

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES

2151 BERKELEY WAY  
BERKELEY, CA 94704



January 9, 1989

Commanding Officer  
Western Division Naval Facilities  
Engineering Command  
P.O. Box 727  
San Bruno, CA 94066-0720  
ATTN: Ms. Carol Lee

REVISIONS TO HUNTERS POINT CRP MEETING MINUTES OF 12/20/88

Dear Ms. Lee:

In response to your request that we review the minutes of the CRP meeting held on December 20, 1988, attached are our comments and suggested revisions. Although normally these revisions are discussed with you over the phone, our proposed revisions are such that, to avoid confusion, a written summary is necessary.

Should you have any further questions, please contact me at (415) 540-2592.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Will. Owen".

William Owen  
Engineering Geologist  
Toxic Substances Control  
Division

Enclosure

cc: Kam Tung, NAVSTA TI  
Lisa Teague, HLA

HH:wo

JAN 13 11 29 AM '89  
NAVSTA TI  
HUNTERS POINT  
CALIFORNIA

DHS COMMENTS ON DRAFT CRP MEETING MINUTES, 12/20/88

1. Attendees: Please include Bill Owen and Chein Kao of DHS on the list of attendees.
3. Points of Contact: Please revise the last two sentences as follows (deleted words are overstruck, added words are underlined; for brevity, sentences that remain unchanged are shortened with ellipses [...]):

DHS understood that Kam Tung was the Navy point of contact and was unsure of Randy Friedman's ~~role~~ position in the chain of command. Navy agreed that they would try to focus conversations initially through those persons.

4. Chronology: This section was reviewed by Shirley Buford of DHS, who noted several inaccuracies. Please revise the first four bullets as follows:
  - o In August, Randy and Shirley went over DHS initial set of comments DHS met with Navy to discuss changes to the CRP;
  - o In September, they again met to discuss a second set of DHS comments Based on this meeting, Randy wrote up specific changes to be made to the CRP;
  - o Randy then wrote up the specific changes to be made to the CRP and his understanding was that Navy and DHS were in agreement as to the specific changes that were going to be made In September, based on comments from community interviews, Shirley and Randy discussed specific community concerns to be included in the CRP;
  - o DHS letter dated October 7, 1988 provided additional comments served as written documentation of these required revisions;
5. Status: This section should be revised as follows:

Navy feels that they have addressed the DHS comments in accordance with previous discussions. DHS feels that Navy should address all community concerns in the CRP; DHS would like a Responsiveness Summary in an appendix However, the DHS said that the revised CRP only added the general statements of community concerns that were outlined in their comments, without discussing either their merits or their possible implementation. Because these items were not treated in any detail, the DHS argues that the CRP is still incomplete.

6. Specific comments in DHS October 7, 1988 letter: Please revise this section as follows:

DHS wants a current copy of the mailing list used for community relations mailings at Hunters Point. DHS wants each ~~item~~ comment in their letter specifically addressed; Navy should...address the concerns. For example...Navy should describe...removals...by the Information Releases. DHS feels that the community comments...should be placed in...appendices. DHS stressed that it was not necessary to incorporate every community comment in the CRP. Only those comments specified by DHS in their October 7 letter need to be incorporated as described.

7. Guidance: Please revise this section as follows:

Navy requested ~~guidance//citation/where/such//point-to-point response/was/required~~ a copy of the DHS community relations guidance document. DHS said their guidance ~~is/not/final/yet~~ document is not yet available. Navy requested copies... as examples. DHS agreed to send several copies from other sites for guidance.