

HUNTERS POINT SHIPYARD

RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD MEETING MINUTES

24 August 2006

These minutes summarize the discussions and presentations from the Restoration Advisory Board (RAB) meeting held from 6:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m. Thursday, August 24, 2006, in the Alex L. Pitcher, Jr. Room at the Southeast Community Facility at Hunters Point Shipyard (HPS). A verbatim transcript was also prepared for the meeting and is available in the information repository for HPS and on the Internet at <http://www.bracpmo.navy.mil/bracbases/california/hps/default.aspx>. The list of agenda topics is provided below. Attachment A provides a list of attendees. Attachment B includes action items that were requested or committed to by RAB members during the meeting.

AGENDA TOPICS:

- (1) Welcome/Introductions/Agenda Review
- (2) Approval of Meeting Minutes from the July 27, 2006 RAB Meeting
- (3) Navy Announcements
- (4) Community Co-Chair Report/Other Announcements
- (5) Community Participation in HPS Cleanup
- (6) Subcommittee Reports
- (7) Community Comment Period
- (8) Adjournment

MEETING HANDOUTS:

- Agenda for August 24, 2006, RAB Meeting
- Navy Monthly Progress Report, August 24, 2006
- PowerPoint Presentation, Community Involvement in the HPS Cleanup
- Membership Bylaws Community Outreach (MBCO) Subcommittee Meeting Minutes from August 9, 2006
- Economic Subcommittee Meeting Minutes from August 10, 2006
- Revised and Adopted Bylaws for Approval, August 2006

Welcome/Introductions/Agenda Review

Marsha Pendergrass, facilitator, called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m. Ms. Pendergrass welcomed everyone to the meeting. All attendees introduced themselves and the organization they represent. Keith Tisdell, Community RAB Co-chair, confirmed that there was a quorum of community RAB members present to conduct business at the meeting.

Approval of Minutes from the July 27, 2006 RAB Meeting

Ms. Pendergrass said that approval of the minutes is needed for the RAB meeting on July 27, 2006. Jesse Mason, RAB member, stated that there are not copies of the July 27, 2006 RAB meeting minutes available as handouts tonight. Dr. Raymond Tompkins, RAB member, made a motion to defer approval of the RAB meeting minutes until the September 28, 2006 RAB meeting since about half of the RAB members in attendance have not reviewed the meeting minutes. Robert Van Houten, RAB member, seconded the motion. Deferral of approving the July 27, 2006 RAB meeting minutes was approved with one abstention.

Ms. Pendergrass addressed the status of the action items:

1 **Carry-over Item Number 1:** Keith Forman, HPS Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC)
2 Environmental Coordinator (BEC), to provide an Environmental 101 class on a Saturday once at
3 least 3 new community members join the RAB. The RAB is awaiting a third RAB applicant
4 prior to hosting this class. This action item will be carried over until there are at least 3 new
5 RAB members who need the class.

6 **Carry-over Action Item Number 2:** Barbara Bushnell, Chair of the Technical Review
7 Subcommittee, to report to the HPS RAB on the Technical Assistance Grant (TAG). Dr.
8 Tompkins stated that he submitted a 28-page document to Jaqueline Lane with the U.S.
9 Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) covering previous performance for the TAG contractor.
10 He will provide a report to the RAB later this evening. This action item was completed and will
11 be removed from the table.

12 **Carry-over Action Item Number 3:** The Navy will provide a presentation on human health
13 risk from groundwater at HPS for the August 2006 Technical Review Subcommittee Meeting.
14 Mr. Forman stated that this topic would probably be better presented at the October 2006
15 subcommittee meeting. This action item will be carried over until October 2006.

16 **New Action Item Number 1:** Mr. Forman to provide the RAB with any available reports on the
17 two animals that died at HPS in 2004/2005. Mr. Forman explained that Pat Brooks, Navy Lead
18 Remedial Project Manager (RPM), reviewed previous RAB meeting minutes for more
19 information on this issue. He determined that when the Navy went out to HPS to track down the
20 fox and the hawk, they were missing, so no examination was performed. A few dead seagulls
21 have been found at HPS, but that was not remarkable as there is about a 75 percent mortality rate
22 for juvenile seagulls. The seagulls were found in the spring of 2005, and no biopsies or
23 toxicology tests were performed. Mr. Forman noted that Tetra Tech already has field biologists
24 that investigate wildlife at HPS and make judgment calls in the field. Dr. Tompkins asked that if
25 there is ever anything reported by the field biologists that the information be shared with the
26 RAB. Mr. Forman stated that there was discussion of killdeer nests and other birds present on
27 the Parcel E Landfill, and there were biologists working on the Parcel E panhandle when there
28 were baby goslings there. Ms. Pendergrass stated that she remembers field biologist notes being
29 provided to the RAB in the past. Harrell Powell, Bayview-Hunters Point resident asked what the
30 timeframe was between when the dead animals were reported and the Navy went to retrieve
31 them. Mr. Forman responded that the fox was reported on a Thursday and the Navy went out the
32 next day and it was no longer there. This action item was completed and will be removed from
33 the table.

34 **New Action Item Number 2:** Mr. Forman and Amy Brownell, San Francisco Department of
35 Public Health, to discuss additional measures to be taken to control dust at Parcel A in response
36 to RAB and community members concerns. Ms. Brownell indicated that it has not been a good
37 month for Lennar. They are still having dust issues and she has issued a second notice of
38 violation. Dust control had improved since the first notice, but was still not adequate. Lennar
39 provided a verbal response to the second notice of violation earlier today, and have voluntarily
40 shut down twice to address dust control. There have also been two occurrences where the
41 naturally occurring asbestos levels from air monitoring have been over the limit. The first
42 occurred on August 4, 2006, but the results were not received until August 15, 2006 so Lennar
43 activities at HPS were shut down all last week. On Tuesday, August 22, 2006, the asbestos levels
44 were back up, so Lennar activities shut down again today. Ms. Pendergrass stated that the reality
45 is that the community is breathing in this air while Lennar is waiting for the asbestos results. Ms.
46 Brownell explained that Lennar has a meeting with the Bay Area Air Quality Management
47 District (BAAQMD) next week and is also working with her on these issues that affect the
48 community. There will be a Community Advisory Committee meeting to review all the dust

1 control and air quality issues as well. Mr. Tisdell asked what time frame Lennar was shut down
2 because he has seen them working at HPS every day. Mr. Brownell replied that Lennar was
3 shutdown from August 15 to 18, 2006, and then resumed field activities on Monday, August 21,
4 2006 before shutting down again the following day. Charles Dacus, RAB member, asked what
5 activities were shut down by Lennar. Ms. Brownell indicated that no excavating or grading was
6 to be performed during the shutdown. Lennar personnel could still be performing inspections,
7 picking things up, or other activities, as long as there was no excavating or grading, and no
8 trucks hauling soil. Mr. Mason noted that for contractors, time is money, so if nobody was
9 monitoring things at HPS, then they would most likely still be working. That is one of the
10 biggest problems at Parcel A is that there is no monitoring of Lennar's activities. Dr. Tompkins
11 asked if Lennar could pay for a second air monitoring division since Ms. Brownell cannot keep
12 an eye on everything at the shipyard. Ms. Brownell responded that she monitors the dust control
13 situation, and BAAQMD monitors the asbestos situation. This action item was completed and
14 will be removed from the table.

15 Chein Kao, RAB member, noted that he had asked the Navy to provide him with the standard
16 protocol for the step-out process for the Parcel B removal action. He said that he did not see that
17 on the action items list and would like to add it for the next meeting. Mr. Forman responded that
18 Mr. Kao would probably like to have protocol before the next RAB meeting, so it can be sent in
19 an e-mail. Ms. Pendergrass noted that if this is an action item, the information would need to go
20 to all the RAB members.

21 Navy Announcements

22 Mr. Forman said that there will be a tour of the shipyard this Saturday, August 26, 2006 at 2:00
23 p.m. This event has been more popular than the Navy expected with about sixty people signed
24 up to attend, so buses will be available for transport. News of this tour has spread far beyond the
25 HPS RAB and there will be many attendees who have never been to a RAB meeting. The
26 meeting place for the tour is Dago Mary's with the buses leaving at precisely 2:00 p.m. The tour
27 is scheduled to last about an hour. Peter Stroganoff, the HPS Resident Officer in Charge of
28 Construction (ROICC), will be there and will provide transportation for some attendees in his
29 van. Due to time constraints no one will be leaving the bus during the tour. Tommie Jean
30 Damrel, Tetra Tech EMI, will provide a tour guide with information on each site. Mr. Forman
31 indicated that he would have a microphone and would provide information on each site on the
32 tour. The number of attendees does not allow for an extended tour, and it will make it easy to
33 keep track of everyone by staying on the bus for the tour. Ms. Pendergrass asked about a tour
34 specifically for RAB members later in the year. Mr. Forman replied that a tour of the Remedial
35 Unit (RU) C1 treatability study would most likely take place later in year.

36 Mr. Forman stated that from 9:30 a.m. to 1:30 p.m, he, Pat Brooks, Melanie Kito, Navy RPM,
37 and Ralph Pearce, Navy RPM, would be available at the Bayview Farmers Market on Third
38 Street to recruit for new HPS RAB members. He encouraged RAB members attend the Farmers
39 Market to let people know about the HPS RAB and bring them over to the Navy's table for
40 additional information. The Navy also wants to dispel any rumors and provide the facts on the
41 HPS restoration program.

42 James Greenblat, Bayview-Hunters Point Resident, asked if there will be a question and answer
43 session after the tour. Mr. Forman replied that a question and answer session was not planned
44 for the tour, but allowance can be made for it. Mr. Greenblat added that the tour is for the
45 community, so it would be beneficial to take questions after the tour while everyone is still there
46 for the answers. Ms. Pendergrass stated that the best way to have information reach the entire

1 community is to ask questions at the RAB meetings, because there is a transcript and meeting
2 minutes that are posted to the internet for everyone's access.

3 Kristine Enea, Bayview-Hunters Point Resident, asked if she would be able to video tape the
4 tour. Mr. Forman indicated that he has no problem with videotaping the tour and he will have a
5 microphone to help with audio.

6 Mr. Tom Lanphar, Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC), said that he would like to
7 encourage Mr. Forman and the Navy to think about the format for the tour. There may be
8 questions or issues that come up from community members on the tour that the Navy would need
9 to address. A question/answer session after the tour should therefore be considered. Ms.
10 Brownell also suggested having HPS RAB applications to pass out to tour attendees.

11 **Community Co-Chair Report**

12 Mr. Tisdell indicated that he does not have any information for the RAB during the Community
13 Co-Chair report.

14 **Community Involvement in the HPS Cleanup (Presentation)**

15 Mr. Forman explained that community involvement in the HPS cleanup has two dimensions,
16 involvement in cleanup planning and decisions, and business and employment opportunities.
17 The community should be and can be involved in both dimensions. The first dimension of
18 community involvement includes this RAB meeting tonight and other community meetings
19 where the community learns what the Navy proposes to do at HPS and becomes part of the
20 process. This presentation will provide an overview of the key opportunities for the community
21 to comment on and have the maximum input to the process. The second dimension of
22 community involvement is business and employment opportunities. This involves the Navy
23 employing contractors to perform the work at the shipyard, and there are certain rules and
24 guidelines for this process. Ultimately, opportunities are provided for community members and
25 companies in the community to benefit from the HPS program.

26 Mr. Forman reviewed community involvement in the Comprehensive Environmental Response,
27 Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA). CERCLA is a process where decisions are made
28 on environmental cleanup and involves certain key documents that require regulator and
29 community input. The Navy generates these documents because HPS is Navy property. Under
30 the Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) system the Navy's mission is to study, remediate,
31 and ultimately convey the property so it can be integrated back into the community as private
32 property.

33 Mr. Forman stated that the RAB focus is on the environmental part of the HPS program. There
34 are key steps in the environmental cleanup process, with documents familiar to the RAB
35 including Remedial Investigations, Feasibility Studies, Proposed Plans, and Records of Decision
36 (RODs). Most of the parcels at HPS are currently at the beginning of the Feasibility Study
37 phase. In the next two to three years, the HPS program will be working through remedial
38 investigations and feasibility studies to proposed plans, which provide a major opportunity for
39 public comment. The Proposed Plan is a unique step in the process as it is the first time the
40 Navy recommends one or more procedures as a preferred alternative for environmental cleanup
41 at a site. This takes place after the Feasibility Study where all the alternatives are laid out and
42 compared using nine federal criteria. Federal law requires the Navy to provide public notice of
43 the formal comment period and a community meeting that is focused solely on that Proposed
44 Plan. Thus the community gets to provide input on the permanent remedies for HPS. Both the

1 Navy and regulatory agencies want to maximize public input in the environmental cleanup
2 decisions that are made for HPS.

3 Mr. Forman explained that HPS is split up into parcels, and each parcel has its own timetable for
4 environmental cleanup. Most of the parcels at HPS are in the Feasibility Study phase, which
5 means that the Navy has gathered a lot of data and is evaluating that data to propose different
6 ways to accomplish environmental cleanup.

7 Mr. Forman explained that HPS is unique because part of its mission involved studying
8 radioisotopes. Therefore, there is an extensive radiological or RAD program at HPS that is on a
9 separate track with Navy personnel and regulators that only handle the RAD work. As a result,
10 there will always be two documents that lead into the Proposed Plan. An example is the Parcel B
11 Technical Memorandum in Support of a Record of Decision (ROD) Amendment (TMSRA) that
12 also has a parallel RAD document called the TMSRA Radiological Addendum. The
13 Radiological Addendum discusses and proposes alternatives for the storm drains, sanitary
14 sewers, and any structures with RAD issues. The alternatives for RAD issues are usually simple
15 involving discovery of any impacts followed by removal. The CERCLA process requires that
16 the RAD issues and alternatives be documented in the Radiological Addendum. For the
17 remaining parcels, the Feasibility Study and Feasibility Study Radiological Addendum come
18 together in the Proposed Plan. The Proposed Plan has to have all the information on the parcel
19 because it leads into the ROD that documents the legal decision on the remedy for a parcel.

20 Mr. Forman reviewed the status of Parcel B and explained that the Navy is using a unique
21 process for this parcel. A TMSRA is being produced for Parcel B, and that is a document that is
22 not covered in federal law. The reason the TMSRA is being produced is that a ROD was already
23 finalized for Parcel B. After the ROD, the Navy started the cleanup work and learned more
24 about conditions at Parcel B, which led to the need to amend or change the Parcel B ROD. To
25 do this, the Navy is reevaluating all the new data collected during cleanup work to come up with
26 new alternatives for Parcel B. The TMSRA, then, is equivalent to a new Feasibility Study.

27 Mr. Forman noted that federal law does not require the Navy to produce the TMSRA. The Navy,
28 however, agreed with the regulatory agencies that enough new factors were discovered during
29 cleanup work at Parcel B that it would be best to go back to the Feasibility Study phase. That
30 way all the new alternatives for Parcel B can be evaluated using the nine criteria before the
31 preferred alternative is presented in the Proposed Plan. This is a good faith measure that shows
32 the Navy values the community's input on the HPS program. The Proposed Plan and public
33 meeting for Parcel B are currently scheduled for May 2007. The Navy is required to make an
34 effort to have the meeting in the middle of the public comment period for the Proposed Plan, so
35 the meeting is likely to happen in mid-May. This will be an important meeting for RAB
36 members to attend. The Navy works to make these meetings convenient, so at some bases, a
37 Proposed Plan meeting is held the same night as the RAB meeting. The meetings have also been
38 scheduled for weekends to increase participation by those who work during the week.

39 Mr. Forman reviewed the status of Parcel D and explained that this Parcel is currently slightly
40 behind Parcel B in the environmental cleanup process. The Proposed Plan for Parcel D is
41 currently scheduled for July 2007.

42 Mr. Forman reviewed the status of Parcels C, E, and E-2. Parcel C was heavily industrialized
43 and had the largest buildings on the shipyard. It was where parts were made when ships needed
44 repair or refitting. The Proposed Plan for Parcel C is currently scheduled for January 2008.
45 Parcel E is where major soil removal actions are currently taking place. The Proposed Plan for
46 Parcel E is scheduled for May 2008. Parcel E-2 was the landfill area for HPS. The Proposed
47 Plan for Parcel E-2 is scheduled for October 2007. Parcel F is the part of San Francisco Bay that

1 the Navy claims as part of HPS and is obligated to clean up. The Feasibility Study for Parcel F
2 is scheduled for late 2006 or early 2007. The Parcel F Radiological Addendum, however, will
3 not be completed until much later, so the Proposed Plan for Parcel F is scheduled for March
4 2009. The public meetings for the Proposed Plans will be scheduled for the middle of the public
5 comments periods.

6 Mr. Forman reviewed the Business and Employment Opportunities dimension of community
7 involvement at HPS. There are two major sources of business and employment opportunities at
8 HPS. The first is the pen-and-ink work of generating documents for the HPS program. Second
9 is the actual field work to remediate the shipyard.

10 Mr. Forman explained that the Navy has contracts with prime contractors to do specific work at
11 HPS. The prime contractors hire other contractors called subcontractors to perform work under
12 the Navy's prime contract. Just as there are two types of contractors, there are also two types of
13 employment opportunities, career and project-specific employment opportunities. Mr. Forman
14 noted that Dr. Tompkins has asked the question before: are all the people hired at HPS only
15 employed for a specified period of time. The answer is that yes, some employment opportunities
16 are project-specific and only for a specified period of time. There are also career positions
17 available with the companies doing the work at HPS. There will be examples later of people
18 from the local community who were hired for career positions with companies doing work at
19 HPS, even some instances where they have been promoted.

20 Mr. Forman stated that there is yet another way that local community members have been
21 employed at HPS. He said that he is proud of the work by the Navy's prime contractors to use
22 personnel from Young Community Developers (YCD). YCD works with young people, most
23 under 30, transitioning them from training for a specific specialty, to working for one of the
24 Navy's contractors at HPS. The YCD placements fit in both categories, career and project-
25 specific employment.

26 Mr. Forman explained that another way the Navy supports the local community that has
27 improved over the last few years, is buying supplies and support from local businesses. That is
28 accomplished by ensuring that Navy contractors, both for field work and document preparation,
29 spend money for supplies and support in the local community. This includes purchasing office
30 supplies, lunch for employees, and bags of ice to preserve groundwater samples. Those may be
31 small purchases, but they add up over time.

32 Mr. Forman reviewed statistics for subcontracts awarded to local businesses. Tetra Tech EC
33 (formerly Foster Wheeler), one of the Navy's prime contractors, has made 216 awards to local
34 businesses for a total of \$14.2 million. These business are supporting 15 different remediation
35 projects at HPS, including the fieldwork for the RAD program and the Time-Critical Removal
36 Actions at Parcels E and E-2. These local businesses are in the three zip codes, 94124, 94107,
37 and 94134, identified by the Navy as the local community. Under BRAC guidance the
38 community is anything within 50 miles of HPS. That definition, however, doesn't work for
39 many people who are Bayview residents as that would include many areas that were not affected
40 by the closure of the shipyard. The HPS RAB Economic Subcommittee helped come up with the
41 three zip codes used to define the local community.

42 Mr. Forman provided a list of local vendors with their zip code and the amounts of purchase
43 orders for these vendors. Tetra Tech EC has a database to track these contractors by zip code,
44 which is beyond what is required by BRAC. Tetra Tech EC has also hired 37 people from YCD
45 and 100 people from Teamsters, Operators, and Laborers Unions. YCD is also utilized to
46 maintain records at the Anna Waden Library and provide support during RAB Meetings. The

1 local community has also been utilized for special events, like the kid's environmental day at the
2 shipyard.

3 Mr. Forman noted that there have also been questions about whether hiring from the local
4 community is only for entry level employment and if there are advancement opportunities. He
5 stated that 8 people hired from the local community have been promoted or are headed for
6 managerial positions so far. Three laborers and one teamster have been promoted to operators.
7 One laborer has been promoted to a teamster. One laborer was hired as a construction manager
8 and another as an equipment manager. This illustrates that there is a hierarchy of employment
9 opportunities for local community members.

10 Mr. Forman stated that when a contractor signs a contract with the Navy, there are federal
11 acquisition guidelines that must be followed. One requirement is for prime contractors to have
12 socioeconomic programs in place. These programs offer opportunities to small, small-
13 disadvantaged, HUBZone, woman-owned, and veteran-owned small businesses in support of the
14 Navy program at HPS. Collectively they are recognized as small business and in some circles
15 are called 8(a) Companies. The dollar value of contracts going to these small or 8(a) businesses
16 this year has been between 30 and 40 percent of all the contracts for HPS. It's a good thing to
17 work with these small businesses, but it is also more intensive to work with a series of small
18 businesses versus working with a large company. There are also metrics and standards for these
19 small business programs that the Navy requires contractors to follow. The federal government
20 recognizes that the engine of the economy is small businesses, so it likes to give preference to
21 these businesses where possible.

22 Mr. Forman explained that one of the Navy's contractors, ITSI that does work on the landfill at
23 Parcel E-2, has a Socioeconomic Programs section on their website. Both suppliers and
24 contractors can go to the ITSI website to see a list of opportunities and to submit contact and
25 capabilities information online. Scott Poquette is the ITSI Small Business Advocate and is the
26 point of contact for small businesses. He helps small businesses get a foothold in contracts at
27 ITSI. Some of the opportunities through ITSI, such as buying commercial items, are just direct
28 purchases. Other opportunities require bids and a bidding process. Mr. Poquette can provide all
29 the information a small business needs to bid on a contract and then perhaps win the contract.

30 Dr. Tompkins stated that in previous discussions, the Navy's total expenditures for HPS
31 exceeded \$300 million over the last 20 years. How much of that total expenditure has gone to
32 the African-American community? Mr. Forman responded that Mr. Brooks and Mark Gelsinger,
33 Navy Contracts Manager, explored that issue extensively and the Navy does not track economic
34 support that way. For example, if a contractor made a purchase of ice or rented a U-Haul truck
35 and the person at the counter was African-American, would that count as support of the African-
36 American community? In addition, the Navy is not allowed to focus on racial groups, but
37 instead focuses on the zip codes that define the local community. Dr. Tompkins said that in past
38 discussion it was pointed out that the base closure mostly affected African-Americans in the
39 local community. There was also a past issue with a company that used someone from a
40 minority group who was not actually an owner of the company as a front. How is the Navy
41 ensuring that contracts are going to businesses that are really owned by people of color? Mr.
42 Forman replied that there is no requirement that a company be solely owned by a person of color,
43 even with 8(a) companies. Ms. Pendergrass noted that there is some level of requirement for
44 8(a) companies that involves minority ownership, but that is not sole minority ownership. Mr.
45 Forman added that most of the 8(a) companies that work at HPS have multiple owners that may
46 be of different races. Dr. Tompkins said that the community looks at the \$5 billion Navy
47 program at HPS as an opportunity. One of the ways to reduce the death rate in the local African-
48 American community, then, is to have a higher ratio of employment. Mr. Forman responded that

1 the Navy does play a role in extending economic growth to the community, but to keep in mind
2 that the Navy will not be here for the community forever. It's important for the community to
3 take care of itself and for the City of San Francisco to take care of the Bayview-Hunters Point
4 Community as part of long-term redevelopment of HPS.

5 Mr. Van Houten asked what the average annual budget is for HPS, and what percentage of that is
6 going to the local community. Mr. Forman stated that the fiscal year 2006 budget for HPS was
7 \$60 million and nationally that was the largest budget in the base closure program. In the
8 presentation, \$14.2 million was spent in the community by only one Navy contractor, so the
9 percentage spent in the community is high.

10 Mr. Mason explained that one of his biggest concerns is that there is one local trucking company
11 owned by an African-American that is not making a dime from Hunters Point compared to these
12 other companies outside the community that are making thousands of dollars. For example,
13 Geary L. Brown and Sons Trucking, Eighteen Trucking Company, and Al Curry Trucking, that
14 are outside the community. In fact Eighteen Trucking Company was brought in by the
15 community for support at HPS. Now the company is established in the community, is
16 underbidding others on contracts, and is no longer employing anyone from the community.
17 Those are the issues for discussion at the Economic Subcommittee meetings.

18 **Subcommittee Report**

19 **MBCO Subcommittee**

20 Mr. Tisdell stated that the subcommittee discussed distributing the revised bylaws to the RAB.
21 He asked that RAB members take a copy of the revised bylaws tonight to be prepared for the
22 vote to approve amending the bylaws that is scheduled for the September 28, 2006 RAB
23 meeting. The subcommittee voted against most of the changes, but one change (highlighted) was
24 approved and will be now before the RAB for approval. If there are no RAB applications to
25 review, there will not be an MBCO Subcommittee meeting for September 2006.

26 **Technical Review Subcommittee**

27 Dr. Tompkins indicated that the subcommittee reviewed the radiological protocol for Parcel B,
28 and reached clarity on the language for random sampling. There is still a lot of discussion that
29 needs to take place on that protocol. He stated that he would strongly recommend attending the
30 next Technical Review Subcommittee meeting as the Parcel B Radiological Program sets the
31 guidelines and procedures for the rest of the parcels. He has asked that the regulatory agencies,
32 Arc Ecology, and the Navy present their different perspectives on the Radiological Program, so
33 that may take more than one meeting. That way, there is one comprehensive perspective for the
34 chair of the subcommittee to use when writing a recommendation for presentation to the RAB
35 for review. Since the chair of the subcommittee is not at the RAB meeting this evening, the date
36 of the next meeting will have to be provided by e-mail once that date is set

37 **Economic Subcommittee**

38 Mr. Mason stated that the last meeting was a good meeting with Mr. Forman. He added that the
39 RAB knows that the 8(a) HUBZone is the way into Navy's heart, but there are still issues with
40 outside contractors getting contracts at HPS. He encouraged community members to attend the
41 next Economic Subcommittee meeting to help air out any other economic issues. There are
42 some changes that must be made to ensure the local community participates in the economic
43 development of HPS. For example, when ITSI had a contract, there were no problems with
44 hiring community contractors. Tetra Tech, however, hires an outside contractor like Harris
45 Equipment because local contractors do not qualify for that work. The next meeting, then, will

1 discuss some solutions to these issues. The next Economic Subcommittee meeting is scheduled
2 for September 7, 2006 at 6:00 p.m. at the Anna Waden Library.

3 **TAG Update**

4 Dr. Tompkins stated that the total expended on the TAG is \$13,000 and there have been no
5 additional expenditures since it was last discussed at the RAB meeting. Community First
6 Coalition (CFC) has actually contributed about \$26,000 to overseeing the TAG. There was an
7 issue Ms. Bushnell had with paying two people who attended a RAB meeting while working
8 under the grant. They had each charged 2 hours for a total of 4 hours for attending the one RAB
9 meeting. All money has gone through CFC to the people working under the grant, so CFC has
10 received no money and will continue to receive no money.

11 Mr. Forman stated that there was an expectation from the community and HPS RAB members
12 for the TAG personnel to review and comment on documents and give presentations to the RAB.
13 The RAB had questioned how the TAG money was spent since there were never any
14 presentations to the RAB. Dr. Tompkins responded that was exactly why they were fired. They
15 failed to perform their duties and responsibilities described in the contract. Specific requests
16 were made for Technical Subcommittee meeting attendance and presentations to the RAB and
17 they failed to carry those out. When they did attempt to comment on documents, the language
18 was not appropriate for the RAB. He said that is the reason why he asked for an outline of the
19 upcoming projects so the new candidate being considered knows deadlines, and the scope of
20 activities, and will have attendance at the Technical Review Subcommittee meetings and
21 presentations to the RAB included in the contract. He added that Mr. Brooks has worked with
22 the current candidate being considered and made a recommendation to the Technical Review
23 Subcommittee that the person be hired. Ms. Lane noted that the TAG grant would have to be
24 advertised in the newspaper and the candidate being considered would still have to go through
25 the bid process.

26 Ms. Pendergrass adjourned the meeting at 8:04 p.m.

27 **Reminder: The next RAB meeting will be held from 6:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m., Thursday,**
28 **September 28, 2006, at the Southeast Community Commission Facility, Alex Pitcher Jr.**
29 **Room, 1800 Oakdale Avenue, San Francisco, California 94124.**

**ATTACHMENT A
24 AUGUST 2006 - RAB MEETING
LIST OF ATTENDEES**

Name	Association
1. Amy Brownell	San Francisco Department of Public Health
2. Charles Dacus	RAB member, ROSES
3. Tommie Jean Damrel	Tetra Tech EMI
4. Bill Dougherty	Tetra Tech EC
5. Kristine Enea	Community Resident
6. Keith Forman	Navy RAB Co-chair
7. Ruth Gravitt	Community Resident
8. James Greenblat	Community Resident
9. Tony Higgins	Community Resident
10. Chein Kao	RAB member, Arc Ecology
11. Jaqueline Ann Lane	U.S. EPA Region IX
12. Tom Lanphar	California Department of Toxic Substances Control
13. Leslie Lundgren	Tetra Tech EMI
14. Johnson Ly	YCD
15. Jesse Mason	RAB member, Resident
16. Kevin McCorry	AVHQ
17. Shawn McElhinney	ITSI
18. Christine M. Niccoli	Niccoli Reporting, court reporter
19. Marsha Pendergrass	Pendergrass & Associates
20. Jim Ponton	San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board
21. Harrell Powell	Community Resident
22. Melita Rines	RAB member, India Basin Neighborhood Association
23. Ahmed Sheikh	Southeast Community Commission Meeting Room Attendant
24. Quincy Smith	YCD
25. Peter Stroganoff	Navy, Resident Officer in Charge of Construction (ROICC) Office
26. Keith Tisdell	RAB member, Resident
27. Raymond Tompkins	RAB member, Bayview-Hunters Point Health and the Environment
28. Robert Van Houten	RAB member, Morgan Heights Resident
29. Angela Williams	Barajas & Associates
30. Michael Work	U.S. EPA Region IX

**ATTACHMENT B
24 AUGUST 2006 – RAB MEETING
ACTION ITEMS**

Item No.	Action Item	Person Authoring the Action Item	Due Date	Person/Agency Committing to Action Item	Resolution Status
Carry-Over Items					
1.	The Navy will schedule a HPS Environmental 101 class on a Saturday once at least 3 new community members join the RAB.	Keith Forman Navy RAB Co-Chair	N/A	Mr. Forman	This action item will be tabled until there are at least 3 new RAB members who need the class.
2.	Navy will provide a presentation on Human Health Risk from Groundwater at HPS for the August 2006 Technical Review Subcommittee Meeting.	Dr. Ray Tompkins RAB Member	September 2006	Pat Brooks Navy Lead RPM	This action item will be completed at the October 2006 Technical Review Subcommittee Mtg.
New Action Items					
1.	Navy will provide Chein Kao, RAB member, and the RAB with the standard protocol for the step-out process for the Parcel B Storm Drain and Sanitary Sewer Removal Action.	Chein Kao RAB Member	September 2006	Mr. Forman	In progress.

October 31, 2006

Diane Silva
SWDIV Records Manager
Administrative Record (Code EVR)
NAVFACENGCOM Southwest
1220 Pacific Highway
San Diego, CA 92132

Subject: Hunters Point Shipyard Information Repository/Administrative Record
Submittals – Contract No. N68711-03-D-5106, CTO-016

Dear Ms. Silva,

Enclosed are three copies of the following documents for submittal to the Hunters Point Shipyard Information Repository/Administrative Record:

- Final May 25, 2006 Restoration Advisory Board Meeting Minutes
- Final May 25, 2006 Restoration Advisory Board Meeting Transcript
- Final June 22, 2006 Restoration Advisory Board Meeting Minutes
- Final June 22, 2006 Restoration Advisory Board Meeting Transcript
- Final July 27, 2006 Restoration Advisory Board Meeting Minutes
- Final July 27, 2006 Restoration Advisory Board Meeting Transcript
- Final August 24, 2006 Restoration Advisory Board Meeting Minutes
- Final August 24, 2006 Restoration Advisory Board Meeting Transcript

Please feel free to contact me or Angela Williams (Community Relations Specialist – angelawilliams@bai.cc) if you have any questions.

Thank you,



Saravanan (Eli) Vedagiri, P.E.
Program Manager
Barajas and Associates, Inc.
Phone: (619) 338-0798, ext. 11
Fax: (619) 338-0617
E-mail: eliv@barajas.cc