

5090
Ser 62210LT/L8273-1
30 Sep 1998

From: Commanding Officer, Engineering Field Activity, West, Naval Facilities
Engineering Command

To: Distribution

Subj: PARCEL E/F TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM, ENGINEERING FIELD ACTIVITY,
WEST, NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND, HUNTERS POINT
SHIPYARD, SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

Encls: (1) Analysis of Integration of Parcel E Remedial Alternatives and Parcel F Remedial
Alternatives, Hunters Point Shipyard
(2) Major Issues from Agencies Comments on the Parcel F Feasibility Study, Hunters Point
Shipyard

1. Enclosure (1) provides an analysis of Parcel E and Parcel F Remedial Alternatives. In addition,
enclosure (2) provides an outline of major issues resulting from Agency comments on the Parcel F
Feasibility Study.

3. If you have any questions regarding these enclosures, please contact Luann Tetirick, Code 62210,
at (650) 244-2655, FAX (650) 244-2654.

Original signed by:
RICHARD E. POWELL
By direction

Distribution:

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (Attn: Ms. Claire Trombadore)
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (Attn: Ms. Sheryl Lauth)
California Department of Toxic Substances Control (Attn: Ms. Valerie Heusinkveld)
California Regional Water Quality Control Board (Attn: Mr. David Leland)

Copies to:

Roy F. Weston, Inc. (Attn: Ms. Karla Brasaemle)
San Francisco Department of Public Health (Attn: Ms. Amy Brownell)
Tetra Tech EM Inc. (Attn: Mr. Jim Sickles) (w/o encls)

Blind copies to:

622, 6221RP, 6229WR, 62210LT, 62C HPS CSO (Eddie Sarmiento)
09CMN
Admin Record (3 Copies)
RF
Chron File: L8273-1LT.DOC
Activity File: HPS



Tetra Tech EM Inc.

135 Main Street, Suite 1800 ♦ San Francisco, CA 94105 ♦ (415) 543-4880 ♦ FAX (415) 543-5480

Date: September 24, 1998
To: BCT Members/Agencies
From: William Radzevich, EFA West
Subject: **Major Issues From Agencies Comments on the Parcel F Feasibility Study, Hunters Point Shipyard, San Francisco, CA**

This memorandum summarizes some of the more significant comments/issues raised by the Regulatory Agencies on the Draft Parcel F Feasibility Study report. The significant issues (many of which were repeated concerns from multiple agencies) are listed below.

1. Coordination/integration between Parcel E and F
2. Evaluate Risk to Human Health (fish tissue studies)
3. Evaluate a More Conservative High Volume Estimate
4. Additional Sedimentation Studies
5. Additional Sediment Data Gap Sampling
6. Remedial Action Objectives Development within Yosemite Creek
7. Bioassay Results Approach to Remedial Action Objectives
8. Use of No Observed Adverse Effects Level/Lowest Observed Adverse Effects Level versus Effects Range-Low and Effects Range-Median
9. Bio-accumulation-based Threshold Levels (tributyltin and polychlorinated biphenyl)
10. Ambient Sediment Values
11. Clarifications to Section 3.0 of the Feasibility Study, Remedial Action Objectives Evaluation by Area
12. Department of Fish and Game and San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission and Wetland Creation in South Basin

N00217.003765
HUNTERS POINT
SSIC NO. 5090.3

ENCLOSURE (1)

ANALYSIS OF INTEGRATION OF PARCEL E
REMEDIAL ALTERNATIVES AND
PARCEL F REMEDIAL ALTERNATIVES

DATED 30 SEPTEMBER 1998

IS ENTERED IN THE DATABASE AND FILED AT
ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD NO. N00217.003766