paragraph V.D of the RCRA Part B permit will not take effect until the FFA is terminated as provided by the last sentence of Paragraph V.A.2 of the permit. Appendix A of the FFA provides that sites that are identified in the RCRA Facility Assessment (RFA) process as requiring an Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study (RI/FS) will be designated as IRP sites and moved into Operable Unit No. 4 under the FFA.

Consistent with these provisions of the FFA and RCRA Part B permit, DoN and the BRAC Cleanup Team (BCT) have reviewed literally hundreds of potential and actual sites that fall within the definition of SWMUs over the course of the last decade. These potential and actual SWMUs have been addressed in investigations that have satisfied the requirements for RFAs or the CERCLA equivalent Preliminary Assessment/Site Inspections (PA/SIs). These "non-IRP Site" potential and actual SWMUs are identified and addressed in the current Draft Final Environmental Baseline Survey (EBS) and Draft Finding of Suitability for Transfer (FOST) as various sub-categories of "non-IRP" Locations of Concern (LOCs). The EBS and FOST address DoN's obligations under Section 120(h) of CERCLA and Paragraph 28 of the FFA, summarize the decisions made over the years under the FFA process, and reference the supporting documents. The Final FOST will include specific language addressing completion of RCRA corrective action for all SWMUs at MCAS El Toro.

3. The FFA process has provided and will continue to provide opportunities for public participation in corrective action decisions that exceed those that would otherwise apply in a "pure" RCRA corrective action program.

The FFA process at MCAS El Toro has involved and continues to involve extensive participation by Federal and State regulatory agencies as well as the public. The BRAC Cleanup Team (BCT) and Restoration Advisory Board review and comment on documents produced during the remedy selection process and meet on a regular basis to discuss ongoing progress on cleanup issues. The public participation requirements of CERCLA and the National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan (NCP) have been addressed and complied with.

The FFA also requires that DoN comply with the real property transfer requirements of Section 120(h) of CERCLA (Paragraph 28). The regulators and public have the opportunity to comment on draft Findings of Suitability for Transfer (FOSTs). Collectively, these public participation measures equal or exceed the level of public participation required for the RCRA permit modification process.

5090
Ser 09C.RC/0977
July 2, 2003

Blind copy to:
03E (Kirkbright)
03EN
05GIH (w/2 copies of encl for AR)
05GIH (w/encl for IR)
06CC
06CC.JS
06CC.AP
06CC.CA
09C.RC
Read File
Serial File

Writer: R. Callaway, Code 09C.RC, 2-0988

Typist: B. Foster, Code 06BU.BF, 2-0914, ET.RCRA#6a 030626\2 JUL 03

OGA

09C.R

03A

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
REGION 9
AND THE
STATE OF CALIFORNIA
AND THE
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

IN THE MATTER OF:)
The U.S. Department)
of the Navy)
Marine Corps Air Station)
El Toro)
_____)

Federal Facility
Agreement Under
CERCLA Section 120

Administrative
Docket Number: 91-2

EPA Region IX/State of California/Marine Corps FFA
Marine Corps Air Station El Toro

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	Purpose.....	3
2.	Parties.....	4
3.	Jurisdiction.....	5
4.	Definitions.....	6
5.	Determinations.....	9
6.	Work to be Performed.....	10
7.	Consultation: Review and Comment Process for Draft and Final Documents.....	11
8.	Deadlines.....	17
9.	Extensions.....	18
10.	Force Majeure.....	20
11.	Emergencies and Removals.....	21
12.	Dispute Resolution.....	24
13.	Enforceability.....	27
14.	Stipulated Penalties.....	28
15.	Funding.....	30
16.	Exemptions.....	31
17.	Statutory Compliance/RCRA-CERCLA Integration.....	31
18.	Project Managers.....	32
19.	Permits.....	34
20.	Quality Assurance.....	35
21.	Notification.....	36
22.	Data and Document Availability.....	37
23.	Release of Records.....	37
24.	Preservation of Records.....	38
25.	Access to Marine Corps Air Station El Toro.....	39
26.	Public Participation and Community Relations.....	41
27.	Five-Year Review.....	41
28.	Transfer of Real Property.....	42
29.	Amendment or Modification of Agreement.....	43
30.	Termination of the Agreement.....	43
31.	Covenant Not To Sue and Reservation of Rights.....	43
32.	Other Claims.....	44
33.	Recovery of EPA Expenses.....	44
34.	State Support Services.....	44
35.	State Participation Contingency.....	48
36.	Effective Date and Public Comment.....	48
37.	Base Closure	50
38.	Appendices and Attachments.....	50

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
REGION 9
AND THE
STATE OF CALIFORNIA
AND THE
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

IN THE MATTER OF:)	
The U.S. Department)	Federal Facility
of the Navy)	Agreement Under
Marine Corps Air Station)	CERCLA Section 120
El Toro)	Administrative
)	Docket Number: 91-2
)	
)	

Based on the information available to the Parties on the effective date of this federal facility agreement (Agreement), and without trial or adjudication of any issues of fact or law, the Parties agree as follows:

1. PURPOSE

1.1 The general purposes of this Agreement are to:

- (a) Ensure that the environmental impacts associated with past and present activities at the Site are thoroughly investigated and appropriate remedial action taken as necessary to protect the public health, welfare and the environment;
- (b) Establish a procedural framework and schedule for developing, implementing and monitoring appropriate response actions at the Site in accordance with CERCLA/SARA, the NCP, Superfund guidance and policy, RCRA, RCRA guidance and policy, and applicable State law; and
- (c) Facilitate cooperation, exchange of information and participation of the Parties in such action; and
- (d) Ensure the adequate assessment of potential injury to natural resources and the prompt notification to and cooperation and coordination with the Federal and State Natural Resource Trustees necessary to ensure the implementation of response actions achieving appropriate cleanup levels.

1.2 Specifically, the purposes of this Agreement are to:

- (a) Identify operable unit alternatives which are appropriate at the Site prior to the implementation of fina

EPA Region IX/State of California/Marine Corps FFA
Marine Corps Air Station El Toro

remedial action(s) for the Site. OU alternatives shall be identified to the Parties as early as possible prior to proposal of OUs to EPA and the State. This process is designed to promote cooperation among Parties in identifying OU alternatives prior to the final selection of Operable Units;

(b) Establish requirements for the performance of a Remedial Investigation to determine fully the nature and extent of the threat to the public health or welfare or the environment caused by the release and threatened release of hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants at the Site and to establish requirements for the performance of a Feasibility Study for the Site to identify, evaluate, and select alternatives for the appropriate remedial action(s) to prevent, mitigate, or abate the release or threatened release of hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants at the Site in accordance with CERCLA and applicable State law;

(c) Identify the nature, objective, and schedule of response actions to be taken at the Site. Response actions at the Site shall attain that degree of cleanup of hazardous substances, pollutants or contaminants mandated by CERCLA and applicable State law;

(d) Implement the selected remedial actions(s) in accordance with CERCLA and applicable State law and meet the requirements of CERCLA section 120(e)(2), 42 U.S.C. § 9620(e)(2), pertaining to interagency agreements;

(e) Assure compliance, through this Agreement, with RCRA and other federal and State hazardous waste laws and regulations for matters covered herein;

(f) Coordinate response actions at the Site with the mission and support activities at Marine Corps Air Station El Toro;

(g) Expedite the cleanup process to the extent consistent with protection of human health and the environment;

(h) Provide for State involvement in the initiation, development, selection and enforcement of remedial actions to be undertaken at Marine Corps Air Station El Toro, including the review of all applicable data as it becomes available and the development of studies, reports, and action plans; and to identify and integrate State ARARs into the remedial action process;

(i) Provide for operation and maintenance of any remedial action selected and implemented pursuant to this Agreement.

2. PARTIES

2.1 The Parties to this Agreement are EPA, the Marine Corps, and the State of California. The terms of the Agreement shall

EPA Region IX/State of California/Marine Corps FFA
Marine Corps Air Station El Toro

apply to and be binding upon EPA, the State of California, and the Marine Corps. The Department of the Navy hereby agrees to ensure the Marine Corps's performance of each of the Marine Corps's obligations hereunder.

2.2 This Agreement shall be enforceable against all of the Parties to this Agreement. This Section shall not be construed as an agreement to indemnify any person. The Marine Corps shall notify its agents, members, employees, response action contractors for the Site, and all subsequent owners, operators, and lessees of the Site, of the existence of this Agreement.

2.3 Each Party shall be responsible for ensuring that its contractors comply with the terms and conditions of this Agreement. Failure of a Party to provide proper direction to its contractors and any resultant noncompliance with this Agreement by a contractor shall not be considered a Force Majeure event or other good cause for extensions under Section 9 (Extensions), unless the Parties so agree. The Marine Corps will notify EPA and the State of the identity and assigned tasks of each of its contractors performing work under this Agreement upon their selection.

2.4 The State of California is represented by DHS as lead agency and RWQCB as support agency. The responsibilities of the lead and support agencies are set forth in this Agreement, the Memorandum of Understanding between DHS and the State Water Resources Control Board and the Regional Water Quality Control Boards for the Cleanup of Hazardous Waste Sites (Aug. 1, 1990) and the Regional Memorandum of Understanding between DHS, Toxic Substances Control Program, Region 4, and RWQCB, each of which is attached hereto. In the event of conflict, this Agreement shall govern.

3. JURISDICTION

3.1 Each Party is entering into this Agreement pursuant to the following authorities:

(a) The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), enters into those portions of this Agreement that relate to the remedial investigation/feasibility study (RI/FS) pursuant to CERCLA section 120(e)(1), 42 U.S.C. § 9620(e)(1), RCRA sections 6001, 3008(h) & 3004(u) and (v), 42 U.S.C. § 6961, 6928(h), 6924(u) & (v), and E.O. 12580;

(b) EPA enters into those portions of this Agreement that relate to operable units and final remedial actions pursuant to CERCLA section 120(e)(2), 42 U.S.C. § 9620(e)(2), RCRA

EPA Region IX/State of California/Marine Corps FFA
Marine Corps Air Station El Toro

sections 6001, 3008(h) and 3004(u) & (v), 42 U.S.C. § 6961, 6928(h), 6924(u) & (v), and E.O. 12580;

(c) The Marine Corps enters into those portions of this Agreement that relate to the RI/FS pursuant to CERCLA section 120(e)(1), 42 U.S.C. § 9620(e)(1), RCRA sections 6001, 3008(h) and 3004(u) & (v), 42 U.S.C. § 6961, 6928(h), 6924(u) & (v), E.O. 12580, the National Environmental Policy Act, 42 U.S.C. § 4321, and DERP;

(d) The Marine Corps enters into those portions of this Agreement that relate to operable units and final remedial actions pursuant to CERCLA section 120(e)(2), 42 U.S.C. § 9620(e)(2), RCRA sections 6001, 3008(h), and 3004(u) & (v), 42 U.S.C. § 6961, 6928(h), 6924(u) & (v), E.O. 12580 and the DERP; and

(e) The State, represented by DHS and the RWQCB, enters into this Agreement pursuant to CERCLA sections 120(f) and 121, 42 U.S.C. § 9620(f) and 9621; California Health and Safety Code section 102 and division 20, chapters 6.5 and 6.8; and California Water Code division 7.

4. DEFINITIONS

4.1 Except as noted below or otherwise explicitly stated, the definitions provided in CERCLA, CERCLA case law, and the NCP shall control the meaning of terms used in this Agreement.

(a) "Agreement" shall mean this document and shall include all Appendices to this document except to the extent the Parties agree that any part of any Appendix is inconsistent with this Agreement. Except to such extent, all Appendices shall be made an integral and enforceable part of this document. Copies of Appendices shall be available as part of the administrative record, as provided in subsection 26.3.

(b) "ARARs" shall mean federal and State applicable or relevant and appropriate requirements, standards, criteria, or limitations selected pursuant to section 121 of CERCLA. ARARs shall apply in the same manner and to the same extent that such are applied to any non-governmental entity, facility, unit, or site, as set forth in CERCLA section 120(a)(1), 42 U.S.C. § 9620(a)(1), subject to CERCLA section 121(d)(4), 42 U.S.C. § 9621(d)(4) and E.O. 12580 § 2(d) & (g).

(c) "CERCLA" shall mean the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act, Public Law 96-510, 42 U.S.C. § 9601 et seq., as amended by SARA and any subsequent amendments.

(d) "Days" shall mean calendar days, unless business days are specified. Any submittal that under the terms of this Agreement would be due on Saturday, Sunday, or holiday shall be due on the following business day. References herein to specific

EPA Region IX/State of California/Marine Corps FFA
Marine Corps Air Station El Toro

numbers of days shall be understood to exclude the day of occurrence.

(e) "DERP" shall refer to the Defense Environmental Restoration Program, as defined in 10 U.S.C. § 2701.

(f) "Department of Defense" shall mean the U.S. Department of Defense.

(g) "DRC" shall have the meaning given in subsection 12.2.

(h) "DHS" shall mean the California Department of Health Services, its successors and its employees and authorized representatives.

(i) "EPA" shall mean the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, its successors and its employees and authorized representatives.

(j) "Facility" shall have the same definition as in CERCLA section 101(9), 42 U.S.C. § 9601(9).

(k) "Feasibility Study" or "FS" means a study conducted pursuant to CERCLA and the NCP which fully develops, screens and evaluates in detail remedial action alternatives to prevent, mitigate, or abate the migration or the release of hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants at and from the Site. The Marine Corps shall conduct and prepare the FS in a manner to support the intent and objectives of Section 17 (Statutory Compliance/RCRA-CERCLA Integration).

(l) "FOIA" shall mean the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552 et seq., and any subsequent amendments thereto.

(m) "Marine Corps" shall mean the U.S. Marine Corps (a component of the U.S. Department of the Navy) and its employees, members, agents, and authorized representatives. "Marine Corps" shall also include the U.S. Department of the Navy and the U.S. Department of Defense, to the extent necessary to effectuate the terms of this Agreement, including, but not limited to, appropriations, funding and Congressional Reporting Requirements.

(n) "Meeting," in regard to Project Managers, shall mean an in-person discussion at a single location or a conference telephone call of all Project Managers. A conference call will suffice for an in-person meeting at the concurrence of the Project Managers.

(o) "National Contingency Plan" or "NCP" shall refer to the regulations contained in 40 C.F.R. § 300.1 et seq., including any amendments thereto.

(p) "Natural Resource Trustee(s)" and "Federal or State Natural Resource Trustees" shall have the same meaning and authority provided in CERCLA and the NCP.

(q) "Natural Resource Trustee(s) Notification and Coordination" shall have the same meaning as provided in CERCLA and the NCP.

(r) "Operable Unit" or "OU" shall have the meaning

EPA Region IX/State of California/Marine Corps FFA
Marine Corps Air Station El Toro

provided in the NCP.

(s) "Operation and maintenance" shall mean activities required to maintain the effectiveness of response actions.

(t) "Parties" shall mean the parties to this Agreement.

(u) "Project Manager" shall have the meaning given in Section 18 of this Agreement.

(v) "QAPP" shall mean a Quality Assurance Project Plan.

(w) "RCRA" or "RCRA/HSWA" shall mean the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976, Public Law 94-580, 42 U.S.C. § 6901 et seq., as amended by the Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments of 1984, Public Law 98-616, and any subsequent amendments.

(x) "Remedial Design" or "RD" shall have the same meaning as provided in the NCP.

(y) "Remedial Investigation" or "RI" means that investigation conducted pursuant to CERCLA and the NCP, as supplemented by the substantive provisions of the EPA RCRA Facilities Assessment guidance. The RI serves as a mechanism for collecting data for Site and waste characterization and conducting treatability studies as necessary to evaluate performance and cost of the treatment technologies. The data gathered during the RI will also be used to conduct a baseline risk assessment, perform a feasibility study, and support design of a selected remedy. The Marine Corps shall conduct and prepare the RI in a manner to support the intent and objectives of Section 17 (Statutory Compliance/RCRA-CERCLA Integration).

(z) "Remedy" or "Remedial Action" or "RA" shall have the same meaning as provided in section 101(24) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601(24), and the NCP, and may consist of Operable Units.

(aa) "Remove" or "Removal" shall have the same meaning as provided in section 101(23) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601(23), and the NCP.

(bb) "RWQCB" shall mean the Regional Water Quality Control Board, Santa Ana Region, its successors and its employees, members and authorized representatives.

(cc) "SARA" shall mean the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986, Public Law 99-499.

(dd) "SEC" shall have the meaning given in subsection 12.6.

(ee) "Site," for purposes other than obtaining permits, shall include Marine Corps Air Station El Toro (including any adjacent real property subject to the jurisdiction of the commanding general of Marine Corps Air Station El Toro), the Facility (as defined above) and any area necessary for performance of remedial actions. For purposes of obtaining

EPA Region IX/State of California/Marine Corps FFA
Marine Corps Air Station El Toro

permits, "on-site" shall have the meaning provided in the NCP and "off-site" shall mean all locations that are not on-site.

(ff) "State" shall mean the State of California and its employees and authorized representatives, represented by DHS and the RWQCB as set forth in this Agreement, and shall refer to both DHS and the RWQCB unless otherwise specified.

5. DETERMINATIONS

5.1 This Agreement is based upon the placement of Marine Corps Air Station El Toro, Orange County, California, on the National Priorities List by EPA On Nov. 15, 1989, 55 Federal Register 6154.
FEB 16, 1990

5.2 Marine Corps Air Station El Toro is a facility under the jurisdiction, custody, or control of the U.S. Department of Defense within the meaning of E.O. 12580, 52 Federal Register 2923, 29 January 1987. The Department of the Navy is authorized to act in behalf of the Secretary of Defense for all functions delegated by the President through E.O. 12580 which are relevant to this Agreement.

5.3 Marine Corps Air Station El Toro is a federal facility under the jurisdiction of the Secretary of Defense within the meaning of CERCLA section 120, 42 U.S.C. § 9620, and SARA section 211, 10 U.S.C. § 2701 et seq., and subject to DERP.

5.4 The Department of the Navy is the authorized delegate of the President under E.O. 12580 for receipt of notification by the State of its ARARs as required by CERCLA section 121(d)(2)(A)(ii), 42 U.S.C. § 9621(d)(2)(A)(ii).

5.5 The authority of the Marine Corps to exercise the delegated removal authority of the President pursuant to CERCLA section 104, 42 U.S.C. § 9604, is not altered by this Agreement.

5.6 The actions to be taken pursuant to this Agreement are reasonable and necessary to protect the public health, welfare, or the environment.

5.7 There are areas within the boundaries of Marine Corps Air Station El Toro where hazardous substances have been deposited, stored, placed, or otherwise come to be located in accordance with 42 U.S.C. § 9601(9) & (14).

EPA Region IX/State-of California/Marine Corps FFA
Marine Corps Air Station El Toro

5.8 There have been releases of hazardous substances, pollutants or contaminants at or from Marine Corps Air Station El Toro into the environment within the meaning of 42 U.S.C. § 9601(22), 9604, 9606, and 9607.

5.9 With respect to these releases, the Marine Corps is an owner, operator and/or generator subject to the provisions of 42 U.S.C. § 9607 and within the meaning of California Health and Safety Code section 25323.5(a), and is a person within the meaning of California Health and Safety Code section 25118 and California Water Code section 13050(c).

5.10 Included as an Attachment to this Agreement is a map showing areas of known contamination, based on information available at the time of the signing of this Agreement.

6. WORK TO BE PERFORMED

6.1 The Parties agree to perform the tasks, obligations and responsibilities described in this Section in accordance with CERCLA and CERCLA guidance and policy; the NCP; pertinent provisions of RCRA and RCRA guidance and policy; E.O. 12580; applicable State laws and regulations; and all terms and conditions of this Agreement including documents prepared and incorporated in accordance with Section 7 (Consultation).

6.2 The Marine Corps agrees to undertake, seek adequate funding for, fully implement and report on the following tasks, with participation of the Parties as set forth in this Agreement:

- (a) Remedial Investigations of the Site;
- (b) Federal and State Natural Resource Trustee Notification and Coordination;
- (c) Feasibility Studies for the Site;
- (d) All response actions, including Operable Units, for the Site; and
- (e) Operation and maintenance of response actions at the Site.

6.3 The Parties agree to:

(a) Make their best efforts to expedite the initiation of response actions for the Site, particularly for Operable Units; and

(b) Carry out all activities under this Agreement so as to protect the public health, welfare and the environment.

6.4 Upon request, EPA and the State agree to provide any Party with guidance or reasonable assistance in obtaining and

EPA Region IX/State of California/Marine Corps FFA
Marine Corps Air Station El Toro

interpreting guidance relevant to the implementation of this Agreement.

6.5 The Parties recognize that any discovered release of hazardous substances determined to have originated either on or off Marine Corps Air Station El Toro and to have been caused by party other than the Marine Corps, including groundwater plumes mingled with plumes originating on Marine Corps Air Station El Toro, may be addressed by a separate agreement between the responsible parties and appropriate regulatory agencies. Nothing in this subsection 6.5 shall reduce or otherwise affect the Marine Corps's obligations under this Agreement except as may be specifically provided in such other agreement if EPA is a party thereto and such other agreement refers to this Agreement.

7. CONSULTATION: Review and Comment Process for Draft and Final Documents

7.1 Applicability: The provisions of this Section establish the procedures that shall be used by the Parties to provide each other with appropriate technical support, notice, review, comment, and response to comments regarding RI/FS and RD/RA documents, specified herein as either primary or secondary documents. In accordance with CERCLA section 120, 42 U.S.C. 9620, and 10 U.S.C. § 2705, the Marine Corps will normally be responsible for issuing primary and secondary documents to EPA and the State. As of the effective date of this Agreement, all draft, draft final and final deliverable documents identified herein shall be prepared, distributed and subject to dispute in accordance with subsections 7.2 through 7.10 below. The designation of a document as "draft" or "final" is solely for purposes of consultation with EPA and the State in accordance with this Section. Such designation does not affect the obligation of the Parties to issue documents, which may be referred to herein as "final," to the public for review and comment as appropriate and as required by law.

7.2 General Process for RI/FS and RD/RA Documents:

(a) Primary documents include those reports that are major, discrete portions of RI/FS and/or RD/RA activities. Primary documents are initially issued by the Marine Corps in draft subject to review and comment by EPA and the State. Following receipt of comments on a particular draft primary document, the Marine Corps will respond to the comments received and issue a draft final primary document subject to dispute resolution. The draft final primary document will become the final primary document either thirty (30) days after receipt by EPA and the State of a draft final document if dispute resolution

EPA Region IX/State of California/Marine Corps FFA
Marine Corps Air Station El Toro

is not invoked or as modified by decision of the dispute resolution process.

(b) Secondary documents include those reports that are discrete portions of the primary documents and are typically input or feeder documents. Secondary documents are issued by the Marine Corps in draft subject to review and comment by EPA and the State. Although the Marine Corps will respond to comments received, the draft secondary documents may be finalized in the context of the corresponding primary documents. A secondary document may be disputed at the time the corresponding draft final primary document is issued.

7.3 Primary Documents:

(a) The Marine Corps shall complete and transmit drafts of the following primary documents for each operable unit and for the final remedy to EPA and the State, for review and comment in accordance with the provisions of this Section; provided, however, that the Marine Corps need not complete a draft primary document for an operable unit if (x) the same primary document completed or to be completed with respect to another operable unit covers all topics relevant to the operable unit at issue, and (y) the Parties agree in writing that such draft primary document need not be completed.

(1) RI/FS Workplans, including Sampling and Analysis Plans

(2) QAPPs

(3) Community Relations Plans (May be amended as appropriate to address Operable Units. Any such amendments shall not be subject to the threshold requirements of subsection 7.10. Any disagreement regarding amendment of the CRP shall be resolved pursuant to Section 12 (Dispute Resolution).)

(4) RI Reports

(5) FS Reports

(6) Proposed Plans

(7) Records of Decision (RODs)

(8) Remedial Design Work Plan

(9) Preliminary Remedial Design

(10) Final Remedial Design

(11) Remedial Action Work Plan

(12) Construction Quality Assurance Plan

(13) Construction Quality Control Plan

(14) Contingency Plan

(15) Project Closeout Report

(16) Federal and State Natural Resource Trustee

Notifications.

(17) Operation and Maintenance Plan

(b) Only draft final primary documents shall be subject to dispute resolution. The Marine Corps shall complete

EPA Region IX/State of California/Marine Corps FFA
Marine Corps Air Station El Toro

and transmit draft primary documents in accordance with the timetable and deadlines established in Section 8 (Deadlines).

(c) Primary documents may include target dates for subtasks as provided in subsections 7.4(b) and 18.3. The purpose of target dates is to assist the Marine Corps in meeting deadlines, but target dates do not become enforceable by their inclusion in the primary documents and are not subject to Section 8 (Deadlines), Section 9 (Extensions) or Section 13 (Enforceability).

7.4 Secondary Documents:

(a) The Marine Corps shall complete and transmit drafts of the following secondary documents for each operable unit and for the final remedy to EPA and the State for review and comment; provided, however, that the Marine Corps need not complete a draft secondary document for an operable unit if (x) the same secondary document or a primary document completed or to be completed with respect to another operable unit covers all topics relevant to the operable unit at issue, and (y) the Parties agree in writing that such draft secondary document need not be completed.

- (1) Site Characterization Summaries (part of R
- (2) Sampling and Data Results
- (3) Treatability Studies (only if generated)
- (4) Initial Screenings of Alternatives
- (5) Risk Assessments
- (6) Well closure methods and procedures
- (7) Detailed Analyses of Alternatives
- (8) Post-Screening Investigation Work Plans
- (9) RCRA Facility Assessment

(b) Although EPA and the State may comment on the drafts of the secondary documents listed above, such documents shall not be subject to dispute resolution except as provided by subsection 7.2. Target dates for the completion and transmission of draft secondary documents shall be established by the Project Managers. The Project Managers also may agree upon additional secondary documents that are within the scope of the listed primary documents.

7.5 Meetings of the Project Managers. (See also Section 18.) The Project Managers shall meet in person approximately every ninety (90) days to review and discuss the progress of work being performed at the Site, including progress on the primary and secondary documents. However, such meetings may be held more frequently (but not more often than every thirty (30) days) as needed upon request by any Project Manager, or less frequently if agreed by the Parties. Prior to preparing any draft document specified in subsections 7.3 or 7.4 above, the Project Manager:

shall meet in an effort to reach a common understanding with respect to the contents of the draft document.

7.6 Identification and Determination of Potential ARARs:

(a) The State lead agency will contact in writing those State and local governmental agencies that are a potential sources of ARARs in a timely manner as set forth in NCP § 300.515(d).

(b) Prior to the issuance of a draft primary or secondary document for which ARAR determinations are appropriate, the Project Managers shall meet to identify and propose all potential pertinent ARARs, including any permitting requirements that may be a source of ARARs. At that time and within the time period described in NCP § 300.515(h)(2), the State shall submit the proposed ARARs obtained pursuant to paragraph 7.6(a) to the Marine Corps, along with a list of agencies that failed to respond to the State's solicitation of ARARs and copies of the solicitations and any related correspondence.

(c) The Marine Corps will contact the agencies that failed to respond and again solicit their inputs.

(d) The Marine Corps will prepare draft ARAR determinations in accordance with CERCLA section 121(d)(2), 42 U.S.C. § 9621(d)(2), the NCP and pertinent guidance issued by EPA.

(e). In identifying potential ARARs, the Parties recognize that actual ARARs can be identified only on a site-specific basis and that ARARs depend on the specific hazardous substances, pollutants and contaminants at a site, the particular actions associated with a proposed remedy and the characteristics of a site. The Parties recognize that ARAR identification is necessarily an iterative process and that potential ARARs must be identified and discussed among the Parties as early as possible, and must be reexamined throughout the RI/FS process until a ROD is issued.

7.7 Review and Comment on Draft Documents:

(a) The Marine Corps shall complete and transmit each draft primary document to EPA and the State on or before the corresponding deadline established for the issuance of the document. The Marine Corps shall complete and transmit the draft secondary documents in accordance with the corresponding target dates.

(b) Review of any document by EPA and the State may concern all aspects of it (including completeness) and should include, but will not be limited to, technical evaluation of any aspect of the document and consistency with CERCLA, the NCP, applicable California law, and any pertinent guidance or policy issued by EPA or the State (except that any State guidance that

EPA Region IX/State of California/Marine Corps FFA
Marine Corps Air Station El Toro

is not "promulgated" (as defined in the NCP) shall constitute a "to be considered" item (as that phrase is used in the NCP)). To expedite the review process, the Marine Corps shall make an oral presentation of each primary document at the next scheduled Project Managers meeting after transmittal of the draft document, and shall do so with respect to secondary documents if a majority of the Project Managers other than the Marine Corps so requests. Comments by the EPA, DHS and RWQCB shall be provided with adequate specificity so that the Marine Corps may respond and, if appropriate, make changes to the draft document. Comments shall refer to any pertinent sources of authority or references upon which the comments are based and, upon request of the Marine Corps, the EPA, DHS or RWQCB, as appropriate, the commenter shall provide a copy of the cited authority or reference.

(c) Unless the Parties agree to another period, all draft documents shall be subject to a sixty (60) day period for review and comment. At or before the close of the comment period, EPA and the State shall transmit their written comments to the Marine Corps. For unusually lengthy or complex documents, EPA or the State may extend the sixty (60) day comment period for an additional thirty (30) days by written notice to the Marine Corps prior to the end of the sixty (60) day period. In appropriate circumstances, this period may be further extended accordance with Section 9 (Extensions).

(d) Representatives of the Marine Corps shall make themselves readily available to EPA and the State during the comment period for purposes of informally responding to questions and comments on draft documents. Oral comments made during such discussions need not be the subject of a written response by the Marine Corps on the close of the comment period.

(e) In commenting on a draft document which contains a proposed ARAR determination, EPA, DHS or RWQCB shall include a reasoned statement of whether it objects to any portion of the proposed ARAR determination. To the extent that EPA or the State does object, it shall explain the basis for its objection in detail and shall identify any ARARs which it believes were not properly addressed in the proposed ARAR determination.

(f) Following the close of the comment period for a draft document, the Marine Corps shall give full consideration to all written comments. If the Marine Corps requests, the Parties shall hold a meeting to discuss such comments within fifteen (15) days of the close of the comment period on a draft secondary document or draft primary document. On a draft secondary document, the Marine Corps shall, within sixty (60) days of the close of the comment period, transmit to the EPA and the State its written response to the comments received. On a draft primary document, the Marine Corps shall, within sixty (60) days of the close of the comment period, transmit to EPA and the Sta'

EPA Region IX/State of California/Marine Corps FFA
Marine Corps Air Station El Toro

a draft final primary document, which shall include the Marine Corps's response to all written comments received within the comment period. While the resulting draft final document shall be the responsibility of the Marine Corps, it shall be the product of consensus to the maximum extent possible.

(g) The Marine Corps may extend the sixty (60) day period for either responding to comments on a draft document or for issuing the draft final primary document for an additional thirty (30) days by providing written notice to EPA and the State. In appropriate circumstances, this time period may be further extended in accordance with Section 9 (Extensions).

7.8 Availability of Dispute Resolution for Draft Final Primary Documents:

(a) Dispute resolution shall be available to the Parties for draft final primary documents as set forth in Section 12 (Dispute Resolution).

(b) When dispute resolution is invoked on a draft final primary document, work may be stopped in accordance with the procedures set forth in subsection 12.9 regarding dispute resolution.

7.9 Finalization of Documents: The draft final primary document shall serve as the final primary document if no party invokes dispute resolution regarding the document or, if invoked, at completion of the dispute resolution process should the Marine Corps's position be sustained. If the Marine Corps's determination is not sustained in the dispute resolution process, the Marine Corps shall prepare, within not more than sixty (60) days of resolution of the dispute, a revision of the draft final document which conforms to the results of dispute resolution. In appropriate circumstances, the time period for this revision period may be extended in accordance with Section 9 (Extensions).

7.10 Subsequent Modification of Final Documents: Following finalization of any primary document pursuant to subsection 7.9 above, any Party may seek to modify the document including seeking additional field work, pilot studies, computer modeling or other supporting technical work, only as provided in paragraphs 7.10(a) and (b) below. (These restrictions do not apply to the Community Relations Plan.)

(a) Any Party may seek to modify a document after finalization by submitting a concise written request to the Project Managers of the other Parties. The request shall specify the nature of the requested modification and how the request is appropriate under subparagraphs 7.10(b)(1) and (2) below.

(b) In the event that a consensus is not reached by the Project Managers on the need for a modification, any Party

may invoke dispute resolution to determine if such modification shall be conducted. Modification of a document shall be required only upon a showing that:

(1) The requested modification is based on information that is (A) new (i.e., information that becomes available or known after the document was finalized) and (B) significant; and

(2) The requested modification could be of significant assistance in evaluating impacts on the public health or the environment, in evaluating the selection of remedial alternatives, or in protecting human health and the environment.

(c) Nothing in this Section shall alter EPA's or the State's ability to request the performance of additional work which was not contemplated by this Agreement. The Marine Corps' obligation to perform such work under this Agreement must be established by either a modification of a document or by amendments to this Agreement.

8. DEADLINES

8.1 All deadlines agreed upon before the effective date of this Agreement shall be made an Appendix to this Agreement. To the extent that deadlines have already been mutually agreed upon by the Parties prior to the execution of this Agreement, they will satisfy the requirements of this Section and remain in effect, shall be published in accordance with subsection 8.2, and shall be incorporated into the appropriate work plans.

8.2 Within twenty-one (21) days of issuance of the Record of Decision for any operable unit or for the final remedy, the Marine Corps shall propose deadlines for completion of the following draft primary documents:

- (a) Remedial Design/Remedial Action Work Plans
- (b) Preliminary Remedial Design
- (c) Final Remedial Design
- (d) Construction Quality Assurance Plan
- (e) Construction Quality Control Plan
- (f) Contingency Plan
- (g) Project Closeout Report

Within fifteen (15) days of receipt, EPA, DHS and RWQCB shall review and provide comments to the Marine Corps regarding the proposed deadlines. Within fifteen (15) days following receipt of the comments the Marine Corps shall, as appropriate, make revisions and reissue the proposal. The Parties shall meet as necessary to discuss and finalize the proposed deadlines. All agreed-upon deadlines shall be incorporated into the appropriate

EPA Region IX/State of California/Marine Corps FFA
Marine Corps Air Station El Toro

work plans. If the Parties fail to agree within thirty (30) days on the proposed deadlines, the matter shall immediately be submitted for dispute resolution pursuant to Section 12 (Dispute Resolution). The final deadlines established pursuant to this subsection shall be published by EPA, in conjunction with the State, and shall become an Appendix to this Agreement.

8.3 For any operable units not identified as of the effective date of this Agreement, the Marine Corps shall propose deadlines for all documents listed in subsection 7.3(a)(1) through (7) (with the exception of the Community Relations Plan and any document that comes within the proviso to such subsection) within twenty-one (21) days of agreement on the proposed operable unit by all Parties. These deadlines shall be proposed, finalized and published using the procedures set forth in subsection 8.2.

8.4 The deadlines set forth in this Section, or to be established as set forth in this Section, may be extended pursuant to Section 9 (Extensions). The Parties recognize that one possible basis for extension of the deadlines for completion of the Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study Reports is the identification of significant new Site conditions during the performance of the remedial investigation.

9. EXTENSIONS

9.1 Timetables, deadlines and schedules shall be extended upon receipt of a timely request for extension and when good cause exists for the requested extension. Any request for extension by a Party shall be submitted to the other Parties in writing and shall specify:

- (a) The timetable, deadline or schedule that is sought to be extended;
- (b) The length of the extension sought;
- (c) The good cause(s) for the extension; and
- (d) The extent to which any related timetable and deadline or schedule would be affected if the extension were granted.

9.2 Good cause exists for an extension when sought in regard to:

- (a) An event of Force Majeure;
- (b) A delay caused by another Party's failure to meet any requirement of this Agreement;
- (c) A delay caused by or resulting from the good faith invocation of dispute resolution or the initiation of judicial action;

EPA Region IX/State-of California/Marine Corps FFA
Marine Corps Air Station El Toro

(d) A delay caused, or which is likely to be caused, by an extension (including without limitation an extension under subsection 7.7) in regard to another timetable and deadline or schedule;

(e) A delay caused by public comment periods or hearings required under State law in connection with the State's performance of this Agreement or by receipt of unusually extensive public comments under the NCP in connection with the Marine Corps's performance of this Agreement;

(f) Any work stoppage within the scope of Section 11 (Emergencies and Removals); or

(g) Any other event or series of events mutually agreed to by the Parties as constituting good cause.

9.3 Absent agreement of the Parties with respect to the existence of good cause, a Party may seek and obtain a determination through the dispute resolution process that good cause exists.

9.4 Within seven days of receipt of a request for an extension of a timetable, deadline or schedule, each receiving Party shall advise the requesting Party in writing of the receiving Party's position on the request. Any failure by a receiving Party to respond within the seven-day period shall be deemed to constitute concurrence with the request for extension. If a receiving Party does not concur in the requested extension, it shall include in its statement of nonconcurrence an explanation of the basis for its position.

9.5 If there is consensus among the Parties that the requested extension is warranted, the Marine Corps shall extend the affected timetable and deadline or schedule accordingly. If there is no consensus among the Parties as to whether all or part of the requested extension is warranted, the timetable and deadline or schedule shall not be extended except in accordance with a determination resulting from the dispute resolution process.

9.6 Within seven days of receipt of a statement of nonconcurrence with the requested extension, the requesting Party may invoke dispute resolution.

9.7 A timely and good faith request by the Marine Corps for an extension shall toll any assessment of stipulated penalties or application for judicial enforcement of the affected timetable and deadline or schedule until a decision is reached on whether the requested extension will be approved. If dispute resolution is invoked and the requested extension is denied, stipulated

EPA Region IX/State of California/Marine Corps FFA
Marine Corps Air Station El Toro

penalties may be assessed and may accrue from the date of the original timetable, deadline or schedule. Following the grant of an extension, an assessment of stipulated penalties or an application for judicial enforcement may be sought only to compel compliance with the timetable and deadline or schedule as most recently extended.

10. FORCE MAJEURE

10.1 A Force Majeure shall mean any event arising from causes beyond the control of a Party that causes a delay in or prevents the performance of any obligation under this Agreement, including, but not limited to:

- (a) acts of God;
- (b) fire;
- (c) war or national emergency declared by the President or Congress and affecting the Marine Corps;
- (d) insurrection;
- (e) civil disturbance;
- (f) explosion;
- (g) unanticipated breakage or accident to machinery, equipment or lines of pipe despite reasonably diligent maintenance;
- (h) adverse weather conditions that could not be reasonably anticipated;
- (i) unusual delay in transportation;
- (j) restraint by court order or order of public authority;
- (k) inability to obtain, at reasonable cost and after exercise of reasonable diligence, any necessary authorizations, approvals, permits, or licenses due to action or inaction of any governmental agency or authority other than the Department of the Navy (including Marine Corps);
- (l) delays caused by compliance with applicable statutes or regulations governing contracting, procurement or acquisition procedures, despite the exercise of reasonable diligence; and
- (m) insufficient availability of appropriated funds which have been diligently sought. In order for Force Majeure based on insufficient funding to apply to the Marine Corps, the Marine Corps shall have made timely request for such funds as part of the budgetary process as set forth in Section 15 (Funding).

A Force Majeure shall also include any strike or other labor dispute, whether or not within the control of the Party affected thereby. Force Majeure shall not include increased costs or expenses of response actions, unless (i) such increase could not reasonably have been anticipated at the time the estimate thereof

EPA Region IX/State of California/Marine Corps FFA
Marine Corps Air Station El Toro

was made and (ii) funding for such increase has been diligently sought and is not available.

11. EMERGENCIES AND REMOVALS

11.1 Discovery and Notification

If any Party discovers or becomes aware of an emergency or other situation that endangers public health or safety or the environment at or near the Site, which is related to or may affect the work performed under this Agreement, that Party shall immediately orally notify all other Parties. If the emergency arises from activities conducted pursuant to this Agreement, the Marine Corps shall then take immediate action to notify the appropriate State and local agencies and affected members of the public.

11.2 Work Stoppage

In the event any Party determines that activities conducted pursuant to this Agreement will cause or otherwise be threatened by a situation described in subsection 11.1, the Party may propose the termination of such activities. If the Parties mutually agree, the activities shall be stopped for such period of time as is required to abate the danger. In the absence of mutual agreement, the activities shall be stopped in accordance with the proposal, and the matter shall be immediately referred to the EPA Hazardous Waste Management Division Director for a work stoppage determination in accordance with Section 12.9.

11.3 Removal Actions

(a) The provisions of this Section shall apply to all removal actions as defined in CERCLA section 101(23), 42 U.S.C. § 9601(23), and California Health and Safety Code section 25323, including all modifications to, or extensions of, the ongoing removal actions, and all new removal actions proposed or commenced following the effective date of this Agreement.

(b) Any removal actions conducted at the Site shall be conducted in a manner consistent with this Agreement, CERCLA, the NCP and E.O. 12580.

(c) Nothing in this Agreement shall alter the Marine Corps' authority with respect to removal actions conducted pursuant to section 104 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9604.

(d) Nothing in this Agreement shall alter any authority the State or EPA may have with respect to removal actions conducted at the Site.

EPA Region IX/State of California/Marine Corps FFA
Marine Corps Air Station El Toro

(e) All reviews conducted by EPA and the State pursuant to 10 U.S.C. § 2705(b)(2) will be expedited so as not to unduly jeopardize fiscal resources of the Marine Corps for funding the removal actions.

(f) If a Party determines that there is an endangerment to the public health or welfare or the environment because of an actual or threatened release of a hazardous substance, pollutant or contaminant at or from the Site, the Party may request that the Marine Corps take such response actions as may be necessary to abate such danger or threat and to protect the public health or welfare or the environment. Such actions might include provision of alternative drinking water supplies or other response actions listed in CERCLA section 101(23) or (24), or such other relief as the public interest may require.

11.4 Notice and Opportunity to Comment

(a) The Marine Corps shall provide the other Parties with timely notice and opportunity to review and comment upon any proposed removal action for the Site, in accordance with 10 U.S.C. § 2705(a) and (b). The Marine Corps agrees to provide the information described below pursuant to such obligation.

(b) For emergency response actions, the Marine Corps shall provide EPA and the State with notice in accordance with subsection 11.1. Such oral notification shall, except in the case of extreme emergencies, include adequate information concerning the Site background, threat to the public health and welfare or the environment (including the need for response), proposed actions and costs (including a comparison of possible alternatives, means of transportation of any hazardous substances off-site, and proposed manner of disposal), expected change in the situation should no action be taken or should action be delayed (including associated environmental impacts), any important policy issues, and the Marine Corps On-Scene Coordinator recommendations. Within forty-five (45) days of completion of the emergency action, the Marine Corps will furnish EPA and the State with an Action Memorandum addressing the information provided in the oral notification, and any other information required pursuant to CERCLA and the NCP, and in accordance with pertinent EPA guidance, for such actions.

(c) For other removal actions, the Marine Corps will provide EPA and the State with any information required by CERCLA or the NCP, and in accordance with pertinent EPA guidance, such as the Action Memorandum, the Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis (when required under the NCP) and, to the extent it is not otherwise included, all information required to be provided in accordance with paragraph 11.4(b). Such information shall be

EPA Region IX/State of California/Marine Corps FFA
Marine Corps Air Station El Toro

furnished at least forty-five (45) days before the response action is to begin.

(d) All activities related to ongoing removal actions shall be reported by the Marine Corps in the progress reports described in Section 18 (Project Managers).

11.5 Any dispute between the Parties as to whether a proposed nonemergency response action is (a) properly considered a removal action, as defined by 42 U.S.C. § 9601(23), or (b) consistent, to the extent deemed practicable under CERCLA section 104(a)(2), with any remedial action shall be resolved pursuant to Section 12 (Dispute Resolution). Such dispute may be brought directly to the DRC or the SEC (each as defined in Section 12) at any Party's request.

11.6 Alternative Dispute Resolution for Subsection 11.3(f)

(a) The following procedures shall apply only to disputes as to whether the Marine Corps will take any removal action requested under subsection 11.3(f). Such disputes shall be submitted to the DRC, which shall have ten (10) days to unanimously resolve the dispute. The DRC shall forward an unresolved dispute to the SEC within four (4) days of the end of the ten-day period.

(b) The SEC will serve as the forum for resolution of disputes for which agreement has not been reached by the DRC. The EPA representative on the SEC is the Regional Administrator of EPA Region 9. The Department of the Navy's representative on the SEC is the Commander, Southwest Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command. The DHS representative on the SEC is the Regional Administrator, Region 4. The RWQCB representative on the SEC is the Assistant Executive Officer. The SEC members shall, as appropriate, confer, meet and exert their best efforts to resolve the dispute and issue a written decision. If unanimous resolution of the dispute is not reached within seven (7) days, the Department of the Navy SEC representative shall issue a written position on the dispute. EPA or the State may, within four (4) days of the such representative's issuance of the Department of the Navy's position, issue a written notice elevating the dispute to the Department of the Navy's Secretariat Representative for resolution in accordance with all applicable laws and procedures. In the event EPA or the State elects not to elevate the dispute to the Secretariat Representative within the designated four (4) day escalation period, EPA and the State shall be deemed to have agreed with the Department of the Navy SEC representative's written position with respect to the dispute.

EPA Region IX/State of California/Marine Corps FFA
Marine Corps Air Station El Toro

(c) Upon escalation of a dispute to the Department of the Navy's Secretariat Representative pursuant to subsection 11.6(b) above, the Secretariat Representative will review and resolve the dispute within seven (7) days. Before resolving the dispute, the Secretariat Representative shall, upon request, meet and confer with the EPA Administrator, the DHS Chief Deputy Director and the RWQCB Executive Officer to discuss the issue(s) under dispute. The Secretariat Representative shall provide the EPA and the State with its final decision in writing. If EPA or the State does not concur with such decision, the nonconcurring party must transmit indication thereof to the Secretariat Representative within fourteen (14) days of receipt of such decision. Failure to transmit such nonconurrence will be presumed to signify concurrence.

12. DISPUTE RESOLUTION

12.1 Except as specifically set forth in subsection 11.6 or elsewhere in this Agreement, if a dispute arises under this Agreement, the procedures of this Section shall apply. Any Party may invoke this dispute resolution procedure. All Parties to this Agreement shall make reasonable efforts to informally resolve disputes at the Project Manager or immediate supervisor level. If resolution cannot be achieved informally, the procedures of this Section shall be implemented to resolve a dispute.

12.2 Within thirty (30) days after: (a) receipt by EPA and the State of a draft final primary document pursuant to Section 7 (Consultation), or (b) any action which leads to or generates a dispute, the disputing Party shall submit to the Dispute Resolution Committee (DRC) a written statement of dispute setting forth the nature of the dispute, the work affected by the dispute, the disputing Party's position with respect to the dispute and the technical, legal or factual information the disputing Party is relying upon to support its position.

12.3 Prior to any Party's issuance of a written statement of a dispute, the disputing Party shall engage the other Party in informal dispute resolution among the Project Managers and/or their immediate supervisors. During this informal dispute resolution period the Parties shall meet as many times as are necessary to discuss and attempt resolution of the dispute.

12.4 The DRC will serve as a forum for resolution of dispute for which agreement has not been reached through informal dispute resolution. The Parties shall each designate one individual and an alternate to serve on the DRC. The individuals designated to serve on the DRC shall be employed at the policy level Senior Executive Service (SES) or equivalent or be

EPA Region IX/State of California/Marine Corps FFA
Marine Corps Air Station El Toro

delegated the authority to participate on the DRC for the purposes of dispute resolution under this Agreement. The EPA representative on DRC is the Assistant Director for Superfund of EPA's Region 9. The Department of the Navy's designated member is the Director, Facilities Management, Southwest Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command. The DHS representative is the DHS Chief of the Site Mitigation Branch, Region 4. The RWQCB representative is the Chief, Special Projects Unit. Written notice of any delegation of authority from a Party's designated representative on the DRC shall be provided to all other Parties pursuant to the procedures of Section 21 (Notification).

12.5 Following elevation of a dispute to the DRC, the DRC shall have twenty-one (21) days to unanimously resolve the dispute and issue a written decision. If the DRC is unable to unanimously resolve the dispute within this twenty-one (21) day period, the written statement of dispute shall be forwarded to the Senior Executive Committee (SEC) for resolution within seven (7) days after the close of the twenty-one (21) day resolution period.

12.6 The SEC will serve as the forum for resolution of disputes for which agreement has not been reached by the DRC. The EPA representative on the SEC is the Regional Administrator of EPA Region 9. The Department of the Navy's representative on the SEC is the Commander, Southwest Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command. The DHS representative on the SEC is the Regional Administrator, Region 4. The RWQCB representative on the SEC is the Assistant Executive Officer. The SEC members shall, as appropriate, confer, meet and exert their best efforts to resolve the dispute and issue a written decision. If unanimous resolution of the dispute is not reached within twenty-one (21) days, EPA's Regional Administrator shall issue a written position on the dispute. The Department of the Navy or the State may, within fourteen (14) days of the Regional Administrator's issuance of EPA's position, issue a written notice elevating the dispute to the Administrator of EPA for resolution in accordance with all applicable laws and procedures. In the event the Department of the Navy or the State elects not to elevate the dispute to the Administrator within the designated fourteen (14) day escalation period, the Department of the Navy and the State shall be deemed to have agreed with the Regional Administrator's written position with respect to the dispute.

12.7 Upon escalation of a dispute to the Administrator of EPA pursuant to subsection 12.6 above, the Administrator will review and resolve the dispute within twenty-one (21) days. Upon request, and prior to resolving the dispute, the EPA

EPA Region IX/State of California/Marine Corps FFA
Marine Corps Air Station El Toro

Administrator shall meet and confer with the Department of the Navy's Secretariat Representative, the DHS Chief Deputy Director and the RWQCB Executive Officer to discuss the issue(s) under dispute. Upon resolution, the Administrator shall provide the Department of the Navy and the State with a written final decision setting forth resolution of the dispute. The duties of the Administrator set forth in this Section shall not be delegated.

12.8 The pendency of any dispute under this Section shall not affect any Party's responsibility for timely performance of the work required by this Agreement, except that the time period for completion of work affected by such dispute shall be extended for a period of time usually not to exceed the actual time taken to resolve any good faith dispute in accordance with the procedures specified herein. All elements of the work required by this Agreement which are not affected by the dispute shall continue and be completed in accordance with the applicable timetable and deadline or schedule.

12.9 When dispute resolution is in progress, work affected by the dispute will immediately be discontinued if the Hazardous Waste Management Division Director for EPA Region 9 requests, in writing, that work related to the dispute be stopped because, in EPA's opinion, such work is inadequate or defective, and such inadequacy or defect is likely to yield an adverse effect on human health or the environment, or is likely to have a substantial adverse effect on the remedy selection or implementation process. DHS or RWQCB may request the EPA Hazardous Waste Management Division Director to order work stopped for the reasons set out above. To the extent possible, the Party seeking a work stoppage shall consult with the other Parties prior to initiating a work stoppage request. After work stoppage, if a Party believes that the work stoppage is inappropriate or may have potential significant adverse impacts, the Party may meet with the other Parties to discuss the work stoppage. Following this meeting and further considerations of this issue, the EPA Hazardous Waste Management Division Director will issue, in writing, a final decision with respect to the work stoppage. The final written decision of the EPA Hazardous Waste Management Division Director may immediately be subject to formal dispute resolution. Such dispute may be brought directly to either the DRC or the SEC, at the discretion of the Party requesting dispute resolution.

12.10 Within twenty-one (21) days of resolution of a dispute pursuant to the procedures specified in this Section (or before such later date as is agreed by the Parties), the Marine

EPA Region IX/State of California/Marine Corps FFA
Marine Corps Air Station El Toro

Corps shall incorporate the resolution and final determination into the appropriate plan, schedule or procedures and proceed to implement this Agreement according to the amended plan, schedule or procedures. The deadline set forth above may in appropriate circumstances be extended in accordance with Section 9 (Extensions).

12.11 Except as set forth in Section 31 (Covenant Not to Sue and Reservation of Rights), resolution of a dispute pursuant to this Section (as it may be modified pursuant to subsection 11.6) constitutes a final resolution of any dispute arising under this Agreement. All Parties shall abide by all terms and conditions of any final resolution of dispute obtained pursuant to this Section.

12.12 For purposes of all dispute resolution procedures set forth in this Agreement and other decisions of the Parties that may be taken to dispute resolution, the Parties agree as follows

(a) DHS and RWQCB will jointly designate which of the two agencies shall voice the State's position for specified subjects and which shall do so for unspecified subjects. DHS and RWQCB shall provide EPA and the Marine Corps with an initial designation within thirty (30) days after the execution of the agreement. DHS and RWQCB may modify the initial designation or subsequent designations. DHS and RWQCB shall notify EPA and the Marine Corps in writing of any modification. Such modification shall become effective upon receipt by EPA and the Marine Corps.

(b) The agency designated in accordance with paragraph 12.12(a) shall represent the State with a single voice throughout the dispute resolution process and in all decisions of the Parties that may be taken to dispute resolution.

13. ENFORCEABILITY

13.1 The Parties agree that:

(a) Upon the effective date of this Agreement, any standard, regulation, condition, requirement or order which has become effective under CERCLA and is incorporated into this Agreement is enforceable by any person pursuant to CERCLA section 310, and any violation of such standard, regulation, condition, requirement or order will be subject to civil penalties under CERCLA sections 310(c) and 109;

(b) All timetables or deadlines associated with the RI/FS shall be enforceable by any person pursuant to CERCLA

EPA Region IX/State of California/Marine Corps FFA
Marine Corps Air Station El Toro

section 310, and any violation of such timetables or deadlines will be subject to civil penalties under CERCLA sections 310(c) and 109;

(c) All terms and conditions of this Agreement which relate to remedial actions, including corresponding timetables, deadlines or schedules, and all work associated with remedial actions, shall be enforceable by any person pursuant to CERCLA section 310(c), and any violation of such terms or conditions will be subject to civil penalties under CERCLA sections 310(c) and 109; and

(d) Any final resolution of a dispute pursuant to Section 12 (Dispute Resolution) of this Agreement which establishes a term, condition, timetable, deadline or schedule shall be enforceable by any person pursuant to CERCLA section 310(c), and any violation of such terms, condition, timetable, deadline or schedule will be subject to civil penalties under CERCLA sections 310(c) and 109.

13.2 Nothing in this Agreement shall be construed as authorizing any person to seek judicial review of any action or work where review is barred by any provision of CERCLA including CERCLA section 113(h).

13.3 Nothing in this Agreement shall be construed as a restriction or waiver of any rights the EPA or the State may have under CERCLA, including but not limited to any rights under sections 113 and 310, 42 U.S.C. § 9613 and 9659, and/or applicable state law. The Marine Corps does not waive any rights it may have under CERCLA sections 120 and 121, SARA section 211 and E.O. 12580.

13.4 The Parties agree to exhaust their rights under Section 12 (Dispute Resolution) prior to exercising any rights to judicial review that they may have.

13.5 The Parties agree that all Parties shall have the right to enforce the terms of this Agreement.

14. STIPULATED PENALTIES

14.1 In the event that the Marine Corps (a) fails to submit a primary document listed in Section 7 (Consultation) to EPA and the State pursuant to the appropriate timetable or deadline in accordance with the requirements of this Agreement, or (b) fails to comply with a term or condition of this Agreement which relates to an operable unit or final remedial action (unless excused under this Agreement), EPA may assess a stipulated

EPA Region IX/State of California/Marine Corps FFA
Marine Corps Air Station El Toro

penalty against the Marine Corps. The State may also recommend to EPA that a stipulated penalty be assessed. A stipulated penalty may be assessed in an amount not to exceed \$5,000 for the first week (or part thereof), and \$10,000 for each additional week (or part thereof) for which a failure set forth in this subsection occurs.

14.2 Upon determining that an event described in subsection 14.1(a) or 14.1(b) has occurred, EPA shall notify the Marine Corps in writing. If the failure in question is not already subject to dispute resolution at the time such notice is received, the Marine Corps shall have fifteen (15) days after receipt of the notice to invoke dispute resolution on the question whether such event has in fact occurred. The Marine Corps shall not be liable for the stipulated penalty assessed by EPA if the event is determined, through the dispute resolution process, not to have occurred. No assessment of a stipulated penalty shall be final until the conclusion of dispute resolution procedures related to the assessment of the stipulated penalty.

14.3 The annual reports required by CERCLA section 120(e)(5), 42 U.S.C. § 9620(e)(5), shall include, with respect to each final assessment of a stipulated penalty against the Marine Corps under this Agreement, each of the following:

- (a) The federal facility responsible for the failure;
- (b) A statement of the facts and circumstances giving rise to the failure;
- (c) A statement of any administrative or other corrective action taken at the relevant federal facility, or a statement of why such measures were determined to be inappropriate;
- (d) A statement of any additional action taken by or at the federal facility to prevent recurrence of the same type of failure; and
- (e) The total dollar amount of the stipulated penalty assessed for the particular failure.

14.4 Stipulated penalties assessed pursuant to this Section shall be payable to the Hazardous Substances Response Trust Fund only in the manner and to the extent expressly provided for in acts authorizing funds for, and appropriations to, the Department of Defense. EPA and the State agree, to the extent allowed by law, to share equally any stipulated penalties paid on behalf of Marine Corps Air Station El Toro between the Hazardous Substance Response Trust Fund and an appropriate State fund.

14.5 In no event shall this Section give rise to a

EPA Region IX/State of California/Marine Corps FFA
Marine Corps Air Station El Toro

stipulated penalty in excess of the amount set forth in CERCLA section 109, 42 U.S.C. § 9609.

14.6 This Section shall not affect the Marine Corps' ability to obtain an extension of a timetable, deadline or schedule pursuant to Section 9 (Extensions).

14.7 Nothing in this Agreement shall be construed to render any officer or employee of the Marine Corps personally liable for the payment of any stipulated penalty assessed pursuant to this Section.

15. FUNDING

15.1 It is the expectation of the Parties to this Agreement that all obligations of the Marine Corps arising under this Agreement will be fully funded. The Marine Corps agrees to seek sufficient funding through the Department of Defense budgetary process to fulfill its obligations under this Agreement.

15.2 In accordance with CERCLA section 120(e)(5)(B), 42 U.S.C. § 9620 (e)(5)(B), the Marine Corps shall include, in its submission to the Department of Defense annual report to Congress, the specific cost estimates and budgetary proposals associated with the implementation of this Agreement.

15.3 Any requirement for the payment or obligation of funds, including stipulated penalties, by the Marine Corps established by the terms of this Agreement shall be subject to the availability of appropriated funds, and no provision herein shall be interpreted to require obligation or payment of funds in violation of the Anti-Deficiency Act, 31 U.S.C. § 1341. In cases where payment or obligation of funds would constitute a violation of the Anti-Deficiency Act, the dates established requiring the payment or obligation of such funds shall be appropriately adjusted.

15.4 If appropriated funds are not available to fulfill the Marine Corps' obligations under this Agreement, EPA and the State reserve the right to initiate an action against any other person, or to take any response action, which would be appropriate absent this Agreement.

15.5 Funds authorized and appropriated annually by Congress under the "Environmental Restoration, Defense" appropriation in the Department of Defense Appropriation Act and allocated by the Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Environment to the

EPA Region IX/State of California/Marine Corps FFA
Marine Corps Air Station El Toro

Department of the Navy will be the source of funds for activities required by this Agreement consistent with section 211 of CERCLA, 10 U.S.C. Chapter 160. However, should the Environmental Restoration, Defense appropriation be inadequate in any year to meet the total Department of the Navy CERCLA implementation requirements, the Department of Defense shall employ and the Department of the Navy shall follow a standardized Department of Defense prioritization process which allocates that year's appropriations in a manner which maximizes the protection of human health and the environment. A standardized Department of Defense prioritization model shall be developed and utilized with the assistance of EPA and the states.

16. EXEMPTIONS

16.1 The obligation of the Marine Corps to comply with the provisions of this Agreement may be relieved by:

- (a) A Presidential order of exemption issued pursuant to the provisions of CERCLA section 120(j)(1), 42 U.S.C. § 9620(j)(1), or RCRA section 6001, 42 U.S.C. § 6961; or
- (b) The order of an appropriate court.

16.2 The State reserves any statutory right it may have to challenge any Presidential order relieving the Marine Corps of its obligations to comply with this Agreement.

17. STATUTORY COMPLIANCE/RCRA-CERCLA INTEGRATION

17.1 The Parties intend to integrate into this comprehensive Agreement the Marine Corps's CERCLA response obligations with the Marine Corps's (a) RCRA corrective action obligations, (b) State corrective/remedial action obligations, and (c) obligations under all Orders and other statutory requirements of RWQCB, in each case relating to releases of hazardous substances, hazardous wastes, pollutants or contaminants covered by this Agreement and which have been or will be adequately addressed by the remedial actions provided for under this Agreement. Therefore, the Parties intend that activities covered by this Agreement will achieve compliance with CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9061 et seq.; satisfy the corrective action requirements of RCRA section 3004(u) & (v), 42 U.S.C. § 6924(u) & (v), for a RCRA permit, and RCRA section 3008(h), 42 U.S.C. § 6928(h), for interim status facilities; and meet or exceed all applicable or relevant and appropriate federal and State laws and regulations, to the extent required by CERCLA section 121, 42

EPA Region IX/State of California/Marine Corps FFA
Marine Corps Air Station El Toro

U.S.C. § 9621.

17.2 Based upon the foregoing, the Parties intend that any remedial action selected, implemented and completed under this Agreement will be protective of human health and the environment such that remediation of releases covered by this Agreement shall obviate the need for further corrective action under RCRA or otherwise applicable State hazardous waste or water quality protection laws (i.e., no further corrective action shall be required). The Parties agree that with respect to releases of hazardous waste covered by this Agreement, RCRA and such State laws shall be considered an applicable or relevant and appropriate requirement pursuant to CERCLA section 121, 42 U.S.C. § 9621.

17.3 The Parties recognize that the requirement to obtain permits for response actions undertaken pursuant to this Agreement shall be as provided in CERCLA and the NCP. The Parties further recognize that ongoing hazardous waste management activities at Marine Corps Air Station El Toro may require the issuance of permits under federal and State laws. This Agreement does not affect the requirements, if any, to obtain such permits. However, if a permit is issued to the Marine Corps for ongoing hazardous waste management activities at the Site, the issuing party shall reference and incorporate in a permit condition any appropriate provision, including appropriate schedules (and the provision for extension of such schedules), of this Agreement into such permit. The Parties intend that any judicial review of any permit condition which references this Agreement shall, to the extent authorized by law, only be reviewed under the provisions of CERCLA.

18. PROJECT MANAGERS

18.1 Within ten (10) days after the date of execution of this Agreement, EPA, the Marine Corps, DHS and RWQCB shall each designate a Project Manager and an alternate (each hereinafter referred to as Project Manager), for the purpose of overseeing the implementation of this Agreement. The Project Managers shall be responsible on a daily basis for assuring proper implementation of the RI/FS and the RD/RA in accordance with the terms of the Agreement. In addition to the formal notice provisions set forth in Section 21 (Notification), to the maximum extent possible, communications among the Marine Corps, EPA, and the State on all documents, including reports, comments, and other correspondence concerning the activities performed pursuant to this Agreement, shall be directed through the Project

EPA Region IX/State of California/Marine Corps FFA
Marine Corps Air Station El Toro

Managers. A contractor may not serve as Project Manager, unless the other Parties shall consent in writing.

18.2 The Marine Corps, EPA, DHS and RWQCB may change their respective Project Managers. The other Parties shall be notified in writing within five days of the change.

18.3 The Project Managers shall meet to discuss progress as described in subsection 7.5. Although the Marine Corps has ultimate responsibility for meeting its respective deadlines or schedule, the Project Managers shall assist in this effort by consolidating the review of primary and secondary documents whenever possible, and by scheduling progress meetings to review reports, evaluate the performance of environmental monitoring at the Site, review RI/FS or RD/RA progress, discuss target dates for elements of the RI/FS to be conducted in the following one hundred and eighty (180) days, resolve disputes, and adjust deadlines or schedules. At least one week prior to each scheduled progress meeting, the Marine Corps will provide to the other Parties a draft agenda and summary of the status of the work subject to this Agreement. Unless the Project Managers agree otherwise, the minutes of each progress meeting, the meeting agenda and all documents discussed during the meeting that were not previously provided shall constitute a progress report. The Marine Corps will send to all Project Managers (a) within ten business days after the meeting, all such documents not previously provided and (b) within twenty-one calendar days after the meeting, the minutes and agenda. If an extended period occurs between Project Manager progress meetings, the Project Managers may agree that the Marine Corps shall prepare an interim progress report and provide it to the other Parties. The report shall include the information that would normally be discussed in a progress meeting.

18.4 The authority of the Project Managers shall include, but is not limited to:

- (a) Taking samples and ensuring that sampling and other field work is performed in accordance with the terms of any final work plan and QAPP;
- (b) Observing, and taking photographs and making such other reports on the progress of the work as the Project Managers deem appropriate, subject to the limitations set forth in Section 25 (Access to Marine Corps Air Station El Toro) hereof;
- (c) Reviewing records, files and documents relevant to the work performed, subject to the limitations set forth in subsection 23.1 hereof;
- (d) Determining the form and specific content of

EPA Region IX/State of California/Marine Corps FFA
Marine Corps Air Station El Toro

Project Manager meetings and of progress reports based on such meetings; and

(e) Recommending and requesting minor field modifications to the work to be performed pursuant to a final work plan, or to techniques, procedures, or design utilized in carrying out such work plan.

18.5 Any minor field modification proposed by any Party pursuant to this Section must be approved orally by all Parties' Project Managers to be effective. The Marine Corps Project Manager will make a contemporaneous record of such modification and approval in a written log, and a copy of the log entry will be provided as part of the next progress report. Even after approval of the proposed modification, no Project Manager will require implementation by a government contractor without approval of the appropriate Government Contracting Officer.

18.6 The Project Manager for the Marine Corps shall be responsible for day-to-day field activities at the Site. The Marine Corps Project Manager or other designated representative of Marine Corps Air Station El Toro shall be present at the Site or reasonably available to supervise work during all hours of work performed at the Site pursuant to this Agreement. For all times that such work is being performed, the Marine Corps Project Manager shall inform the command post at Marine Corps Air Station El Toro of the name and telephone number of the designated representative responsible for supervising the work.

18.7 The Project Managers shall be reasonably available to consult on work performed pursuant to this Agreement and shall make themselves available to each other for the pendency of this Agreement. The absence of EPA, DHS, RWQCB or Marine Corps Project Managers from the Facility shall not be cause for work stoppage of activities taken under this Agreement.

18.8 If the Project Manager for DHS or RWQCB cannot attend any Project Managers' meeting, the agency unable to attend shall provide reasonable notice (48 hours' advance notice if possible) to all other Project Managers regarding such absence and whether the Project Manager for the other State agency is authorized to speak for the absent agency. If such other agency's Project Manager is not so authorized, the absent agency shall, to the extent practical, provide its concerns and comments to all other Parties within a reasonable time prior to the meeting.

19. PERMITS

EPA Region IX/State of California/Marine Corps FFA
Marine Corps Air Station El Toro

19.1 The Parties recognize that under sections 121(d) and 121(e)(1) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9621(d) and 9621(e)(1), and the NCP, portions of the response actions called for by this Agreement and conducted entirely on-site are exempted from the procedural requirement to obtain a federal, State, or local permit but must satisfy all the promulgated (as defined in NCP 300.400(g)(4)) applicable or relevant and appropriate federal and State substantive standards, requirements, criteria, or limitations which would have been included in any such permit.

19.2 This Section is not intended to relieve the Marine Corps from any applicable regulatory requirements, including obtaining a permit, whenever it proposes a response action involving either the movement of hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants off-site, or the conduct of a response action off-site.

19.3 The Marine Corps shall notify EPA and the State in writing of any permit required for off-site activities as soon as it becomes aware of the requirement. The Marine Corps agrees to obtain any permits necessary for the performance of any work under this Agreement. Upon request, the Marine Corps shall provide EPA and the State copies of all such permit applications and other documents related to the permit process. Copies of permits obtained in implementing this Agreement shall be appended to the appropriate submittal or progress report. Upon request by the Marine Corps Project Manager, the Project Managers of EPA and the State will assist Marine Corps Air Station El Toro to the extent feasible in obtaining any required permit.

20. QUALITY ASSURANCE

20.1 In order to provide quality assurance and maintain quality control regarding all field work and sample collection performed pursuant to this Agreement, the Marine Corps agrees to designate a Quality Assurance Officer (QAO) who will ensure that all work is performed in accordance with approved work plans, sampling plans and QAPPs. The QAO shall maintain for inspection a log of quality assurance field activities and provide a copy to the Parties upon request.

20.2 To ensure compliance with the QAPP, the Marine Corps shall, upon request by EPA or the State, use its best efforts to obtain access to all laboratories performing analysis on behalf of the Marine Corps pursuant to this Agreement. If such access is not obtained for any laboratory, EPA or the State may reject all or portions of the data generated by such laboratory and

EPA Region IX/State of California/Marine Corps FFA
Marine Corps Air Station El Toro

require the Marine Corps to have the same or comparable data analyzed by a laboratory that will grant such access.

21. NOTIFICATION

21.1 All Parties shall transmit primary and secondary documents, and comments thereon, and all notices required herein by next day mail, hand delivery, or facsimile, or by certified mail if transmitted sufficiently ahead of the applicable deadline. Notifications shall be deemed effective upon receipt.

21.2 Notice to the individual Parties pursuant to this Agreement shall be sent to the addresses specified by the Parties. Initially these shall be as follows:

EPA:

(as of May 1999)

Glenn R. Kistner
Remedial Project Manager
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX
Hazardous Waste Management Division (SFD 8-2)
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

State:

Tayseer Mahmoud
Remedial Project Manager
California Environmental Protection Agency
Department of Toxic Substances Control
Region 4, Southern California Operations
5796 Corporate Avenue
Cypress, CA 90630

Patricia Hannon
Remedial Project Manager
California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Santa Ana
3737 Main Street, Suite 500
Riverside, CA 92501-3339

Marine Corps/Department of the Navy:

Joseph Joyce
Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) Environmental Coordinator
Southwest Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command
1220 Pacific Highway
San Diego, CA 92132-5190

21.3 All routine correspondence may be sent via first class mail to the above addresses.

EPA Region IX/State of California/Marine Corps FFA
Marine Corps Air Station El Toro

require the Marine Corps to have the same or comparable data analyzed by a laboratory that will grant such access.

21. NOTIFICATION

21.1 All Parties shall transmit primary and secondary documents, and comments thereon, and all notices required herein by next day mail, hand delivery, or facsimile, or by certified mail if transmitted sufficiently ahead of the applicable deadline. Notifications shall be deemed effective upon receipt.

21.2 Notice to the individual Parties pursuant to this Agreement shall be sent to the addresses specified by the Parties. Initially these shall be as follows:

EPA:

John Hamill
Remedial Project Manager, Marine Corps Air Station El Toro
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9
Hazardous Waste Management Division, H-7-5
1235 Mission Street
San Francisco, CA 94103

State:

Manny Alonzo, Project Manager
Preremedial and Federal Facilities Unit
California Department of Health Services
Toxic Substances Control Division
Region 4, Site Mitigation Branch
245 West Broadway, Suite 350
Long Beach, CA 90802; and

Ken Williams
Water Resource Control Engineer
Regional Water Quality Control Board, Santa Ana Region
6809 Indiana Ave., Suite 200
Riverside, CA 92506

Marine Corps:

Larry Nuzum, Remedial Project Manager
Southwest Division
Naval Facilities Engineering Command
1220 Pacific Highway
San Diego, CA 92132-5190

21.3 All routine correspondence may be sent via first class mail to the above addresses.

EPA Region IX/State of California/Marine Corps FFA
Marine Corps Air Station El Toro

22. DATA AND DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY

22.1 Each Party shall make all sampling results, test results or other data or documents generated through the implementation of this Agreement available to the other Parties. All quality assured data shall be supplied within sixty (60) days of its collection. If the quality assurance procedure is not completed within sixty (60) days, raw data or results shall be submitted within the sixty (60) day period and quality assured data or results shall be submitted as soon as they become available. The procedures of Section 9 (Extensions) shall apply to the sixty-day period referred to herein.

22.2 The sampling Party's Project Manager shall notify the other Parties' Project Managers not less than 10 days in advance of any sample collection. If it is not possible to provide 10 days prior notification, the sampling Party's Project Manager shall notify the other Project Managers as soon as possible after becoming aware that samples will be collected. Each Party shall allow, to the extent practicable, split or duplicate samples to be taken by the other Parties or their authorized representatives. Other Parties desiring to collect split or duplicate samples shall inform the sampling Party before the time of sample collection. Each Party receiving split or duplicate samples shall on request provide the sampling Party with its chain of custody documents relating to such sample.

23. RELEASE OF RECORDS

23.1 The Parties may request of one another access to or a copy of any record or document relating to this Agreement or the Installation Restoration Program. If the Party that is the subject of the request (the originating Party) has the record or document, that Party shall provide to the requesting Party access to or a copy of the record or document; provided, however, that no such access or copy need be provided if the record or document is identified as confidential and is subject to a claim of confidentiality because of attorney-client privilege or attorney work product or under the following provisions of FOIA: deliberative process, enforcement confidentiality, or properly classified for national security under law or executive order.

23.2 Records or documents identified by the originating Party as confidential pursuant to (a) non-disclosure provisions of FOIA other than those listed in subsection 23.1 above, or (b)

EPA Region IX/State of California/Marine Corps FFA
Marine Corps Air Station El Toro

the California Public Records Act, section 6250 et seq. of the California Government Code, shall be released to the requesting Party if the requesting Party states in writing that it will not release the record or document to the public without first consulting with the originating Party and either (x) receiving the originating Party's prior approval or (y) if the originating Party does not approve, giving the originating Party opportunity to contest, in accordance with applicable statutes and regulations, the preliminary decision to release. Records or documents which are provided to the requesting Party and which are not identified as confidential may be made available to the public without further notice to the originating Party.

23.3 The Parties will not assert one of the above exemptions, including any available under FOIA or the California Public Records Act, even if available, if no governmental interest (including the interest established by law in protecting confidential business information) would be jeopardized by access or release as determined solely by the asserting Party.

23.4 Subject to section 120(j)(2) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9620(j)(2), any documents required to be provided by Section 7 (Consultation) and analytical data showing test results will be subject to subsection 23.2 or the proviso to subsection 23.2.

23.5 This Section does not change any requirement regarding press releases in Section 26 (Public Participation and Community Relations).

23.6 Disputes between EPA and the Marine Corps concerning matters covered by this Section 23 shall be subject to Section 12 (Dispute Resolution). Disputes between (a) DHS or the RWQCB and (b) EPA or the Marine Corps shall not be subject to Section 12 and shall instead be pursued through the originating Party's standard procedures concerning releasability of documents under FOIA or the California Public Records Act.

24. PRESERVATION OF RECORDS

24.1 Notwithstanding any document retention policy to the contrary, during the pendency of this Agreement and for a minimum of ten years after its termination, (a) the Marine Corps shall preserve all records and documents that were at any time in its possession or in the possession of its contractors and (b) each other Party shall preserve all records and documents it prepared or to which it substantially contributed, in each case that relate to (x) the implementation of the Installation Restorati

EPA Region IX/State of California/Marine Corps FFA
Marine Corps Air Station El Toro

Program at the Site, or (y) the actions carried out pursuant to this Agreement. After this ten-year period, each Party shall notify the other Parties at least 45 days prior to destruction of any such records or documents.

25. ACCESS TO MARINE CORPS AIR STATION EL TORO

25.1 Without limitation of any authority conferred on EPA or the State by statute or regulation, EPA, the State or their authorized representatives shall be allowed to enter Marine Corps Air Station El Toro at reasonable times for purposes consistent with the provisions of the Agreement, subject to any statutory and regulatory requirements necessary to protect national security or mission essential activities. Such access shall include, but not be limited to, reviewing the progress of the Marine Corps in carrying out the terms of this Agreement; ascertaining that the work performed pursuant to this Agreement is in accordance with approved work plans, sampling plans and QAPPs; and conducting such tests as EPA, the State, or the Project Managers deem necessary.

25.2 The Marine Corps shall honor all reasonable requests for access by the EPA or the State, conditioned upon presentation of proper credentials. The Marine Corps Project Manager or his/her designee will provide briefing information, coordinate access and escort to restricted or controlled-access areas, arrange for base passes and coordinate any other access requests which arise.

25.3 EPA and the State shall provide reasonable notice (which shall, if practical, be 48 hours' advance notice) to the Marine Corps Project Manager to request any necessary escorts. EPA and the State shall not use any camera, sound recording or other recording device at Marine Corps Air Station El Toro without the permission of the Marine Corps Project Manager. The Marine Corps shall not unreasonably withhold such permission.

25.4 The access by EPA and the State granted in subsection 25.1 shall be subject to those regulations necessary to protect national security or mission essential activities. Such regulation shall not be applied so as to unreasonably hinder EPA or the State from carrying out their responsibilities and authority pursuant to this Agreement. In the event that access requested by either EPA or the State is denied by the Marine Corps, the Marine Corps shall provide an explanation within 48 hours of the reason for the denial, including reference to the applicable regulations, and, upon request, a copy of such regulations. The Marine Corps shall expeditiously make

EPA Region IX/State of California/Marine Corps FFA
Marine Corps Air Station El Toro

alternative arrangements for accommodating the requested access. The Parties agree that this Agreement is subject to CERCLA section 120(j), 42 U.S.C. § 9620(j), regarding the issuance of Site Specific Presidential Orders as may be necessary to protect national security.

25.5 If EPA or the State requests access in order to observe a sampling event or other work being conducted pursuant to this Agreement, and access is denied or limited, the Marine Corps agrees to reschedule or postpone such sampling or work if EPA or the State so requests, until such mutually agreeable time when the requested access is allowed. The Marine Corps shall not restrict the access rights of the EPA or the State to any greater extent than the Marine Corps restricts the access rights of its contractors performing work pursuant to this Agreement.

25.6 All Parties with access to Marine Corps Air Station El Toro pursuant to this Section shall comply with all applicable health and safety plans.

25.7 To the extent the activities pursuant to this Agreement must be carried out on other than Marine Corps property, the Marine Corps shall use its best efforts, including its authority under CERCLA section 104, to obtain access agreements from the owners which shall provide reasonable access for the Marine Corps, EPA, and the State and their representatives. The Marine Corps may request the assistance of the State in obtaining such access, and upon such request, the State will use its best efforts to obtain the required access. In the event that the Marine Corps is unable to obtain such access agreements, the Marine Corps shall promptly notify EPA and the State.

25.8 With respect to non-Marine Corps property on which monitoring wells, pumping wells, or other response actions are to be located, the Marine Corps shall use its best efforts to ensure that any access agreements shall provide for the continued right of entry for all Parties for the performance of such remedial activities. In addition, any access agreement shall provide that no conveyance of title, easement, or other interest in the property shall be consummated without the continued right of entry.

25.9 Nothing in this Section shall be construed to limit EPA's and the State's full right of access as provided in 42 U.S.C. § 9604(e) and California Health and Safety Code section 25185, except as that right may be limited by 42 U.S.C. § 9620(j)(2), E.O. 12580, or other applicable national security

regulations or federal law.

26. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION AND COMMUNITY RELATIONS

26.1 The Parties agree that any proposed removal actions and remedial action alternative(s) and plan(s) for remedial action at the Site arising out of this Agreement shall comply with the administrative record and public participation requirements of CERCLA sections 113(k) and 117, 42 U.S.C. § 9313(k) and 9617, relevant community relations provisions in the NCP, EPA guidances, and, to the extent they may apply, State statutes and regulations. The State agrees to inform the Marine Corps of all State requirements which it believes pertain to public participation. The provisions of this Section shall be carried out in a manner consistent with, and shall fulfill the intent of, Section 17 (Statutory Compliance/RCRA-CERCLA Integration).

26.2 The Marine Corps shall develop and implement a community relations plan (CRP) addressing the environmental activities and elements of work undertaken by the Marine Corps, except as provided in Section 11 hereof.

26.3 The Marine Corps shall establish and maintain an administrative record at a place, at or near the federal facility, which is freely accessible to the public, which record shall provide the documentation supporting the selection of each response action. The administrative record shall be established and maintained in accordance with relevant provisions in CERCLA, the NCP, and EPA guidances. A copy of each document placed in the administrative record, not already provided, will be provided by the Marine Corps to the other Parties. The administrative record developed by the Marine Corps shall be updated and new documents supplied to the other Parties on at least a quarterly basis. An index of documents in the administrative record will accompany each update of the administrative record.

26.4 Except in case of an emergency, any Party issuing a press release with reference to any of the work required by this Agreement shall advise the other Parties of such press release and the contents thereof at least 48 hours prior to issuance.

27. FIVE-YEAR REVIEW

27.1 Consistent with 42 U.S.C. § 9621(c) and in accordance with this Agreement, if the selected remedial action results in

EPA Region IX/State of California/Marine Corps FFA
Marine Corps Air Station El Toro

any hazardous substances, pollutants or contaminants remaining at the Site, the Parties shall review the remedial action program at least every five (5) years after the initiation of the final remedial action to assure that human health and the environment are being protected by the remedial action being implemented.

27.2 If, upon such review, any of the Parties proposes additional work or modification of work, such proposal shall be handled under subsection 7.10 of this Agreement.

27.3 To synchronize the five-year reviews for all operable units and final remedial actions, the following procedure will be used: Review of operable units will be conducted every five years counting from the initiation of the first operable unit, until initiation of the final remedial action for the Site. At that time a separate review for all operable units shall be conducted. Review of the final remedial action (including all operable units) shall be conducted every five years thereafter.

28. TRANSFER OF REAL PROPERTY

28.1 No change in the ownership of Marine Corps Air Station El Toro shall in any way alter the responsibility of the Parties under this Agreement. The Marine Corps shall not transfer any real property comprising the federal facility except in compliance with section 120(h) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9620(h). Prior to any sale of any portion of the land comprising the federal facility which includes an area within which any release of hazardous substance has come to be located, or any property which is necessary for proceeding with the remedial action, the Marine Corps shall give written notice of that condition to the buyer of the land. At least thirty (30) days prior to any conveyance subject to section 120(h) of CERCLA, the Marine Corps shall notify all Parties of the transfer of any real property subject to this Agreement and the provisions made for any additional remedial actions, if required. The provisions of this subsection 28.1 shall not apply to the extent federal statute adopted after the effective date of this Agreement places restrictions on transfer of real property by the Marine Corps that are inconsistent with such provisions.

28.2 Until six months following the effective date of the final regulations implementing CERCLA section 120(h)(2), 42 U.S.C. § 9620(h)(2), the Marine Corps agrees to comply with the most recent version of the regulations as proposed and all other substantive and procedural provisions of CERCLA section 120(h) and subsection 28.1.

EPA Region IX/State of California/Marine Corps FFA
Marine Corps Air Station El Toro

29. AMENDMENT OR MODIFICATION OF AGREEMENT

29.1 This Agreement can be amended or modified solely upon written consent of all Parties. Such amendments or modifications may be proposed by any Party and shall be effective the third business day following the day the last Party to sign the amendment or modification sends its notification of signing to the other Parties. The Parties may agree to a different effective date.

30. TERMINATION OF THE AGREEMENT

30.1 The provisions of this Agreement shall be deemed satisfied and terminated upon receipt by the Marine Corps of written notice from EPA, with concurrence of the State, that the Marine Corps has demonstrated that all the terms of this Agreement have been completed. If EPA denies or otherwise fails to grant a termination notice within 90 days of receiving a written Marine Corps request for such notice, EPA shall provide a written statement of the basis for its denial and describe the Marine Corps actions which, in the view of EPA, would be a satisfactory basis for granting a notice of completion. Such denial or failure to grant shall be subject to dispute resolution.

30.2 This Section shall not affect the requirements for periodic review at maximum five-year intervals of the efficacy of the remedial actions.

31. COVENANT NOT TO SUE AND RESERVATION OF RIGHTS

31.1 In consideration for the Marine Corps's compliance with this Agreement, and based on the information known to the Parties or reasonably available on the effective date of this Agreement, EPA, the Marine Corps, and the State agree that compliance with this Agreement shall stand in lieu of any administrative, legal, and equitable remedies against the Marine Corps available to them regarding the releases or threatened releases of hazardous substances including hazardous wastes, pollutants or contaminants at the Site which are the subject of any RI/FS conducted pursuant to this Agreement and which have been or will be adequately addressed by the remedial actions provided for under this Agreement. The above notwithstanding, EPA and the State reserve all rights each may have with regard to

EPA Region IX/State of California/Marine Corps FFA
Marine Corps Air Station El Toro

the Marine Corps's taking any removal action requested under subsection 11.3(f) after exhaustion of the alternative dispute resolution process set forth in subsection 11.6.

31.2 Notwithstanding this Section or any other Section of this Agreement, the State shall retain any statutory right it may have to obtain judicial review of any final decision of the EPA on selection of remedial action pursuant to any authority the State may have under CERCLA, including sections 121(e)(2), 121(f), 310, and 113.

32. OTHER CLAIMS

32.1 Nothing in this Agreement shall constitute or be construed as a bar or release from any claim, cause of action or demand in law or equity by or against any person, firm, partnership or corporation not a signatory to this Agreement for any liability it may have arising out of or relating in any way to the generation, storage, treatment, handling, transportation, release, or disposal of any hazardous substances, hazardous waste, pollutants, or contaminants found at, taken to, or taken from the federal facility. Unless specifically agreed to in writing by the Parties, EPA and the State shall not be held as a party to any contract entered into by the Department of the Navy (including the Marine Corps) to implement the requirements of this Agreement.

32.2 This Agreement shall not restrict EPA, the State or the Marine Corps from taking any legal or response action for any matter not part of the subject matter of this Agreement.

33. RECOVERY OF EPA EXPENSES

33.1 The Parties agree to amend this Agreement at a later date in accordance with any subsequent national resolution of the issue of cost reimbursement. Pending such resolution, EPA reserves any rights it may have with respect to cost reimbursement.

34. STATE SUPPORT SERVICES

34.1 Compensation for State support services rendered in connection with this Agreement are governed by the Defense/State Memorandum of Agreement, executed on May 31, 1990, between DHS on behalf of the State and the Department of Defense. In the event such Memorandum of Agreement is terminated or no longer in effect

EPA Region IX/State of California/Marine Corps FFA
Marine Corps Air Station El Toro

for any reason, subsections 34.2 through shall 34.12 shall apply.

34.2 The Marine Corps agrees to request funding and reimburse the State, subject to the conditions and limitations set forth in this Section and subject to Section 15 (Funding), for all reasonable costs it incurs in providing services in direct support of the Marine Corps's environmental restoration activities pursuant to this Agreement at the Site.

34.3 Reimbursable expenses shall consist only of actual expenditures required to be made and actually made by the State in providing the following assistance to Marine Corps Air Station El Toro:

- (a) Timely technical review and substantive comment on reports or studies which the Marine Corps prepares in support of its response actions and submits to the State.
- (b) Identification and explanation of unique State requirements applicable to military installations in performing response actions, especially State ARARs.
- (c) Field visits to ensure investigations and clean-up activities are implemented in accordance with appropriate State requirements, or in accordance with agreed upon conditions between the State and the Marine Corps that are established in the framework of this Agreement.
- (d) Support and assistance to the Marine Corps in the conduct of public participation activities in accordance with federal and State requirements for public involvement.
- (e) Participation in the review and comment functions of Marine Corps Technical Review Committees.
- (f) Other services specified in this Agreement.

34.4 Within ninety (90) days after the end of each quarter of the federal fiscal year, the State shall submit to the Marine Corps an accounting of all State costs actually incurred during that quarter in providing direct support services under this Section. Such accounting shall be accompanied by cost summaries and be supported by documentation which meets federal auditing requirements. The summaries will set forth employee-hours and other expenses by major type of support service. All costs submitted must be for work directly related to implementation of this Agreement and not inconsistent with either the National Contingency Plan (NCP) or the requirements described in OMB Circulars A-87 (Cost Principles for State and Local Governments) and A-128 (Audits for State and Local Cooperative Agreements with State and Local Governments) and Standard Forms 424 and 270. The Marine Corps has the right to audit cost reports used by the State to develop the cost summaries. Before the beginning of

EPA Region IX/State of California/Marine Corps FFA
Marine Corps Air Station El Toro

each fiscal year, the State shall supply a budget estimate of what it plans to do in the next year in the same level of detail as the billing documents.

34.5 Except as allowed pursuant to subsections 34.6 or 34.7 below, within ninety (90) days of receipt of the accounting provided pursuant to subsection 34.3 above, the Marine Corps shall reimburse the State in the amount set forth in the accounting.

34.6 In the event the Marine Corps contends that any of the costs set forth in the accounting provided pursuant to subsection 34.4 above are not properly payable, the matter shall be resolved through the process set forth in subsection 34.10 below.

34.7 The Marine Corps shall not be responsible for reimbursing the State for any costs actually incurred in the implementation of this Agreement in excess of one percent (1%) of the Marine Corps's total lifetime project costs incurred through construction of the remedial action(s). Circumstances could arise whereby fluctuations in the Marine Corps estimates or actual final costs through the construction of the final remedial action creates a situation where the State receives reimbursement in excess of one percent of these costs. Under these circumstances, the State remains entitled to payment for services rendered prior to the completion of a new estimate if the services are within the ceiling applicable under the previous estimate. This Section does not cover the cost of services rendered prior to October 17, 1986; services and properties not owned by the federal government; and activities funded from sources other than Defense Environmental Restoration Account appropriations.

(a) Funding of support services must be constrained so as to avoid unnecessary diversion of the limited Defense Environmental Restoration Account funds available for the overall cleanup, and

(b) Support services should not be disproportionate to overall project costs and budget.

34.8 Either the Marine Corps or the State may request, on the basis of significant upward or downward revisions in the Marine Corps's estimate of its total lifetime costs through construction used in subsection 34.7 above, a renegotiation of the cap. Failing an agreement, either the Marine Corps or the State may initiate dispute resolution in accordance with subsection 34.10 below.

EPA Region IX/State of California/Marine Corps FFA
Marine Corps Air Station El Toro

34.9 The State agrees to seek reimbursement for its expenses solely through the mechanisms established in this Section, and reimbursement provided under this Section shall be in settlement of any claims for State response costs relative to the Marine Corps's environmental restoration activities at the Site.

34.10 Section 12 (Dispute Resolution) notwithstanding, this subsection shall govern any dispute between the Marine Corps and the State regarding the application of this Section or any matter controlled by this Section including, but not limited to, allowability of expenses and limits on reimbursement. While it is the intent of the Marine Corps and the State that these procedures shall govern resolution of disputes concerning State reimbursement, informal dispute resolution is encouraged.

(a) The Marine Corps, DHS and RWQCB Project Managers shall be the initial points of contact for coordination of dispute resolution under this Subsection.

(b) If the Marine Corps, DHS and RWQCB Project Managers are unable to resolve a dispute, the matter shall be referred to the Commander, Southwest Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command, or his designated representative, the DHS Regional Administrator, Region 4, and the RWQCB Assistant Executive Officer as soon as practicable, but in any event within five (5) working days after the dispute is elevated by the Project Managers.

(c) If the persons listed in paragraph 34.10(b) above are unable to resolve the dispute within ten (10) working days, the matter shall be elevated to the DHS Chief Deputy Director, the RWQCB Executive Officer and the Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Navy for Installations and the Environment.

(d) In the event persons listed in paragraph 34.10(c) above are unable to resolve a dispute, the State retains any legal and equitable remedies it may have to recover its expenses. In addition, the State may withdraw from this Agreement by giving sixty (60) days notice to the other Parties.

34.11 Nothing herein shall be construed to limit the ability of the Marine Corps to contract with the State for technical services that could otherwise be provided by a private contractor including, but not limited to:

(a) Identification, investigation, and cleanup of

EPA Region IX/State of California/Marine Corps FFA
Marine Corps Air Station El Toro

any contamination beyond the boundaries of Marine Corps Air Station El Toro;

- (b) Laboratory analysis; or
- (c) Data collection for field studies.

34.12 Nothing in this Agreement shall be construed to constitute a waiver of any claims by the State for any expenses incurred prior to the effective date of this Agreement.

35. STATE PARTICIPATION CONTINGENCY

35.1 If either DHS or RWQCB fails to sign this Agreement within thirty (30) days of notification of the signature by both EPA and the Marine Corps, this Agreement will be interpreted as if that agency were not a signatory and any reference to that agency in this Agreement will have no effect.

35.2 If both DHS and RWQCB do not sign this Agreement within the 30-day period described in subsection 35.1, this Agreement will be interpreted as if the State were not a Party and any reference in this Agreement to the State, DHS and RWQCB will have no effect. In addition, Marine Corps Air Station El Toro shall have to comply only with those State requirements, conditions or standards, including those specifically listed in this Agreement, that Marine Corps Air Station El Toro would otherwise have had to comply with absent this Agreement.

35.3

If either subsection 35.1 or subsection 35.2 applies,

(a) the Marine Corps agrees to transmit all primary and secondary documents to the agency or agencies that did not sign this Agreement at the same time such documents are transmitted to EPA; and

(b) EPA intends to consult with the agency or agencies that did not sign this Agreement with respect to the above documents and during implementation of this Agreement.

36. EFFECTIVE DATE AND PUBLIC COMMENT

36.1 The provisions of this Section shall be carried out in a manner consistent with, and shall fulfill the intent of Section 17 (Statutory Compliance/RCRA-CERCLA Integration).

36.2 Within fifteen (15) days of the date of the execution of this Agreement, the Marine Corps shall announce the availability of this Agreement to the public for a forty-five

EPA Region IX/State of California/Marine Corps FFA
Marine Corps Air Station El Toro

(45) day period of review and comment, including publication in at least two major local newspapers of general circulation. Comments received shall be transmitted to the other Parties within seven (7) days after the end of the comment period. The Parties shall review such comments within fourteen (14) days after such seven-day period and shall meet within seven (7) days after such 14-day period to determine whether this Agreement should be made effective in its present form.

(a) If it is determined that this Agreement should be made effective, EPA shall promptly notify all Parties in writing, and this Agreement shall become effective on the date that Marine Corps Air Station El Toro receives such notification.

(b) If the determination in paragraph 36.2(a) is not made, the Parties shall meet to discuss any proposed changes. If changes are agreed upon, the Agreement, as modified, shall be re-executed by the Parties, with EPA signing last, and shall become effective on the date it is signed by EPA.

(c) If the Parties cannot agree to modify this Agreement pursuant to paragraph 36.2(b) within the second seven day period set forth above (or within such other time period as the Parties agree upon), the Parties shall submit their written notices of position concerning those provisions still in dispute to the DRC. If the DRC is unable to resolve the dispute, the dispute shall be elevated to the SEC. If changes are agreed upon by the DRC or SEC, the Agreement, as modified, shall be re-executed by the Parties, with EPA signing last, and shall become effective on the date it is signed by EPA.

(d) If the SEC cannot resolve the dispute and the proposed changes would impose substantial additional obligations on a Party, such Party may withdraw from this Agreement. Withdrawal by the Marine Corps shall not affect the obligation of the Marine Corps to comply with CERCLA section 120, 42 U.S.C. § 9620, or limit the enforcement powers of EPA or the State.

36.3 Any response action underway upon the effective date of this Agreement shall be subject to the terms of this Agreement unless the Parties agree otherwise.

36.4 At the start of the public comment period, the Marine Corps will also transmit copies of this Agreement, for review and comment, to the appropriate Federal Natural Resource Trustees. The State will transmit copies to appropriate State and local agencies and compile and consolidate comments from these

EPA Region IX/State of California/Marine Corps FFA
Marine Corps Air Station El Toro

agencies. The State will work with the Marine Corps prior to the start of the public comment period to develop the list of appropriate State and local agencies.

37. BASE CLOSURE

37.1 The Marine Corps does not currently plan to close Marine Corps Air Station El Toro. However, in the event that Marine Corps Air Station El Toro is closed, such closure, except as is otherwise specifically provided by law, will not affect the Marine Corps's obligation to comply with the terms of this Agreement and to specifically ensure the following:

- (a) Continuing rights of access for EPA and the State in accordance with the terms and conditions of Section 25 (Access to Marine Corps Air Station El Toro);
- (b) Availability of a Project Manager to fulfill the terms and conditions of the Agreement;
- (c) Designation of alternate DRC members as appropriate for the purposes of implementing Section 12 (Dispute Resolution); and
- (d) Adequate resolution of any other problems identified by the Project Managers regarding the effect of base closure on the implementation of this Agreement.

37.2 Base closure will not of itself constitute a Force Majeure under Section 10 (Force Majeure), nor will it constitute good cause for extensions under Section 9 (Extensions), unless agreed by the Parties.

38. APPENDICES AND ATTACHMENTS

38.1 Appendices shall be an integral and enforceable part of this Agreement. They shall include the most current versions of:

- (a) Deadlines previously established.
- (b) Site-specific outline of key elements to be included in the RI/FS Workplan, QAPP, Community Relations Plan, RI Report, FS Report and Treatability Studies.
- (c) All final primary and secondary documents which will be created in accordance with Section 7 (Consultation).
- (d) All deadlines which will be established in accordance with Section 8 (Deadlines) and which may be extended in accordance with Section 9 (Extensions).
- (e) All final primary documents and all completed secondary documents agreed upon by the Parties prior to the

EPA Region IX/State of California/Marine Corps FFA
Marine Corps Air Station El Toro

effective date of this Agreement.

38.2 Attachments shall be for information only and shall not be enforceable parts of this Agreement. The information in these attachments is provided to support the initial review and comment upon this Agreement, and they are only intended to reflect the conditions known at the signing of this Agreement. None of the facts related therein shall be considered admissions by, nor are they legally binding upon, any Party with respect to any claims unrelated to, or persons not a Party to, this Agreement. They shall include:

- (a) Map(s) of Marine Corps Air Station El Toro (see also subsection 5.10)
 - (b) Chemicals of Concern
 - (c) Statement of Facts
 - (d) Installation Restoration Program Activities
-

EPA Region IX/State of California/Marine Corps FFA
Marine Corps Air Station El Toro

Each undersigned representative of a Party certifies that he or she is fully authorized to enter into the terms and conditions of this Agreement and to legally bind such Party to this Agreement.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

DATE

NANCY STEHLE
Deputy Director
Assistant Secretary of the Navy
(Installations and Environment)

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL
PROTECTION AGENCY

DATE

DANIEL W. MCGOVERN
Regional Administrator, Region 9

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES

9/21/90
DATE

JOHN A. HINTON
Regional Administrator, Region 4
Toxic Substances Control Program

REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD,
SANTA ANA REGION

DATE

GERARD THIBEAULT
Executive Officer

EPA Region IX/State of California/Marine Corps FFA
Marine Corps Air Station El Toro

Each undersigned representative of a Party certifies that he or she is fully authorized to enter into the terms and conditions of this Agreement and to legally bind such Party to this Agreement.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

DATE

NANCY STEHLE
Deputy Director
Assistant Secretary of the Navy
(Installations and Environment)

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL
PROTECTION AGENCY

DATE

DANIEL W. MCGOVERN
Regional Administrator, Region 9

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES

DATE

JOHN A. HINTON
Regional Administrator, Region 4
Toxic Substances Control Program

REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD,
SANTA ANA REGION

9/20/90
DATE

Gerard J. Thibeault
GERARD J. THIBEAULT
Executive Officer

U1010

Appendices

- A) Deadlines Previously Established
- B) Outline of Topics to Be Addressed in the RI/FS
- C) All Final and Secondary Documents Which Will Be Created in Accordance With Section 7 (Consultation)
- D) All Deadlines Which Will Be Established In Accordance With Section 8 (Deadlines) and Which May Be Extended in Accordance With Section 9 (Extensions)

Revised Appendix A

El Toro MCAS

OU 1

Due Date

Phase I Technical Memo	7 MAY 93
Draft Phase II Workplan	9 AUG 93
Draft RI Report	30 DEC 94
Draft FS Report	23 MAR 95
Draft Proposed Plan	23 JUN 95
Draft Record of Decision	29 DEC 95

OUs 2 and 3

Due Date

Phase I Technical Memo	7 MAY 93
Draft Phase II Workplan	9 AUG 93
Draft RI Report	2 JAN 95
Draft FS Report	1 JUN 95
Draft Proposed Plan	1 SEP 95
Draft Record of Decision	12 MAR 96

OU 4

Due Date

Draft RI/FS Workplan	18 AUG 93
----------------------	-----------

Other FFA Milestones and submittal dates for OU 4 will be established following concurrence on the RFA Report.

OPERABLE UNITS

(As Defined by the Effective Date of the Agreement)

Operable Unit # 1

- Site 18 - Regional Groundwater Investigation

Operable Unit # 2

- Site 2 - Magazine Road Landfill
- Site 3 - Original Landfill
- Site 5 - Perimeter Road Landfill
- Site 17 - Communication Station Landfill
- Site 10 - Petroleum Disposal Area

Operable Unit # 3

- Site 1 - Explosive Ordnance Disposal Range
- Site 4 - Ferrocene Spill Area
- Site 6 - Drop Tank Drainage Area No. 1
- Site 7 - Drop Tank Drainage Area No. 2
- Site 8 - DPDO Storage Yard
- Site 9 - Crash Crew Pit No. 1
- Site 11 - Transformer Storage Area
- Site 12 - Sludge Drying Beds
- Site 13 - Oil Change Area
- Site 14 - Battery Acid Disposal Area
- Site 15 - Suspended Fuel Tanks
- Site 16 - Crash Crew Pit No. 2
- Site 19 - ACER Site
- Site 20 - Hobby Shop
- Site 21 - Material Management Group, Building 320
- Site 22 - Tactical Air Fuel Dispensing System

The RFA/Confirmation Study

- The RFA will perform a records search, visual site inspection and a sampling plan, to identify all solid waste management units (SWMUs), and review the sites proposed by the California Regional Water Quality Control Board and all questionable or qualifying underground storage tanks.

Operable Unit # 4

- Any Sites identified during the RFA/Confirmation Study that warrant inclusion and qualify for the RI/FS Program.
- Site 23 - Wastewater Treatment Plant Sewer Lines Leaking Hazardous Substance Tanks
- Final ROD for Overall Site

Appendix A

REVISED

Deadlines Previously Established

Documents not submitted before the effective date of this agreement:

1. In accordance with Section 8.1 of this Agreement, the following deadlines for submission of Draft Primary Documents have been agreed upon by the Parties before the effective date of this Agreement:

	-OU 1-	-OU 2&3-	-OU 4-
Draft RI/FS Workplan (Includes Field Sampling Plan, Quality Assurance Project Plan, and Community Relations Plan)	30 Sep 90	30 Sep 90	15 Dec 91
Draft RI Report (Includes Baseline Risk Assessment)	15 Jun 92	15 Oct 92	15 Sep 93
⇒ Draft FS Report (Includes treatability study results)	15 Aug 92	15 Feb 93	15 Jan 94
Draft Proposed Plan	15 Nov 92	15 May 93	15 Apr 94
Draft ROD (Includes Responsiveness Summary)	15 Apr 93	15 Oct 93	15 Sep 94

2. The following deadlines for submission of Draft Secondary Documents for the RFA/Confirmation Study have been agreed upon by the Parties before the effective date of this Agreement. The Navy will make best efforts to incorporate all RFA sites requiring further action into the RI/FS by these dates. If all sites cannot be addressed by then, a schedule for the remaining sites will be negotiated by all Parties, per Section 29 of this Agreement.

Draft Report on records search, VSI and sample plan	15 Mar 91
Draft RFA Report	15 Dec 91

Appendix B
Outline of Topics to Be Addressed In
The Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study
and Related Reports

The following outlines list topics to be included at a minimum in the RI/FS Documents in Section 7.3(a)(1)-(5) and 7.4(a)(3), as set forth in the most recent version of the Guidance for Conducting Remedial Investigations and Feasibility Studies Under CERCLA (OSWER Directive 9355.3-01, Interim Final, October, 1988) and applicable State law. The documents shall also include additional topics and tasks, as appropriate, as set forth in the guidance.

- 1.0 RI/FS Work Plan
 - Executive Summary
 - 1. Introduction
 - 2. Site Background and Setting
 - 3. Initial Evaluation
 - 3.1 Types and Volumes of Waste Present
 - 3.2 Potential Pathways of Contaminant Migration/Preliminary Public Health and Environmental Impacts
 - 3.3 Preliminary Identification of Operable Units
 - 3.4 Preliminary Identification of Response Objectives and Remedial Action Alternatives
 - 4. Work Plan Rationale
 - 4.1 Data Quality Objectives
 - 4.2 Work Plan Approach
 - 5. RI/FS Tasks
 - 6. Costs and key assumptions
 - 7. Schedule (including Operable Units)
 - 8. Project Management
 - 8.1 Staffing
 - 8.2 Coordination
 - 9. References
 - Appendices

- 2.0 Quality Assurance Project Plan
 - Title Page
 - Table of Contents
 - 1. Project Description
 - 2. Project Organization and Responsibilities
 - 3. QA Objectives for Measurement of Data
 - 4. Sampling Procedures
 - 5. Sample Custody
 - 6. Calibration Procedures
 - 7. Analytical Procedures
 - 8. Data Reduction, Validation and Reporting
 - 9. Internal Quality Control
 - 10. Performance and Systems Audits
 - 11. Preventative Maintenance
 - 12. Data Assessment Procedures
 - 13. Corrective Action
 - 14. Quality Assurance Reports

3.0 Sampling and Analysis Plans

1. Site Background
2. Sampling Objectives
3. Sample Location and Frequency
4. Sample Designation
5. Sampling Equipment and Procedures
6. Sample Handling and Analysis

4.0 Community Relations Plan

1. Overview of Community Relations Plan
 2. Capsule Site Description
 3. Community Background
 4. Highlights of Program
 5. Techniques and Timing
- Appendices

5.0 RI Report

Executive Summary

1. Introduction
 - 1.1 Purpose of Report
 - 1.2 Site Background
 - 1.2.1 Site Description
 - 1.2.2 Site History
 - 1.2.3 Previous Investigations
 - 1.3 Report Organization
2. Study Area Investigation
 - 2.1 Includes field activities associated with site characterization. These may include physical and chemical monitoring of some, but not necessarily all, of the following:
 - 2.1.2 Surface Features (topographic mapping, etc.) (natural and manmade features)
 - 2.1.3 Contaminant Source Investigations
 - 2.1.4 Surface-water and Sediment Investigations
 - 2.1.5 Geologic Investigations
 - 2.1.6 Soil and Vadose Zone Investigations
 - 2.1.7 Ground Water Investigations
 - 2.1.8 Human Population Surveys
 - 2.1.9 Ecological Investigations
 - 2.2 If technical memoranda documenting field activities were prepared, they may be included in an appendix and summarized in this chapter.
3. Physical Characteristics of the Study Area
 - 3.1 Includes results of field activities to determine physical characteristics. These may include some, but not necessarily all, of the following:
 - 3.1.1 Surface Features
 - 3.1.2 Meteorology
 - 3.1.3 Surface Water Hydrology
 - 3.1.4 Geology
 - 3.1.5 Soils
 - 3.1.6 Hydrogeology
 - 3.1.7 Demography and Land Use
 - 3.1.8 Ecology

4. Nature and Extent of Contamination
 - 4.1 Presents the results of site characterization, both natural chemical components and contaminants in the following:
 - 4.1.1 Sources
 - 4.1.2 Soils and Vadose Zone
 - 4.1.3 Ground Water
 - 4.1.4 Surface Water and Sediments
 - 4.1.5 Air
 - 4.1.6 Biota
 - 4.1.7 Fish and Wildlife
 5. Contaminant Fate and Transport
 - 5.1 Potential Routes of Migration (i.e., air, ground water, etc.)
 - 5.2 Contaminant Persistence
 - 5.2.1 If they are applicable (i.e., for organic contaminants), describe estimated persistence in the study area environment and physical, chemical, and/or biological factors of importance for the media of interest.
 - 5.3 Contaminant Migration
 - 5.3.1 Discuss factors affecting contaminant migration for the media of importance (e.g., sorption onto soils, solubility in water, movement of ground water, etc).
 - 5.3.2 Discuss modeling methods and results, if applicable.
 6. Baseline Risk Assessment
 - 6.1 Human Health Evaluation
 - 6.1.1 Exposure Assessment
 - 6.1.2 Toxicity Assessment
 - 6.1.3 Risk Characterization
 - 6.2 Environmental Evaluation
 7. Summary and Conclusions
 - 7.1 Summary
 - 7.1.1 Nature and Extent of Contamination
 - 7.1.2 Fate and Transport
 - 7.1.3 Risk Assessment
 - 7.2 Conclusions
 - 7.2.1 Data Limitations and Recommendations for Further Work
 - 7.2.3 Recommended Remedial Action Objectives
- 6.0 FS Report
Executive Summary
1. Introduction
 - 1.1 Purpose and Organization of Report
 - 1.2 Background Information (Summarized from RI)
 - 1.2.1 Site Description
 - 1.2.2 Site History
 - 1.2.3 Nature and Extent of Contamination
 - 1.2.4 Contaminant Fate and Transport
 - 1.2.5 Baseline Risk Assessment
 2. Identification and Screening of Technologies

- 2.1 Introduction
- 2.2 Remedial Action Objectives - Presents the development of remedial action objectives for each medium of interest (ground water, soil, surface water, air, ecological, etc.). For each medium, the following should be discussed:
 - 2.2.1 Contaminants of Interest
 - 2.2.2 Allowable Exposure Based on Risk Assessment (Including ARARs)
 - 2.2.3 Development of Remediation Goals
- 2.3 General Response Actions - For each medium of interest, describes the estimation of areas or volumes to which treatment, containment, or disposal technologies may be applied.
- 2.4 Identification and Screening of Technology Types and Process Options - For each medium of interest, describes:
 - 2.4.1 Identification and Screening of Technologies
 - 2.4.2 Evaluation of technologies and selection of Representative Technologies
- 3. Development and Screening of Alternatives
 - 3.1 Development of Alternatives - Describes rationale for combination of technologies/media into alternatives. Note: This discussion may be by medium or for the site as a whole.
 - 3.2 Screening of Alternatives (if conducted)
 - 3.2.1 Introduction
 - 3.2.2 Alternative 1
 - 3.2.2.1 Description
 - 3.2.2.2 Evaluation of:
 - Effectiveness
 - Implementability
 - Cost
 - 3.2.3 Alternative 2
 - 3.2.3.1 Description
 - 3.2.3.2 Evaluation
 - 3.2.4 Alternative 3
- 4. Detailed Analysis of Alternatives
 - 4.1 Introduction
 - 4.2 Individual Analysis of Alternatives
 - 4.2.1 Alternative 1
 - 4.2.1.1 Description
 - 4.2.1.2 Assessment of:
 - Overall Protection
 - Compliance with ARARs
 - Long-term Effectiveness and Permanence
 - Reduction of Toxicity, Mobility or Volume Through Treatment
 - Short-Term Effectiveness
 - Implementability
 - Cost
 - State Acceptance

- Community Acceptance

4.2.2 Alternative 2

4.2.2.1 Description

4.2.2.2 Assessment

4.2.3 Alternative 3

4.3 Comparative Analysis

Bibliography

Appendices

7.0 Treatability Investigations - The need for treatability testing should be identified as early in the RI/FS process as possible. The purpose is to provide information needed for the detailed analysis of alternatives and to allow selection of a remedial action with a reasonable certainty of achieving the response actions. In general, treatability testing will include the following:

1. A work plan for Bench or Pilot Scale - see Chapter 5 of the Guidance for Conducting Remedial Investigations and Feasibility Studies Under CERCLA (October, 1988), for example work plan outlines
2. Performing field sampling, and or bench testing or pilot testing
3. Evaluating data from field studies and or bench or pilot testing
4. Preparing a brief report documenting the results of the testing

Appendix C
All Final Primary and Secondary Documents
Which Will Be Created
In Accordance With Section 7 (Consultation)

(To be incorporated by reference)


Appendix D
All Deadlines Which Will Be Established In
Accordance With Section 8 (Deadlines) and Which
May Be Extended in Accordance With Section 9 (Extensions)

(To be incorporated by reference)

Attachments

- A) Site Maps
- B) Chemicals of Concern
- C) Statement of Facts
- D) IRP Activities


Attachment A
Site Map(s)



MCAS EL TORO
SITE LOCATION MAP



TECHNICAL ATTACHMENTS
Federal Facilities Agreement



INSTALLATION RESTORATION PROGRAM
MARINE CORPS AIR STATIONS
TUSCAN AND E. TORO, CALIFORNIA

LEGEND

① SITE NUMBER AND LOCATION

SCALE IN FEET




FIGURE 9

MCAS EL TORO, CALIFORNIA

Attachment B
Chemicals of Concern

Chemicals of Concern
El Toro Marine Corps Air Station

This description of the toxicity of chemicals of concern at El Toro MCAS is for reference only and should not be interpreted as describing effects on any individual person.

This list represents chemicals of concern known at the time of this Agreement. It is based on limited sampling only and does not include chemicals suspected of being present at one or more sites at El Toro. An assessment of any risk of these chemicals to potentially exposed populations will be conducted as part of the Remedial Investigation (RI). This list may change as the RI continues.

DEFINITIONS

Acute Toxicity - Toxicity manifested within a relatively short time interval (i.e., as short as a few minutes to as long as several days). Such toxicity is frequently caused by a single dose of the toxicant.

Chronic Toxicity - The adverse effects manifested after a long time period of uptake of small quantities of a toxicant. The dose is small enough that no acute effects are manifested, and the time period is frequently a significant part of the expected normal lifetime of the organism. The most serious manifestation of chronic toxicity is carcinogenesis, but other types of chronic toxicity are also known (e.g., reproductive effects and behavioral effects).

Chemicals of Concern
El Toro Marine Corps Air Station

Acetone 67-64-1

Acute: irritation of eyes, nose and throat, headaches, dizziness and dermatitis, CNS depression, bronchial irritation and pulmonary congestion.

Chronic: CNS depression and respiratory system damage

Benzene 71-43-2

Acute: strong CNS depressant, dizziness, weakness, heart irregularities, coma, convulsions, cerebral edema, cardiac and respiratory failure

Chronic: hematopoietic system depression, bone marrow damage, anemia, skin rash, aplastic anemia, leukemia

Carbon Tetrachloride 56-23-5

Acute: headaches, nausea, dizziness, eye irritation, dermatitis, gastrointestinal upset

Chronic: kidney damage (nephrosis), liver degeneration and jaundice, CNS depression, possible mutagen, suspected human carcinogen

Chlorobenzene 108-90-7

Acute: irritation of the eyes and nose, drowsiness, incoherence, skin irritation, liver damage

Chronic: kidney and liver damage, possible mutagen

Chemicals of Concern
El Toro Marine Corps Air Station

Chloroform 67-66-3

Acute: pupil dilation, irritation of mucous membranes, conjunctiva and skin, loss of reflexes, sensation and consciousness, cardiac/respiratory failure.

Chronic: heart, liver and kidney damage, possible mutagen, suspected human carcinogen

1,1-Dichloroethane 75-34-3

Acute: eye irritant, unconsciousness, liver, kidney and lung damage, CNS depression

Chronic: loss of appetite, nausea, epigastric pain, mucous membrane irritant, liver and kidney impairment

1,1-Dichloroethene 75-35-4

Acute: CNS depressant, unconsciousness, eye and skin irritant

Chronic: liver and kidney damage

cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene 156-59-2

Acute: none found

Chronic: possible mutagen and suspected human carcinogen

trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene 156-60-5

Acute: nausea, vomiting, weakness, tremor and cramps, dermatitis

Chronic: mutagen, CNS Depression, kidney damage

Chemicals of Concern
El Toro Marine Corps Air Station

Ethyl Benzene 100-41-4

Acute: eye, skin and mucous membrane irritation,
dermatitis, CNS depression

Chronic: possible teratogen and mutagen, chronic dermatitis

Methyl Ethyl Ketone 78-93-3

Acute: irritation of eyes and nose, headaches, dizziness,
vomiting

Chronic: peripheral and central nervous system depression,
possible teratogen

Tetrachloroethylene 127-18-4

Acute: dermatitis, eye and mucous membrane irritation, CNS
depression, gastrointestinal irritation

Chronic: liver damage, mutagen, possible teratogen and human
carcinogen

Toluene 108-88-3

Acute: eye, skin and mucous membrane irritation, CNS
depression

Chronic: dermatitis, possible teratogen, mutagen, liver and
bone marrow damage, CNS damage

1,1,1-Trichloroethane 71-55-6

Acute: eye, skin and mucous membrane irritant, cardiac
arrhythmia, CNS depression

Chronic: dermatitis, possible teratogen and carcinogen;
mutagen

Chemicals of Concern
El Toro Marine Corps Air Station

1,1,2-Trichloroethane 79-00-5

Acute: CNS depression, eye and nose irritant

Chronic: CNS depression, liver and kidney damage

Trichloroethylene 79-01-6

Acute: skin, eyes and mucous membrane irritant, CNS depression

Chronic: dermatitis, possible tumorigen, teratogen and carcinogen; mutagen, gastrointestinal and liver damage

Xylenes 1330-20-7

Acute: nose, eye and throat irritant, dizziness, drowsiness, nausea, kidney and liver damage, CNS depression

Chronic: eye damage, dermatitis, tremors, liver and kidney damage, digestive disorders, fatigue, loss of appetite

Attachment C

Statement of Facts

For the purposes of this Agreement, the following constitutes a summary of the facts upon which this Agreement is based. None of the facts related herein shall be considered admissions by any Party, nor shall they be used by any person for purposes unrelated to this Agreement.

The Parties have determined that:

1. El Toro Marine Corps Air Station (El Toro) is located on 5,000 acres in a primarily urban area of El Toro, Orange County, California. The base lies at the foot of the Santa Ana Mountains and encompasses a portion of the Tustin Plain. Soils at the installation grade from a thin layer of sand and gravel near the mountains, to thick deposits of silt and clay underlying the Tustin Plain. Ground water in this area is recharged primarily by agricultural irrigation water, and by infiltration in stream channels entering the basin from the Santa Ana Mountains. Four intermittent streams flow either through or adjacent to the El Toro site. Two flow along the border of the facility, with two passing through the center of the site. All three streams flow into San Diego Creek southwest of the site, with San Diego Creek flowing into Newport Bay 14km from the site. San Diego Creek was originally an intermittent stream, but is now a continuously flowing, low gradient stream due to surface water runoff from development in the watershed. Newport Bay empties into the Gulf of Santa Catalina.
2. The United States Marine Corps established El Toro in 1943. El Toro is the center for USMC aviation operations on the west coast.
3. Major activities at El Toro contributing to the generation of hazardous wastes include vehicle maintenance, ground support maintenance, aircraft maintenance, and aircraft corrosion control. Other waste generating activities include munitions disposal, pest control, fire protection training, and laboratory operations including photo development, non-destructive inspection, and fuel analysis.
4. Wastes generated by the maintenance operations include spent solvents and waste oils (including TCE, TCA, MEK, toluene, and PD-680), fuels, and greases removed from the spent solvents, and spent strippers.
5. Aircraft washrack activities result in discharge of alkaline soaps, detergents, and small amounts of PD-680. Vehicle and aircraft waste discharge produces the greatest volume of industrial waste of any of the base activities.

6. El Toro was placed on the National Priorities List of Hazardous Sites on February 16, 1990.

7. Work under the Installation Restoration Program has identified 22 sites thus far, requiring investigation under the RI/FS. The Santa Ana Regional Water Quality Control Board requested in June 1989 that approximately thirty additional sites be investigated for possible inclusion in the IR program. Additional source identification will be conducted during the RI/FS workplanning and under the RCRA/CERCLA integration provision of this agreement. Those sources will be incorporated into the RI/FS work. Sites identified thus far include:

Site 1 - Explosive Ordnance Disposal (EOD) - Possible soil contamination from ordnance disposal, including low-level radioactive wastes.

Site 2 - Magazine Road Landfill - Landfill used for disposal of unburned wastes. Possible contamination of soil and/or groundwater sources includes polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), petroleum hydrocarbons, organic solvents, and heavy metals.

Site 3 - Original Landfill - Landfill along Agua Chino Wash containing burned wastes. Possible contamination of soil and/or groundwater sources includes PCBs, petroleum hydrocarbons, organic solvents, and heavy metals.

Site 4 - Ferrocene Spill Area - Drainage ditch adjacent to North 9th Street, near building 658, with possible soil and/or groundwater contamination from ferrocene and hydrocarbon carrier spill that occurred in 1983.

Site 5 - Perimeter Road Landfill - Landfill north of station golf course containing burned wastes. Possible contamination from organic solvents, paint residue and oily wastes.

Site 6 - Drop Tank Drainage Area No. 1 - Possible contamination from disposal of JP-5 fuel and lubricating oil.

Site 7 - Drop Tank Drainage Area No. 2 - Possible contamination from JP-5 and waste lubricating oil disposed of on area soil as a dust suppressant from 1969 to 1983.

Site 8 - DPDO Storage Area - Possible contamination from a spill of several gallons of transformer fluid containing PCB's. The 1984 spill occurred adjacent to ramp 633. Approximately 10,000 lbs. of material was removed to about 1 foot in depth in the spill area.

Site 9 - Crash Crew Pit No. 1 - Possible groundwater contamination from waste liquids percolating into the surrounding soils during crash crew training.

Site 10 - Petroleum Disposal Area - Possible contamination from petroleum wastes used as a dust suppressant from 1952 to mid 1960.

Site 11 - Transformer Storage Area - Possible contamination from PCB spillage onto soil during 1968 to 1983 timeframe.

Site 12 - Sludge Drying Beds - Located west of building 493, a secondary treatment plant dewatered sludge in drying beds. Reportedly, 880 cubic yards of sludge was plowed under at this location.

Site 13 - Oil Change Area - Possible soil contamination from land disposal of heavy equipment waste crankcase oil.

Site 14 - Battery Acid Disposal Area - Possible heavy metal, organic compound, and petroleum hydrocarbon contamination from battery acids oil wastes and paint wastes disposed of on soil.

Site 15 - Suspended Fuel Tanks - Diesel fuel spill of more than 500 gallons from elevated fuel tanks between 1979 and 1984. Site 15 is located northeast of building 31. These tanks have been removed.

Site 16 - Crash Crew Pit No. 2 - Possible soil and/or groundwater contamination from crash crew training.

Site 17 - Communication Station Landfill - Landfill adjacent to buildings 394 and 573 containing an unknown quantity of liquid wastes.

Site 18 - Perimeter Investigation Area - Investigation to identify whether TCE contamination observed off-station is a result of past waste generation or disposal activities on-station.

Site 19 - Assess, Critique, Evaluate and Review (ACER) Site-Area situated near buildings 404 and 414 with possible soil and/or groundwater contamination from JP-5 fuel and a fuel bladder rupture that occurred in early 1986.

Site 20 - Hobby Shop (Building 626). Possible soil contamination from used oil and solvents. A 600 gallon waste oil tank is located west of building 626 along with three 50 gallon storage drums for solvents inside the building.

Site 21 - Material Management Group & Supply Center Storage (Building 320). Drums containing chemicals are stored outside building 320. Possible soil contamination from leaking drums

Site 22 - Tactical Air Fuel Dispensing System (TAFDS) Operations Area. Previously removed fuel bladders west of Site #10. Located east of building 369 and consisting of four 10,000 gallon rubberized fabric tanks used for aircraft fueling. Possible soil and groundwater contamination from leaking tanks, fittings and hoses. These tanks have been removed.

Site 23 - Wastewater treatment plant sewer lines. Possible soil and groundwater contamination from leaking sewer lines.

Attachment D
Installation Restoration Activities

In 1976 the Department of Defense (DOD) initiated the Installation Restoration Program (IRP) to evaluate, characterize, and control the potential migration of possible contaminants resulting from past operations and disposal practices on DOD facilities.

The four phases of the IRP are:

- Phase 1 - Problem Identification/Records Search
- Phase 2 - Problem Confirmation/Quantification Study
- Phase 3 - Technology Base Development
- Phase 4 - Corrective Action

In response to the DOD IRP, the Navy Assessment and Control of Installation Pollutants (NACIP) Program was instituted. NACIP was conceived as a three-phase process, including an Initial Assessment Study (IAS) (identification of sites); Confirmation Study (CS) (investigation of sites), and; Corrective Measures Implementation.


The NACIP Program was replaced by the current IRP in 1983. Since the current IRP was authorized, modifications have been made to include compliance with CERCLA/SARA and use of National Contingency Plan terminology and procedures. As a result of these changes, the Navy now complies with NCP terminology and requirements and follows the EPA Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study (RI/FS) process.

The three major IRP investigations conducted at the El Toro MCAS are documented in the Initial Assessment Study of MCAS El Toro (May, 1986), the MCAS El Toro Perimeter Investigation (April, 1989), and the MCAS El Toro Off-Station Remedial Investigation, Draft Work Plan (November, 1989). The IAS addressed seventeen sites and recommended nine for further investigation. In response to regulatory agency comments during September 1986, four sites were added to the Site Investigation. A Plan of Action for further study was developed, reviewed by the Technical Review Committee, and finalized in August 1988.

The number of sites thus far identified under the IRP is twenty-two, which are described in Attachment C, Statement of Facts. It should be noted that the discovery and identification of IR sites (or RI sites) will be an iterative, on-going process. During the course of the investigation new information may be obtained or new sites observed that will require inclusion into the RI/FS. In addition, the RCRA Corrective Action requirements that must be fulfilled under the terms of this Agreement, require identification of Solid Waste Management Units that must be evaluated for inclusion in the RI/FS. State requirements for addressing releases must be included, as well.

Documents prepared under the IRP, that are not considered primary or secondary deliverables, include the following:

- (1) Initial Assessment Study of Marine Corps Air Station, El Toro, California: May, 1986.
- (2) MCAS El Toro Perimeter Investigation, Interim Report: April, 1989.
- (3) MCAS El Toro Off-Station Remedial Investigation, Draft Work Plan: November, 1989.



California Environmental Protection Agency

DEPARTMENT OF TOXIC SUBSTANCES CONTROL

REGION 4, LONG BEACH

Hazardous Waste Facility Permit

Facility: United States Marine Corps
Air Station El Toro
Santa Ana, California 92709-5001

Property Owner: United States Marine Corps
Air Station El Toro
Santa Ana, California 92710-5001

Operator: Marine Corps Air Station El Toro
Santa Ana, California 92710-5001

EPA ID No. CA6170023208

Effective Date: August 18, 1993

Expiration Date: August 18, 2003

Pursuant to the California Health and Safety Code, this Hazardous Waste Facility Permit is hereby issued to United States Marine Corps Air Station El Toro. The State of California has received final authorization to implement its state hazardous waste program in lieu of the Federal Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) program in California. Accordingly, this Permit will also serve as a RCRA-equivalent Permit to meet the requirements of 42 U.S.C. Section 6901 et seq.

The issuance of this Permit is subject to the conditions set forth in Attachment A which consists of 25 pages, which includes Attachments V-1.



MSSandhu

Mohinder S. Sandhu, P.E., Chief
Facility Permitting Branch

Date: 6/30/93.

United States Marine Corps
Air Station El Toro
Hazardous Waste Permit

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ATTACHMENT A	1
I. DESCRIPTION OF FACILITY	1
A. Ownership, Operations, and Locations	1
B. Compliance with California Environmental Quality Act	2
II. GENERAL CONDITIONS	2
A. Effect of Permit	2
B. Consent of Entry by Department Representatives	4
C. Specific Conditions	4
D. Land Disposal Restrictions	5
E. Permit Action	5
F. Need to Halt or Reduce Activities	6
G. Severability	6
H. Permit Expiration	6
I. 24-Hour Reporting	6
J. Notice of Planned Physical Changes and Certification of Construction	6
K. Operation at Night	7
L. Part B Application (Operation Plan) of the Hazardous Waste Facility Permit Application	7
M. General Responsibilities of Operator	7

MCAS El Toro
Hazardous Waste Facility Permit
Table of Contents

N.	Signatory Requirements	9
O.	Waste Minimization Certification	10
P.	Waste Minimization Conditions	10
Q.	Option to Cease Operation	10
III.	SPECIAL CONDITIONS	11
A.	Prohibition of Disposal	11
B.	General Description of Authorized Waste and Storage Unit	11
C.	Permit and Prohibited Waste Identification	14
D.	Additional Specific Conditions	17
IV.	COMPLIANCE SCHEDULE	18
A.	Reporting	18
B.	Summary of Compliance Schedule	18
V.	CORRECTIVE ACTION	19
A.	Permit Provisions	19
B.	Corrective Action Permit Requirements	20
C.	Modifications of Corrective Action for Solid Waste Management Units	21
D.	Notification Requirements for and Assessment of Newly Identified Solid Waste Management Units	21
E.	Notification Requirements for Newly Discovered Releases at Solid Waste management Units	24
F.	Public Notification of Final RFI Report Availability	24

ATTACHMENT A

Hazardous Waste Facility Permit

United States Marine Corps Air Station El Toro
Santa Ana, California 92709-5001

EPA ID No: CA6170023208

Part I - DESCRIPTION OF FACILITY

I.A. Ownership, Operations, and Location

Pursuant to Section 25200 of the California Health and Safety Code, this Hazardous Waste Facility Permit is hereby issued to United States Marine Corps Air Station (MCAS) - El Toro, the owner and operator of the facility. The MCAS - El Toro has applied to the California Environmental Protection Agency, Department of Toxic Substances Control (Department) for a Hazardous Waste Facility Permit. United States Marine Corps Air Station - El Toro, hereinafter called the "facility", operates one hazardous waste storage facility Building 673-T3, hereinafter called the "facility". MCAS - El Toro is located in Orange County, California, adjacent to Interstate Highway 5, at the intersection of Trabuco Road and Sand Canyon Avenue at latitude 33°40'33" north and longitude 117°43'30" west.

The facility is an on-site hazardous waste storage facility which generates hazardous waste from aviation maintenance and operations. The maximum allowable inventory in containers at the permitted container storage area is three hundred and seventy (370) 55-gallon drums. The facility also uses 6-gallon, 16-gallon, 30-gallon and 85-gallon, Department of Transportation (DOT), approved drums. The location of the container storage area is shown in Figure 1, Page 3 of this permit.

United States Marine Corps
Air Station El Toro
Hazardous Waste Facility Permit

I.B. Compliance With California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)

The Department has prepared an Initial Study to evaluate the potential impact of the proposed project to human health and the environment. Based on the findings in the Initial Study, the Department has determined that this particular project, as approved, will not have a significant deleterious effect on the environment. A Negative Declaration was completed in accordance with the California Environmental Act (Public Resources Code, Section 21000, et seq.) and the State guidelines.

PART II - GENERAL CONDITIONS

II.A. Effect of Permit

II.A.1. The issuance of this permit by the Department does not release the owner or operator from any liability or duty imposed by federal or state statutes and regulations or local ordinances, except the obligation to obtain this permit. In particular, unless otherwise specifically provided in this permit, the owner or operator shall comply with the provisions of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Title 40, Chapter I, Subchapter I, the California Health and Safety Code (H&SC), Division 20, Chapter 6.5 and the California Code of Regulations (C.C.R.), Title 22, Division 4.5.


II.A.2. Issuance of this permit by the Department does not prevent the Department from adopting or amending regulations, issuing administrative orders, or obtaining judicial orders which impose requirements which are in addition to or more stringent than those in existence at the time this permit was issued, and does not prevent the enforcement of these requirements against the owner and/or operator of the facility. The owner or operator shall comply with any such additional or more stringent requirements in addition to the requirements and conditions specified in the permit. Where appropriate, this permit is also subject to H&SC Sections 25159.5 and 25159.6 relating to the incorporation of Federal regulations in the absence of equivalent State regulations.

II.A.3. This permit does not convey any property rights of any sort, or any exclusive privileges.

United States Marine Corps
Air Station El Toro
Hazardous Waste Facility Permit

Figure 1

CONTAINER STORAGE AREA MAP



United States Marine Corps
Air Station El Toro
Hazardous Waste Facility Permit

II.B. Consent to Entry by Department Representatives

The owner and/or operator, by accepting this permit, consent to entry by any authorized representative of the Department or of the local health officer at any reasonable hour of the day in order to carry out the purposes of the Hazardous Waste Control Law, Health and Safety Code, Section 25100 et seq., including but not limited to the activities listed in C.C.R., Title 22, Section 66270.30(i).

II.C Specific Conditions

- II.C.1. The owner and/or operator shall comply with the general facility standards contained in C.C.R., Title 22, Division 4.5, Chapter 14, Article 2.
- II.C.2. The owner and/or operator shall comply with preparedness and prevention requirements contained in C.C.R., Title 22, Division 4.5, Chapter 14, Article 3.
- II.C.3. The owner and/or operator shall comply with the contingency plan and emergency procedure requirements contained in C.C.R., Title 22, Division 4.5, Chapter 14, Article 4.
- II.C.4. The owner and/or operator shall comply with the manifest system, recordkeeping and reporting requirements contained in C.C.R., Title 22, Division 4.5, Article 5 of Chapter 14 and Section 66270.30(j)(2), and 66270.30(l) (7), (8) & (9).
 - II.C.4.a. Waste Analysis Plan, as required by 22 C.C.R., Title 22, Section 66264.13 and this Permit
 - II.C.4.b. Inspection schedules, as required by C.C.R., Title 22, Section 66264.15(b)(2) and this Permit
 - II.C.4.c. Personnel training documents and records, as required by C.C.R., Title 22, Section 66264.16(d) and this Permit
 - II.C.4.d. Contingency Plan, as required by C.C.R., Title 22, Section 66264.53(a) and this Permit
 - II.C.4.e. Operating records, as required by C.C.R., Title 22, Section 66264.73 and this Permit

United States Marine Corps
Air Station El Toro
Hazardous Waste Facility Permit

- II.C.4.f. Closure Plan, as required by C.C.R., Title 22, Section 66264.112(a) and this Permit
 - II.C.4.g. Waste minimization certification, as required by C.C.R., Title 22, Section 66264.73(b)(9) and this Permit
 - II.C.4.h. Internal tracking of hazardous waste inventory, as required by C.C.R., Title 22, Section 66264.73(b)(1) & (2) and this Permit
- II.C.5. The owner and/or operator shall comply, if applicable, with the closure and post-closure requirements contained in C.C.R., Title 22, Division 4.5, Chapter 14, Article 7.

II.D. Land Disposal Restrictions

The owner and/or operator shall comply with applicable provisions of the land disposal restrictions/regulations as found in C.C.R., Title 22, Division 4.5, Chapter 18.

The owner and/or operator shall retain on-site until closure of the facility, a copy of all notices, certifications, demonstrations, waste analyses data, and other documentation related to the management of all wastes (for off-site treatment, storage or disposal) subject to land disposal restrictions.

The owner and/or operator shall retain on-site, a current waste analysis plan describing how and when wastes will be tested to comply with the land disposal restriction regulations.

II.E. Permit Actions

This permit may be modified, revoked and reissued, or terminated for cause. The filing of a request by the owner and/or operator for a permit modification, revocation and reissuance, or termination or a notification of anticipated noncompliance or planned changes (except as provided in C.C.R., Title 22, Section 66270.42(a)), does not stay any permit condition. Except as provided in C.C.R., Title 22, Section 66270.42(a), a new facility permit condition or a modification of an existing facility permit condition shall become effective on the date specified in the Department's written notice of approval of the permit modification, pursuant to C.C.R., Title 22, Sections 66270.42 and/or 66271.14.

United States Marine Corps
Air Station El Toro
Hazardous Waste Facility Permit

II.F. Need to Halt or Reduce Activity

It shall not be a defense for the owner and/or operator in an enforcement action that it would have been necessary to halt or reduce the permitted activity in order to maintain compliance with the conditions of this Permit.

II.G. Severability

The provisions of this Permit are severable, and if any provision of this permit or the application of any provision of this permit to any circumstance is held invalid, the application of such provision to other circumstances and the remainder of this permit shall not be affected thereby.

II.H. Permit Expiration

The life of the permit is ten (10) years. However, in accordance with C.C.R., Title 22, Section 66270.51, this permit and all conditions therein will remain in effect beyond the permit expiration or termination date, until the effective date of a new permit, if the owner or operator has submitted a timely and complete application (both Part A and Part B) for a new permit and, through no fault of the owner or operator, the Department has not issued a new permit. In accordance with C.C.R., Title 22, Section 66270.10(h), a timely and complete application for a new permit shall be submitted at least 180 days before this permit expires, unless permission for a later date is granted in writing by the Department.

II.I. 24-Hour Reporting

The owner and/or operator shall report to the Department any incidents of noncompliance, with the conditions of this permit and any of the provisions of C.C.R., Title 22, Division 4.5 or H&SC, Division 20, Chapter 6.5, which may endanger health or the environment, pursuant to the reporting requirements in C.C.R., Title 22, Section 66270.30(1)(6).

II.J. Notice of Planned Physical Changes and Certification of Construction

The owner and/or operator shall give notice to the Department as soon as possible, and at least 30 days in advance of, any planned physical alterations or additions to the permitted facility. In addition, prior to commencement of the treatment, storage, or transfer of hazardous wastes at a new facility or modified portion of an existing facility, the

United States Marine Corps
Air Station El Toro
Hazardous Waste Facility Permit

owner and/or operator shall comply with the requirements contained in C.C.R., Title 22, Section 66270.30(1)(2) and the compliance schedule specified in Permit Condition IV.

II.K. Operation at Night

When the facility is operated during hours of darkness, the owner and/or operator shall provide sufficient lighting to ensure safe, effective management of hazardous wastes.

II.L. Part B Application (Operation Plan) of the Hazardous Waste Facility Permit Application

II.L.1. By the issuance of this permit, the Part B Permit Application dated June 10, 1992 and subsequently revised June 29, 1992, hereinafter called Part B, is hereby approved. This Part B and any subsequent revisions thereto, subject to the permit modification requirements contained in C.C.R., Title 22, Sections 66270.41 and 66270.42, are by this reference made part of this permit. Specific sections of this Part B Permit Application are referenced elsewhere in this permit.

II.L.2. The owner and/or operator shall operate and maintain the facility in accordance with the Part B.

II.L.3. In the event of any conflict between this permit and the Part B referenced herein, the more stringent provisions shall be controlling.

II.L.4. The Part B and this permit shall be maintained at the facility and place of business at all times until closure is completed.

II.M. General Responsibilities of Operator

II.M.1. Compliance

The owner and/or operator shall comply with all conditions of this permit in accordance with C.C.R., Title 22, Section 66270.30(a). The owner or operator shall comply with all applicable laws, regulations, permits, zoning conditions, and all other requirements established by federal, state, and local agencies.

United States Marine Corps
Air Station El Toro
Hazardous Waste Facility Permit

II.M.2. Transfer of the Permit

This permit may be transferred to a new owner or operator only if it is modified or revoked and reissued pursuant to C.C.R., Title 22, Section 66270.40. The current owner and/or operator shall notify the Facility Permitting Branch Chief, Region 4 in writing, of a proposed change in ownership of this facility no later than 90 days prior to the proposed date of transfer. A copy of the notification, required under C.C.R., Title 22, Section 66264.12(c), informing the new owner or operator of the requirements of this permit and C.C.R., Title 22, Division 4.5, Chapters 14 and 20, shall be submitted to the Department.

II.M.3. Operation and Maintenance

II.M.3.a. The facility shall be maintained and operated at all times so as to minimize the possibility of a fire, explosion, or any unplanned sudden or nonsudden release of hazardous waste or hazardous waste constituents to air, soil, or surface water which could threaten human health or the environment.

II.M.3.b. All equipment, pipes, and lines used at the facility to handle, transfer, pump, or store hazardous wastes shall be maintained in a manner that prevents the leaking and spilling of hazardous wastes.

II.M.4. Submittal of Requested Information

The owner and/or operator shall furnish to the Department, within the time specified by the Department in its request, any relevant information which the Department may request to determine whether cause exists for modifying, revoking and reissuing, or terminating this permit, or to determine compliance with this permit. The owner or operator shall also furnish to the Department, upon request, copies of records required to be kept by this permit.

II.M.5. Hazardous Waste List

The owner and/or operator shall maintain a current list of hazardous wastes that are handled by the facility. The owner and/or operator shall, as necessary, update the hazardous waste list presented in the approved Part B, in accordance with the permit modification requirements contained in C.C.R., Title 22, Section 66270.42 (a), (b) or (c). Any additions to the list must be approved by

United States Marine Corps
Air Station El Toro
Hazardous Waste Facility Permit

the Department, in accordance with the requirements of C.C.R., Title 22, Sections 66270.41 and/or 66270.42, prior to their inclusion.

II.M.6. Anticipated Noncompliance

The owner and/or operator shall give advance notice to the Department of any planned changes in the permitted facility or activity which may result in noncompliance with permit requirements, in accordance with C.C.R., Title 22, Section 66270.30(1)(2).

II.M.7. Noncompliance

In the event of noncompliance with the permit, the owner and/or operator shall take all reasonable steps to minimize or correct releases to the environment, and shall carry out all measures as are reasonable to prevent and correct adverse impacts on human health or the environment. The owner or operator shall report to the California Office of Emergency Services (800) 852-7550 any circumstances that may endanger public health or the environment immediately upon becoming aware of the incident.

II.M.8. Incomplete and/or Incorrect Information

Where the owner and/or operator becomes aware that any relevant facts were not submitted in a permit application, or incorrect information was submitted in a permit application or in any report to the Department, the owner and/or operator shall promptly submit such facts or information.

II.N. Signatory Requirement

II.N.1. The owner and/or operator shall comply with the signatory requirements in C.C.R., Title 22, Section 66270.11, for all applications, reports or information submitted to the Department.

II.N.2. The owner and/or operator shall provide documentation of an agreement for operation of the facility between the property owner and the facility owner, if different from the property owner.

United States Marine Corps
Air Station El Toro
Hazardous Waste Facility Permit

II.O. Waste Minimization Certification

The owner and/or operator shall certify annually, by March 1 for the previous year ending December 31, that:

- II.O.1. The facility has a program in place to reduce the volume and toxicity of all hazardous wastes in Part I Section L of the Part B which are generated by the facility operations to the degree, determined by the owner and/or operator, that is economically practicable.
- II.O.2. The method of transfer, storage, treatment, or disposal is the most practicable method currently available to the facility which minimizes the present and future threat to human health and the environment.

The owner and/or operator shall make this certification, in accordance with C.C.R., Title 22, Section 66270.11. The owner and/or operator shall submit the certification to the appropriate Department Regional Administrator and shall record and maintain on-site such certification in the facility Operating Record.

II.P. Waste Minimization Conditions

- II.P.1. The owner and/or operator shall comply with the Hazardous Waste Source Reduction and Management Review Act requirements that are specified in the H&SC, Sections 25244.19, 25244.20 and 25244.21, and any subsequent applicable promulgations.
- II.P.2. The owner and/or operator shall submit a copy of all reviews, plans, plan summaries, reports and report summaries required by Section II.P.1 above, to the Department Regional Administrator, Region 4 within one year after the effective date of the permit and every four years thereafter. The appropriate Department Regional Administrator may require the facility to submit a more detailed status report explaining any deviation from, or changes to, the approved waste minimization plan.

II.Q. Option to Cease Operation

If the owner and/or operator decides to cease conducting regulated activities rather than continue to operate and meet permit requirements, the owner and/or operator shall comply with the applicable requirements of C.C.R., Title 22, Section 66270.33(b).

United States Marine Corps
Air Station El Toro
Hazardous Waste Facility Permit

PART III - SPECIAL CONDITIONS

III.A. Prohibition of Disposal

Pursuant to H&SC Section 25203, hazardous wastes shall not be disposed of at the facility unless such disposal is properly authorized by the Department under a permit or grant of interim status.

III.B. General Description of the Hazardous Waste Storage Facility and Permitted Hazardous Wastes

The facility is permitted to store hazardous wastes listed in Table 1 for longer than 90 days so long as these wastes are exclusively stored in the permitted hazardous waste storage area designated as Building 673-T3. The facility may not store in excess of 90 days the wastes listed in Table 1 in any area of the facility other than Building 673-T3.

United States Marine Corps
 Air Station El Toro
 Hazardous Waste Facility Permit

Table 1
 Permitted Hazardous Wastes

U.S. EPA Hazardous Waste Number	California Hazardous Waste Number	Hazardous Wastes
D001	135, 151, 181, 211, 212, 213, 214, 221, 223, 281, 331, 343, 352, 461, 523, 541, 551, 611, 741	Ignitable Wastes: Absorbent, alodine, isopropanol, JP-5, JP-5 mixed with JP-4, labpack, naphtha, paint methanol, calcium hypochlorite, neoprene latex, butanol, glycol ether, turpentine, paint thinner, PD-680, solvents and waste oil
D002	135, 151, 181, 211, 212, 213, 214, 221, 223, 281, 331, 343, 352, 461, 523, 541, 551, 611, 741	Corrosive Wastes: Sulfuric acid, inorganic alkali, sodium disulphate, phosphoric acid, hydrochloric acid, sodium bicarbonate, potassium hydroxide, calcium oxide, sodium hydroxide, alodine, battery, detergent, labpack, paint thinner, solvents and zinc chloride
D003	181	Reactive Wastes: Lithium batteries
D006	171, 172, 361, 491	Cadmium Wastes: Washrack sludge, paint sludge and sand with paint chips
D007	172, 181, 352, 461	Chromium Wastes: Potassium dichromate, washrack sludge, zinc chromate, sand blasting grit and alodine containing chromium
D008	171, 172, 181, 352, 461	Lead Wastes: Blasting booth beads, waste paint slurry, alkaline cleaning compounds, contaminated rags, washrack sludge and oil contaminated with lead

United States Marine Corps
 Air Station El Toro
 Hazardous Waste Facility Permit

Table 1 (cont)
 Permitted Hazardous Wastes

U.S. EPA Hazardous Waste Number	California Hazardous Waste Number	Hazardous Wastes
D009	181, 725	Mercury Wastes: Mercury batteries and mercury metallic waste
F001	741	Halogenated Solvent Wastes: Waste freon, waste TCA, spent halogenated solvents and trichlorotriflouroethane
F002	211, 343, 741	Halogenated Solvent Wastes: Absorbents, spent halogenated solvents, labpack, dichlorodifluoroethane and methylene chloride in paint thinner
F003	214, 343, 461	Non-halogenated Solvent Wastes: Paint thinner, xylene, methanol, methyl ethyl ketone, methyl benzene, acetone, toluene, aliphatic isocyanate coating sludge and stripper
F004	214	Non-halogenated Solvent Wastes: Cresol, orthocresol in cleaner and degreaser
F005	461	Non-halogenated Solvent Wastes: Methyl ethyl ketone, toluene, paint waste and polyurethane coating

United States Marine Corps
Air Station El Toro
Hazardous Waste Facility Permit

III.B.1. Hazardous Waste Storage Unit

The waste listed in Table 1 above may be stored longer than 90 days, so long as these waste are stored inside the hazardous waste storage facility, Building 673-T3. The maximum storage capacity for Building 673-T3 must not exceed 20,350 gallons (370 55-gallon drums) of any waste or combination of wastes listed in Table 1. Furthermore, the facility is not permitted to transfer and/or consolidate hazardous waste in Building 673-T3. All containers must be arranged in rows with a minimum of three (3) feet of aisle space between each adjacent row. Furthermore, the containers must follow the arrangement shown in Figure IV.2 of the approved Part B. Stacking of 55-gallon drums is not permitted; however, 6-gallon, 16-gallon, or 30-gallon drums may be stacked to a maximum height of four (4) feet for each of the three sizes. The container storage area must be constructed with a secondary containment system as provides in C.C.R., Title 22, Section 66264.175. In the permitted storage area the facility is not authorized to use any bulk stationary tank to store hazardous waste.

III.C. Permitted and Prohibited Waste Identification

III.C.1. Permitted Wastes

III.C.1.a. Storage in Containers

This permit authorizes the owner and/or operator to store wastes in containers as detailed in Table No. 2 at the facility, subject to the conditions of this permit, the requirements of C.C.R., Title 22, Division 4.5, Chapter 14, Article 9. For each identified waste, the maximum volume of each waste stream, and maximum number of drums are specified in Table No. 2.

United States Marine Corps
 Air Station El Toro
 Hazardous Waste Facility Permit

Table 2
 Hazardous Waste Storage Facility (Building 673-T3)
 Hazardous Waste Layout

Bay Number	Hazardous Waste Description	EPA, (CA) Hazardous Waste Number	Maximum Volume (Gallons)	Maximum Number of 55-gallon Containers
1	Ignitable Waste Non-halogenated Solvent Waste	D001 F003, F005	Any combination up to 4,510	82*
2	Ignitable Waste Non-halogenated Solvent Waste	D001 F003, F005	Any combination up to 7,920	144*
3	Acidic Corrosive Waste	D002	Any combination up to 6,600 or 960 lead acid batteries	120*
4	Reactive Waste	D003	324 spent lithium batteries	20*
5	Cadmium Waste Chromium Waste Lead Waste Mercury Waste Halogenated Solvent Waste Non-halogenated Solvent Waste Basic Corrosive Waste Waste Oil	D006 D007 D008 D009 F001, F002 F004 D002 (221)	Any combination up to 660	12*

United States Marine Corps
 Air Station El Toro
 Hazardous Waste Facility Permit

Table 2 (cont.)
 Hazardous Waste Storage Facility (Building 673-T3)
 Hazardous Waste Layout

Bay Number	Hazardous Waste Description	EPA, (CA) Hazardous Waste Number	Maximum Volume (Gallons)	Maximum Number of 55-gallon Containers
5	Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs) and oil containing PCBs	(261)	660	12* or in original transformers

Table 3
 Maximum Hazardous Waste Inventory
 Hazardous Waste Storage Facility (Building 673-T3)

Bay #	Maximum Allowable Inventory		Secondary Containment Capacity
	Number of 55 Gallon Drums*	Maximum Capacity (Gallons)	
1	82	4,510	462 gallons
2	144	7,920	292 gallons
3	120	6,600 gallons or 960 lead acid batteries	660 gallons
4	0	324 Lithium Batteries	
5	24	1,320	232 gallons

United States Marine Corps
Air Station El Toro
Hazardous Waste Facility Permit

III.D. Additional Specific Conditions

- III.D.1. * For Table 2 facility may use any combinations of Department of Transportation (DOT) approved drums not to exceed 55 gallons for storage or 85 gallons for over-packing. Also, the arrangement of pallets must follow Figure IV.2 of the approved Part B.
- III.D.2. The facility may use 85-gallon DOT-approved drums for overpacking only.
- III.D.3. The facility may not store any hazardous waste, at Building 673-T3, for more than one year (365 days) from the date of accumulation.
- III.D.4. The facility must store drums on 4' X 4' pallets. The facility may store up to four 55-gallon drums or 32 lead acid batteries on each pallet following the layout sketch shown on Page IV.14 of the approved Part B. Loose batteries are permitted to be stacked two (2) layer high. Each battery layer shall be shrink-wrapped together in plastic prior to stacking.
- III.D.5. The facility may store used lithium batteries in wooden containers but only on pallets in Bay #4. Lithium batteries must be doubled-wrapped in plastic prior to storage. The pallet configuration and number shown on Page IV.14, Figure IV.3 of the approved Part B must be followed. Lithium batteries may be stacked to a maximum height of 4 feet measured from the top of the pallet.
- III.D.6. Permitted storage of corrosive acids is limited to Bay #3 only, while permitted storage of corrosive bases is exclusively limited to Bay #5.
- III.D.7. Except for the waste described in Section II.B.6 (above) all other waste must be placed and separated as shown in the layout sketch on Page IV.14, Figure IV.3 of the approved Part B.
- III.D.8. The facility is not authorized to treat any hazardous waste.
- III.D.9. The container capacity will be used to calculate maximum volume of hazardous waste per bay.

United States Marine Corps
Air Station El Toro
Hazardous Waste Facility Permit

III.E. Prohibited Wastes

Except as otherwise provided by H&SC, Division 20, Chapter 6.5 and C.C.R., Title 22, Division 4.5, the following limitations apply to hazardous waste not described in this permit:

- III.E.1. Any hazardous waste not listed in the Section III.C. (above) may not be stored for any period of time in the hazardous waste storage area located in Building 673-T3.
- III.E.2. The facility may not handle any off-site hazardous waste.

Part IV - COMPLIANCE SCHEDULE

IV.A. Reporting

The owner and/or operator shall comply with the compliance schedule requirements of C.C.R., Title 22, Section 66270.30(1)(5).

IV.B. Summary of Compliance Schedule

The following compliance time schedule items shall be met:

- IV.B.1. Submit a seismic reinforcement design study for Building 673-T3 to the Department. The report shall indicate all required construction to retrofit Building 673-T3 in accordance with the California Uniform Building Code (UBC) and be able to withstand a maximum credible earthquake.

Due Date: Within ninety (90) days of the effective date of this permit.

- IV.B.2. Complete reinforcement of Building 673-T3 to strengthen its structural frame to meet the California UBC and withstand a maximum credible earthquake.

Due Date: Within one year of the effective date of this permit.

- IV.B.3. Submit to the Department a certification, signed by an independent professional civil engineer registered in California, indicating that Building 673-T3 has been retrofitted in

United States Marine Corps
Air Station El Toro
Hazardous Waste Facility Permit

accordance with the California UBC and as per the approved seismic reinforcement design study report.

Due Date: Within ninety (90) days of completion of reinforcement.

Part V - CORRECTIVE ACTION

V.A. Permit Provisions

V.A.1. United States Environmental Protection Agency, and Department of Toxic Substances Control have signed a Federal Facility Agreement (Agreement) with Marine Corps Air Station El Toro for remediation of releases and corrective action at the facility. The activities required by the Agreement are intended to satisfy the corrective action requirements of RCRA section 3004(u) and (v), 42 U.S.C. § 6924(u) and (v). The Agreement and any schedules contained therein are hereby incorporated by reference as the schedule for completing corrective action at the facility, as required by RCRA section 3004(u) and (v), 42 U.S.C. § 6924(u) and (v). Inclusion of this provision in the permit is not intended to modify in any fashion any term, condition, or requirement of the Agreement. A copy of the Agreement is attached to this permit.

V.A.2. This permit does not modify any rights reserved by EPA or the Department in the Agreement, including without limitations, rights reserved with respect to any release which is not the subject of an RI/FS conducted pursuant to the Agreement or any release which is not adequately addressed by the remedial actions provided for under the Agreement. Prior to the termination of the Agreement, any response or corrective action with respect to any such release shall be governed by the terms of the Agreement, including provisions governing resolution of disputes under the Agreement. Nothing prevents the parties of the Agreement from agreeing to use the following conditions prior to termination of the Agreement if appropriate in the circumstances of any such release. Following termination of the Agreement, Section V.B. through Section V.F. of the Permit applies to any such release.

United States Marine Corps
Air Station El Toro
Hazardous Waste Facility Permit

V.B. Corrective Action Permit Requirements

V.B.1. Standard Conditions

V.B.1.a. Section 3004(u) of RCRA, as mentioned by the Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments of 1984, C.F.R., Title 40, Section 264.101, C.C.R., Title 22, Section 66264.100 and C.C.R., Title 22, Section 66264.800 requires that permits address corrective action for releases of hazardous waste including hazardous constituents from any Solid Waste Management Unit (SWMU) at a facility, regardless of when the waste was placed in the unit.

V.B.1.b. Failure to submit the information required in this Section of the Permit, or falsification of any submitted information, is grounds for termination of this Permit (C.F.R., Title 40, Section 270.43, C.C.R., Title 22, Section 66264.43). The Permittee shall ensure that all plans, reports, notifications and other submissions to the Department required in this Section of the Permit are signed and certified in accordance with C.F.R., Title 40, Section 270.11 and C.C.R., Title 22, Section 66264.11. Three (3) copies of these plans, reports, notifications or other submissions shall be submitted to the Department and sent by certified mail, return receipt requested or by hand delivery to:

Site Mitigation Branch Chief
Department of Toxic Substance Control
Region 4
245 West Broadway, Suite 350
Long Beach, California 90802

The Site Mitigation Branch Chief (Branch Chief) may designate any member of the Site Mitigation Branch Region 4 to receive any plans, reports, notifications, or other submissions. The Branch Chief may delegate any authority under this Permit to any manager of the Site Mitigation Branch Region 4. The Department will inform the Permittee in writing of any such designation and/or delegation.

V.B.1.c. All plans and schedules required by this Section of the Permit are, upon approval of the Branch Chief, incorporated into this Permit by reference and become an enforceable part of this Permit.

United States Marine Corps
Air Station El Toro
Hazardous Waste Facility Permit

Any noncompliance with such approved plans and schedules shall be termed noncompliance with this Permit. Extensions of the due dates for submittals may be granted by the Branch Chief in accordance with the permit modification processes under C.F.R., Title 40, Section 270, Subpart D and C.C.R., Title 22, Division 4.5, Chapter 20, Article 4.

V.B.1.d. If the Branch Chief determines that further actions beyond those provided in this Corrective Action Schedule of Compliance, or changes to that which is stated herein, are warranted, the Branch Chief shall modify the Section (Section V) of the permit according to the procedures in Section V.C.2. of this Permit or according to the permit modification procedures under C.F.R., Title 40, Section 264.41 and C.C.R., Title 22, Section 66264.41.

V.B.1.e All raw data, such as laboratory reports, drilling logs, bench-scale or pilot-scale data, and other supporting information gathered or generated during activities undertaken pursuant to this Corrective Action Schedule of Compliance shall be maintained at the facility during the term of this Permit, including any reissued Permits.

V.C. Modifications of Corrective Action for Solid Waste Management Units

V.C.1. Any modification of this Section of the Permit shall be performed according to the procedures of C.F.R., Title 40, Section 270, Subpart D and C.C.R., Title 22, Division 4.5, Chapter 20, Article 4.

V.C.2. Modifications that are initiated and finalized by the Branch Chief according to this procedure shall not subject to administrative appeal.

V.C.3. Modifications to this Section do not constitute a reissuance of the Permit.

V.D. Notification Requirements for and Assessment of Newly Identified Solid Waste Management Units

V.D.1. The Permittee shall notify the Branch Chief in

United States Marine Corps
Air Station El Toro
Hazardous Waste Facility Permit

writing of any newly-identified SWMU(s) (i.e., a unit not specifically identified during the RFA and listed in Permit Condition V.A.1), discovered during the course of groundwater monitoring, field investigations, environmental audits, or other means, no later than thirty (30) days after discovery.

V.D.2. After such notification, the Branch Chief may request, in writing, that the Permittee prepare a Solid Waste Management Unit (SWMU) Assessment Plan and a proposed schedule of implementation and completion of the plan for any additional SWMU(s) discovered subsequent to the issuance of this Permit.

V.D.3. Within ninety (90) days after receipt of the Branch Chief's request for a SWMU Assessment Plan, Permittee shall prepare a SWMU Assessment Plan for determining past and present operations at the unit, as well as any sampling and analysis of ground water, land surface and subsurface strata, surface water or air, as necessary to determine whether a release of hazardous waste including hazardous constituents from such unit(s) has occurred, is likely to have occurred, or is likely to occur. The SWMU Assessment Plan must demonstrate that the sampling and analysis program, if applicable, is capable of yielding representative samples and must include parameters sufficient to identify migration of hazardous waste including hazardous constituents from the newly discovered SWMU(s) to the environment.

V.D.4. After the Permittee submits the SWMU Assessment Plan, the Branch Chief shall either approve or disapprove the Plan in writing.

If the Branch Chief approves the Plan, the Permittee shall begin to implement the Plan within thirty (30) days of receiving such written notification.

If the Branch Chief disapproves the Plan, the Branch Chief shall either (1) notify the Permittee in writing of the Plan's deficiencies and specify a due date for submittal of a revised Plan, or (2)

United States Marine Corps
Air Station El Toro
Hazardous Waste Facility Permit

revise the Plan and notify the Permittee of the revisions. This Branch Chief-revised Plan becomes the approved SWMU Assessment Plan. The Permittee shall implement the Plan within thirty (30) days of receiving written approval.

- V.D.5. The Permittee shall submit a SWMU Assessment Report to the Branch Chief no later than thirty (30) days from completion of the work specified in the approved SWMU Assessment Plan. The SWMU Assessment Report shall describe all results obtained from the implementation of the approved SWMU Assessment Plan. At a minimum, the Report shall provide the following information for each newly identified SWMU:
- V.D.5.a. The location of the newly-identified SWMU in relation to other SWMUs ;
 - V.D.5.b. The type and function of the unit;
 - V.D.5.c. The general dimensions, capacities, and structural description of the unit (supply any available drawings);
 - V.D.5.d. The period during which the unit was operated;
 - V.D.5.e. The specifics on all wastes that have been or are being managed at the SWMU, to the extent available; and
 - V.D.5.f. The results of any sampling and analysis required for the purpose of determining whether releases of hazardous wastes including hazardous constituents have occurred, are occurring, or are likely to occur from the unit.
- V.D.6. Based on the results of this Report, the Branch Chief shall determine the need for further investigations at specific unit(s) covered in the SWMU Assessment. If the Branch Chief determines that such investigations are needed, the Branch Chief may require the Permittee to prepare a plan for such investigations. This plan will be reviewed for approval as part of the RFI Workplan under Permit Condition V.D.3.

United States Marine Corps
Air Station El Toro
Hazardous Waste Facility Permit

V.E. Notification requirements for Newly-Discovered Releases at Solid Waste Management Units

The Permittee shall notify the Branch Chief, in writing, of any new release(s) of hazardous waste including hazardous constituents discovered during the course of ground water monitoring, field investigation, environmental auditing, or other activities undertaken after commencement of the RFI required by the Order, no later than fifteen (15) calendar days after discovery. Such newly-discovered releases may be from newly identified units, from units for which, based on the findings of the RFA, the Branch Chief had previously determined that no further investigation was necessary. The Branch Chief may require further investigation of the newly-identified release(s).

V.F. Public Notification of Final RFI Report Availability

The Permittee shall mail the Department approved Final RFI Report to all individuals on the facility mailing list established pursuant to C.F.R., Title 40, Section 124.10(c)(1) and C.C.R., Title 22, Section 66264.171.9(c)(1) within fifteen (15) calendar days of the receipt of approval.

DEPARTMENT OF TOXIC SUBSTANCES CONTROL

Region 4
245 West Broadway, Suite 425
San Diego, CA 92101-1444



March 8, 1996

Mr. Dennis M. Bevis
Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Marine Corps
Deputy Assistant Chief of Staff
Environment and Safety
Headquarters Marine Corps Air Station El Toro
P.O. Box 95001
Santa Ana, California 92709-5001

Dear Colonel Bevis:

ACCEPTANCE OF CLOSURE CERTIFICATION: HAZARDOUS WASTE STORAGE AREA (BUILDING 673-T3), MARINE CORPS AIR STATION EL TORO, SANTA ANA, CALIFORNIA (EPA ID NO. CA6170023208)

The Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) has reviewed the Final Closure Certification Report for a hazardous waste container storage area at Marine Corps Air Station (MCAS) El Toro, dated November 1995. The Closure Certification Report certifies that you have closed the subject hazardous waste container storage area in accordance with the DTSC approved Closure Plan which was part of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) Permit issued in August 1993. The DTSC hereby accepts the closure certification report and considers the container storage area (Building 673-T3) closed. Issuance of this letter terminates the RCRA Permit and MCAS El Toro shall cease storing hazardous waste in Building 673-T3 for periods greater than ninety (90) days.

The DTSC's acceptance does not certify that the hazardous waste storage area will not pose an environmental or public health threat. Neither does this acceptance release you from any liabilities associated with past hazardous waste management practices which occurred at your facility. Pursuant to the Health and Safety Code, Section 25187, the DTSC may issue an order specifying corrective action if the DTSC determines that there has been a release of hazardous waste or constituents into the environment from any solid waste management units or areas at




Mr. Dennis Bevis
March 8, 1996
Page 2

a hazardous waste facility from which hazardous constituents might migrate, irrespective of whether the units or areas were intended for the management of wastes.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact Mr. Tayseer Mahmoud at (310) 590-4891.

Sincerely,



Mohinder S. Sandhu, P.E., Chief
Facility Permitting Branch

cc: Mr. John C. Scandura, Chief
Southern California Operations
Office of Military Facilities
Department of Toxic Substances Control
245 West Broadway, Suite 350
Long Beach, California 90802-4444

Ms. Paula Bisson, Chief
Permits Section
Hazardous Waste Management Division (H-3-1)
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, California 94105

Mr. Scott Simpson, Chief
Statewide Compliance Branch
Department of Toxic Substances Control
245 West Broadway, Suite 350
Long Beach, California 90802-4444



Department of Toxic Substances Control



Winston H. Hickox
Agency Secretary
California Environmental
Protection Agency

Edwin F. Lowry, Director
5796 Corporate Avenue
Cypress, California 90630

Gray Davis
Governor

February 27, 2002

Mr. Dean Gould
BRAC Environmental Coordinator
Marine Corps Air Station El Toro
Base Realignment and Closure
P.O. Box 51718
Irvine, California 92619-1718

FEDERAL FACILITY AGREEMENT (FFA) SCHEDULE FOR OPERABLE UNIT (OU)-3,
INSTALLATION RESTORATION PROGRAM (IRP) SITE 1, MARINE CORPS AIR
STATION (MCAS) EL TORO

Dear Mr. Gould:

The Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) reviewed your letter dated February 19, 2002 requesting an extension to the deadline for OU-3, IRP Site 1, as set forth in Appendix A of the FFA for MCAS El Toro. The extension request is made pursuant to Section 9.2 (g) of the FFA.

As indicated in your letter, a one-year extension is needed to submit the draft Remedial Investigation (RI) report for IRP Site 1. The Department of the Navy (DON) has requested that the submittal date for the draft RI report change from February 19, 2002 to February 19, 2003. The most significant impact to the schedule resulted from the development of an Ordnance and Explosive Range Evaluation Work Plan (Work Plan) and the associated public comment period. The Work Plan was necessary to meet the substantive requirements of a Removal Action Work Plan (RAW) and the conditions specified in California Health and Safety Code section 25358.9(a) for exclusion from hazardous waste facility permit requirements.

The letter also mentioned that "we [Department of the Navy (DON)] project an expedient completion of the required CERCLA [Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act] Process and associated documentation."

*The energy challenge facing California is real. Every Californian needs to take immediate action to reduce energy consumption.
For a list of simple ways you can reduce demand and cut your energy costs, see our Web-site at www.dtsc.ca.gov.*


Mr. Dean Gould
February 27, 2002
Page 2

For clarification, DTSC acknowledges that DON has chosen to incorporate State substantive closure and post-closure requirements as relevant and appropriate requirements in the CERCLA response at Site 1. This information was provided in Section 1.1 of the RI Work Plan (Earth Tech, Inc., November 2001). This decision, made by the DON, facilitated a settlement of the differing positions on whether treatment of explosive ordnance occurred at an open burn/open detonation (OB/OD) unit within Site 1. Further, a cross reference table based on the DTSC Treatment and Storage Facility Closure Plan checklist was included in the RI Work Plan. The checklist is consistent with the intent of a proposed settlement and indicates where specific closure requirements will be addressed in the CERCLA process. DTSC anticipates that use of this checklist will continue in future documents. Additionally, the DTSC Hazardous Waste Management Program, Permitting Division will continue to work with the Site Mitigation Program, Office of Military Facilities to ensure that hazardous waste closure and post-closure requirements for the OB/OD unit are incorporated into the CERCLA response process.

While DTSC grants the DON request for extension to the FFA schedule, DTSC is nonetheless concerned about the schedule delays associated with Site 1. Considering the current and previous extension request, dated October 19, 2000, the submittal of the RI report has now been delayed approximately 3 years. As such, DTSC maintains that DON must adhere to this new schedule, any further extension requests related to Site 1 activities will be closely scrutinized by DTSC.

If you have any questions, please contact Ms. Triss Chesney, Remedial Project Manager, at (714) 484-5395.

Sincerely,



John E. Scandura, Chief
Southern California Branch
Office of Military Facilities

cc: Ms. Nicole Moutoux
Remedial Project Manager
U. S. Environmental Protection Agency Region IX
Superfund Division (SFD-8-1)
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, California 94105-3901

Mr. Dean Gould
February 27, 2002
Page 3

cc: Ms. Patricia Hannon
Remedial Project Manager
California Regional Water Quality Control Board
Santa Ana Region
3737 Main Street, Suite 500
Riverside, California 92501-3339

Mr. Jerry Werner
Restoration Advisory Board Co-chair
2391 Via Mariposa #1D
Laguna Hills, California 92653

Ms. Marcia Rudolph
Restoration Advisory Board Subcommittee Chair
24922 Muirlands #139
Lake Forest, California 92630

Ms. Polin Modanlou
Environmental Remediation Manager
MCAS El Toro Local Redevelopment Authority
Building 83
P.O. Box 53010
Irvine, California 92619-3010

Mr. Steven Sharp
Orange County Health Care Agency
2009 East Edinger Avenue
Santa Ana, California 92705

Ms. Content Arnold
Remedial Project Manager
Naval Facilities Engineering Command
Southwest Division - Code 06CC.CA
1220 Pacific Highway
San Diego, California 92132-5187

Mr. Dean Gould
February 27, 2002
Page 4

cc: Mr. Gordon Brown
Remedial Project Manager
Naval Facilities Engineering Command
Southwest Division - Code 06CC.GB
1220 Pacific Highway
San Diego, California 92132-5187

Ms. Karen Baker
Branch Chief
Geology and Corrective Action Branch
Department of Toxic Substances Control
5796 Corporate Avenue
Cypress, California 90630